


कार्यालय प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक राजस्थान, जयपुर।

CCF Kota resubmitted the proposal no. **FP/RJ/ROAD/6979/2014** after required corrections. EDS of MOEF & CC Lucknow 9-7-2015 has already been exists. After examination of the proposal the status of the proposal is as:

S.N.	Observation raised by MOEF	Compliance by UA/DCF/CCF
1	Land Suitability Certificate and digital map in shape kml* file of the proposed CA Site has not been provided	Land suitability certificate is not adequate because it does not include information regarding free from encroachment. Digital map of KML do not serve the purposes because no demarcation of land allotment is done.
2	CA scheme file neither available online.	CA scheme is attached in additional information of Part II. No action is required.
3	Details of NPV amount to be deposited have not been provided.	Details of amount of NPV are attached in additional information of Part II. No action is required.
4	Certificate submitted under FRA 2006 is for 13.581 Ha. (6.448 Ha and 7.166 ha) while the proposal has been submitted for 13.558 Ha. This discrepancy in data should be rectified.	FRA certificate for 13.558 Ha is uploaded in point K of Part I. No action is required.
5	In Lay out plan latitude and longitude of the different point are given but it serves no purposes. Because it cannot be made out of which points these reference relate to. Proper digital maps in shape kml* file mentioning latitude and longitude may be submitted.	Kml file is not adequate. It is in polyline in shape while polygon is required and chain age wise area is not demarcated.
6	In Part II of the proposal it has been clearly specified that the user agency has violated the Act and FIR has been registered against the Aen, Xen and contractor. A Copy of FIR, Damage report should be provided to this office along with the present status the present case should be deal according to the FCA guideline 2004 item 2.1	Copy of FIR and factual report is uploaded in additional information of Part II. The case is dealt as per FCA guideline 2004. No action is required.
7	In Part II item 16, The DCF Baran has uploaded pages related to the proposal 8B/Raj/06/07/FC/2015 which is not relevant and hence should be removed from the proposal.	File is not removed yet.

Hence the proposal is returned for information of para 1,5, and 7. Kindly provide information as early as possible.

अशेषतः चाली गरी खन्नाओं को बिन्दवार प्रेषित करे।


 27.9.16
 सुनील चिद्री
 उप वन संरक्षक
 बाराँ