REDG

No.Ft.48-3641/2017(FCA)
H.P.Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the 18 SEP 2021

From: Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA) To:CCF Shimla
O/O Pr. CCF, H P (HoFF)

Subject:- Diversion of 0.8146ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Link road from Ghyan Raika via Kehral Kms 0/0 to 1/945 Kms, within the jurisdiction of Rohru Forest Division Distt Shimla, HP.

Memo:-

Kindly refer to your office letter No.C-28-b-1599/ FCA (Online)/ Rohroo/ 2962 dated 12.8.2021 on the subject cited above.

2. The proposal received has been found incomplete. The following shortcoming has been noticed in the proposal which is to be completed. Proposal folders received are returned herewith. All the requisite documents may please be placed in the proposal folder with proper numbering and index.

1. Check list has not been countersigned by CCF concerned at P.No.6.
2. Details of forest are requirement Check list Sr. No.6 placed at P.No.19, instead of signing by DFO concerned, have been countersigned by DFO concerned.
3. Although KML file of the proposed road has been uploaded against column No.C(ii)b, but the villages to be benefitted and dumping sites have not been shown in the KML file. As per latest GoI instructions, the villages to be benefitted from the proposed road, dumping sites and alternate alignments if examined are also required to be marked on KML file.
4. The scientific names of all the trees have not been mentioned correctly against column No.4(ii) in online part-II. The scientific name of Kail is required to be corrected. Further, the enumeration list of trees placed at P.Nos.35037 has been prepared by using erasable pencil which is not desirable. Revised enumeration lists are required to be prepared.
5. There is difference in approximate distance mentioned in online part-II and mentioned in hard copy of part-II. Against column No.7 of online part-II, 0.5 has been mentioned whereas against column No.4 in hard copy of part-II, 5 meter from the boundary of forest has been mentioned.
6. Against column No.8(i), instead of mentioning the details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion, 'There is no wildlife activity in the area'.
7. In original folder incomplete Part-II has been placed.
8. Against column No.11(i)(a), the details of violation ie, the area used in violation of FCA Act, 1980, has not been mentioned. Against column No.11(i)(b), instead of mentioning the year of violation, 10 has been mentioned. Against this column the year of violation is required to be mentioned.
9. CA has been proposed over 1.92 ha of forest land but while calculating the area in KML file it is coming 1.60 ha instead of 1.92ha. Revised KML file is required to be uploaded against this column.

PTO

10. CA has been proposed in terms of number of plants hence the detail of patch is not required to be filled.
11. All the photocopies of documents placed in the proposal folder should either be original or attested.
12. Although a certificate issued by DFO WL Shimla has been placed without Page number in the proposal folder, but the name of WL sanctuary from which the distance has been shown has not been mentioned in the requisite letter.
13. There is difference in P.Nos mentioned in both the folders. Original folder is bearing only 69 pages whereas in the duplicate folder 74 pages are involved. P.Nos in both the proposal folders should match.

Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)

No. Ft.48-3641/2020(FCA) Dated Shimla-1,the

Copy is forwarded to Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Jubbal, Distt. Shimla, HP for information & necessary action as above.

Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)

Endst NO. (FCA) 4775 dated 23-9-2021 |2021

Copy alongwith proposal folder (in original) is forwarded to DFO Rohru for information & necessary action. He is requested to attend the above shortcomings at the earliest possible.

Encls:- As above.

Chief Conservator of F
Shimla forest circle, Shiml