

- 1- With reference to the EDS raised by RO, MOEFCC, GOI Dated-16.01.2020 , For point no. 2 **“State Government may submit request for re-opening in view of the Para 1.20 guidelines”** reply furnished at your end is not satisfactory.

Action to be taken- Kindly furnish the request for re-opening of the proposal as per the Para 1.20 guideline of Hand book issued by MOEFCC, GOI in 2019.(link for downloading the same is

https://parivesh.nic.in/writereaddata/FC/HANDBOOK_GUIDELINES/HANDBOOK_GUIDELINES18_03_2019.pdf).

- 2- With reference to the EDS raised by RO, MOEFCC, GOI Dated-16.01.2020 , For point no. 4 **“It is seen that one village i.e. Naliwala submitted to be benefitted but on perusal of the KML file two habitation seems connecting from the proposed road. State govt may mark the location of the village in KML file”** reply furnished at your end is not complete.

Action to be taken- Justification for the same is given at your end, kindly mark the habitation in KML file also.

- 3- With reference to the EDS raised by RO, MOEFCC,GOI Dated-16.01.2020 , For point no. 11 **“As per DSS analysis 3 ha area found VDF and 14 ha in MDF proposed in degraded forest area. State GOVT may change the CA area with some other area suitable for plantation having no MDF and VDF”** reply furnished is not complete.

Action to be taken- KML file uploaded for patch no. 1 i.e. 1.38ha not tallied with area of polygon as shown in KML file. Kindly clarify for the same or upload the suitable KML file.

.....NODALOFFICE.....