- 1. SHORT NARRATIVE OF THE PROJECT DOES NOT GIVE ADEQUATE INFORMATION ABOUT PROJECT.
- 2. PERIOD FOR WHICH FOREST LAND IS PROPOSED TO BE DIVERTED IS NOT MENTIONED.
- 3. JUSTIFICATION FOR LOCATING PROJECT IN FOREST AREA NOT SATISFACTORY.
- 4. Total length of the road, length already approved & balance length proposed for approval do not tally
- 5. Copy of the map indicating alternatives examined not attached. The map attached shows CA area only.
- 6. DATE IS NOT MENTIONED IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF SLC & GRAM SABHA MEETINGS UNDER FRA & AREA IS ALSO NOT CORRECT. GP NAMES ARE ALSO NOT MENTIONED.
- 7. IN THE CA AREA MAP ONLY TWO GPS COORDINATES ARE GIVEN. MORE GPS COORDINATES ARE REQUIRED.
- 8. MAINTENANCE OF CA PLANTATION MAY BE PROVIDED UPTO 10 YR AS PER PRACTICE IN U.K.
- 9. In the additional documents, the No. of trees in forest area is given as 433 & that in Nap land 361 totalling 794. But the No. of trees in forest land is given 794 in Part-II which may be reviewed. Accordingly, density of vegetation & rate of NPV may also be reviewed.
- 10. WORKING PLAN PRESCRIPTION FOR THE FOREST LAND HAS NOT BEEN GIVEN WHEREAS 0.17 HA RF LAND IS PROPOSED FOR DIVERSION.
- 11. APPROXIMATE DISTANCE OF THE PROPOSED SITE OF DIVERSION FROM BOUNDARY OF FOREST IS NOT GIVEN.