

PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/11258/2015

1. Authority letter is not uploaded in favour of the applicant in Part I though provided with hard copy of the proposal.
2. Component wise break up should include area required against each component of proposed road including muck etc in Part I.
3. Proceedings of village level committee (VLC) meeting pertaining to village Chantarkani is not provided with the FRA certificate.
4. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo-coordinates on few points which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.
5. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file. The Google earth map will not accepted for the purpose.
6. The value filled in online Part I against employment generation likely to be generated in column E(ii) and (iii) is seems incorrect. The correct detail may be filled in this column, if required.
7. Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction for construction of this road uploaded as additional document in Part I is issued in the year 2010. May clarify whether it has been revalidated for the year 2016-17.
8. Date and signature of FRA certificate and district level committee proceeding is not provided in the copy uploaded in online Part I while in the hard copy it is given. The State Govt may upload correct copy of FRA and DLC in Part I.
9. The KML file for CA area is not uploaded in designated column in Part I and II. The file uploaded is of KML file of area proposed for diversion. The correct file may upload in Part I and II.
10. In Part I ownership detail, MoU signed between user agency and the present owner and non encumbrances certificate etc for the area proposed for CA is not uploaded in Part I column L (iv) (e,f,g,h).
11. Sol toposheet map indicating location of area proposed for CA is not uploaded in Part I. The file uploaded in the column is of area proposed for diversion. The State Govt may upload the correct file in the designated place.
12. 33 trees per hectare does not account for 0.3 density. The State Govt may review the density of the area and do necessary correction.