।कार्यालय प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक राजस्थान, जयपुर।

CCF Kota resubmitted the proposal no. **FP/RJ/ROAD/6979/2014** after required corrections. EDS of MOEF & CC Lucknow 9-7-2015 has already been exists. After examination of the proposal the status of the proposal is as:

S.N.	Observation raised by MOEF	Compliance by UA/DCF/CCF
1	Land Suitability Certificate and digital map in	Land suitability certificate is not adequate
	shape kml* file of the proposed CA Site has	because it does not include information
	not been provided	regarding free from encroachment. Digital map
		of KML do not serve the purposes because no
		demarcation of land allotment is done.
2	CA scheme file neither available online.	CA scheme is attached in additional
		information of Part II. No action is required.
3	Details of NPV amount to be deposited have	Details of amount of NPV are attached in
	not been provided.	additional information of Part II. No action is
		required.
4	Certificate submitted under FRA 2006 is for	FRA certificate for 13.558 Ha is uploaded in
	13.581 Ha. (6.448 Ha and 7.166 ha) while the	point K of Part I. No action is required.
	proposal has been submitted for 13.558 Ha.	
	This discrepancy in data should be rectified.	
5	In Lay out plan latitude and longitude of the	Kml file is not adequate. It is in polyline in
	different point are given but it serves no	shape while polygon is required and chain age
	purposes. Because it cannot be made out of	wise area is not demarcated.
	which points these reference relate to. Proper	
	digital maps in shape kml* file mentioning	
	latitude and longitude may be submitted.	
6	In Part II of the proposal it has been clearly	Copy of FIR and factual report is uploaded in
	specified that the user agency has violated the	additional information of Part II. The case is
	Act and FIR has been registered against the	dealt as per FCA guideline 2004. No action is
	Aen, Xen and contractor. A Copy of FIR,	required.
	Damage report should be provided to this	
	office along with the present status the	
	present case should be deal according to the	
	FCA guideline 2004 item 2.1	
7	In Part II item 16, The DCF Baran has uploaded	File is not removed yet.
	pages related to the proposal	
	8B/Raj/06/07/FC/2015 which is not relevant	
	and hence should be removed from the	
	proposal.	

Hence the proposal is returned for information of para 1,5, and 7. Kindly provide information as early as possible.