



भारत सरकार  
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय  
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST  
& CLIMATE CHANGE

Regional Office  
Ground Floor, East Wing  
New Secretariat Building  
Civil Lines, Nagpur - 440001  
apccfcentral-ngp-mef@gov.in

F.No. FC-III/MH-56/2023-NGP /11982

Date: 31.07.2023

To,

The Principal Secretary (Forests),  
Revenue and Forest Department,  
Hutatma Rajguru Chowk  
Madam Cama Marg  
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 400032.

**Sub: Diversion of 48.55 ha forest land in favour of MSRDC (PRR), Pune for construction of Pune Ring Road Western (PRRW) from Urse to Varve at Village-Kelwade Taluka- Haveli, Mulshi and Maval, District- Pune in the State of Maharashtra- regarding.**

Sir,

The undersigned is directed to refer to Government of Maharashtra letter no . FLD-1923/CR-139/F-10 dated 12.07.2023 and APCCF & Nodal Officer (FCA), Maharashtra letter no. Desk-17/Nodal/Pune/ID-13752 (NH)/167/2023-24 dated 19.04.2023 on the above subject seeking prior approval of the Central Government under Section-2 of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and to say that preliminary scrutiny of the proposal in the Regional Office, MoEF&CC revealed following:

- i. The instant proposal has not been forwarded to Regional Office, Nagpur, the proposal is showing as pending at State Government level. The proposal has to be forwarded to Regional Office, Nagpur for further consideration under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.
- ii. Part- V is also not uploaded on Parivesh portal. The same needs to be uploaded and intimated to this office.
- iii. Compensatory Afforestation details viz. site suitability certificate, CA scheme and KML file of CA land has not been uploaded on Parivesh portal. The same needs to be loaded and intimated to this office.
- iv. Differential GPS map of land identified to carry out Compensatory Afforestation is not submitted along with the proposal. Differential GPS of CA land certified by DCF concerned needs to be submitted.
- v. As the proposal is more than 40 ha, Site Inspection report of CCF concerned is mandatory. Site Inspection report of CCF concerned is not submitted along with the proposal nor uploaded on Parivesh portal. Site Inspection report of CCF concerned shall be uploaded on Parivesh portal and submitted to this office also.
- vi. Discrepancy is observed in number of trees proposed to be felled in the proposal, wherein, State Government of Maharashtra has mentioned that 987 trees are proposed to be felled in the proposal, but enumeration list of 1025 trees has been submitted. Further, DCF, Pune has mentioned in Part- II that enumeration of trees is done by sample plot method. Complete and exact number of trees to be felled in the proposal needs to be submitted.

- vii. Certificate w.r.t. Archeological importance submitted along with the proposal is no signed by DCF concerned. Signed copy of the same needs to be submitted.
- viii. Two CA schemes with different estimates have been submitted by same DCF i.e. DCF, Parbhani. Correct CA scheme needs to be submitted.
- ix. Cross sectional map is not submitted along with the proposal. The same needs to be submitted.
- x. Details of employment potential in terms of man days of skilled, semiskilled and unskilled persons on permanent (direct and indirect) and temporary (direct and indirect) basis have not been provided. The same needs to be provided.

In view of the above, I am directed to request the State Government to submit information on the shortcomings, as indicated above, to this Ministry for further consideration of the proposal for approval under the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.

This issues with the approval of DDGF (Central), Regional Office, MoEF&CC, Bhopal.

Yours faithfully,



**(N.K. Dimri)**

Technical Officer (Gr. I)

Copy to:

- i. The PCCF (HoFF), Government of Maharashtra, Nagpur.
- ii. User agency.
- iii. Guard file.



**(N.K. Dimri)**

Technical Officer (Gr. I)