



कार्यालय-उपनिदेशक, गोविन्द वन्य जीव विहार/राष्ट्रीय पार्क, पुरोला।

पुरोला, दूरभाष एवं फैक्स नं०. 01373-223433, Email- gwlsupurola@gmail.com

पत्रांक 213 / 12-1 पुरोला:

दिनांक 08/08/2018

सेवा में,

अधिशाली अभियन्ता,
निर्माण खण्ड, लो०नि०वि,
पुरोला, उत्तरकाशी।

विषय:- जपनद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी में मा० मुख्यमन्त्री घोषणा सं०-394/2013 के अन्तर्गत रुपिन नदी पर धौला नामक स्थान पर 42 मी० स्पान का लौह सेतु का निर्माण।

सन्दर्भ:- भारत सरकार की ई०डी०एस० क्वेरी दिनांक-01.03.2018, प्रस्ताव सं०-
FP/UK/others/9741/2015

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित पत्र के क्रम में अवगत कराना है कि भारत सरकार की ई०डी०एस० क्वेरी में दर्ज आपत्तियों का क्र०स० 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,14,16 का निस्तारण आपके स्तर से किया जाना है। दर्ज आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर इस कार्यालय को उपलब्ध करवाना सुनिश्चित करें, तत्पश्चात प्रभागीय स्तर से निस्तारित की जाने वाली आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर प्रस्ताव पर अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही की जानी सम्भव होगी।

अतः प्रस्ताव पर दर्ज आपत्तियों को शीघ्र निस्तारण करने का कष्ट करें।

संलग्न-यथोपरि

भवदीय

(आर०पी० मिश्रा)

उप निदेशक,

गोविन्द वन्य जीव विहार/रा०पा०
पुरोला, उत्तरकाशी

Proposal No. : FP/UK/Others/9741/2015

Name of Project: Construction of 42 meter Span Steel Girder Motor bridge over Rupin river

EDS dated 01.03.2018

Observations:

1. The requirement is mentioned for construction of 42 mts long bridge. In certain document, like digital map and toposheet, it is mentioned as 48 mts. Long bridge.

Action to be taken:

- i) Clarification and corrected documents may be submitted in hard copy
- ii) Documents may be corrected online in Part I.

2. Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted is shown as NIL

Action to be taken:

Correction may be made at A (x) part I online.

3. The authority letter for uploading the case on portal is in favour of one Sh. R.S.Panwar (refers sl no. A 3 xvii online part I) while it is mentioned as Sh. Vijay Kumar Mogha at A 3.

Action to be taken:

- i) Either authority letter may be corrected online and same may be submitted in hardcopy or
- ii) Correction may be made at sl no. A 3 (i) to (iii) online Part I.

4. In village-wise breakup, the name of village is shown as Dhaula, while in FRA it is mentioned as Sewa, Wari and Hadwari

Action to be taken:

- i) Village-wise break-up may be reviewed and corrected online at B 2.3 Part II.
- ii) In FRA, village Chaula may be reflected after review of case. And hard copy submitted in original.

5. Since area proposed for diversion is less than 01.0 ha, hence CA may not be required but no. plantation scheme is submitted

Action to be taken"

- i) Plantation scheme for 224 no. Of saplings may be submitted in hard copy.
- ii). Same may be uploaded online in Part II.

6. KML file does not show bridge.

VA

Action to be taken:

- i) Revised KML file showing proposed structure may be uploaded at C I Part I

7. Justification for locating the project is not uploaded online at para D Part I instead authority letter is uploaded.

VA

Action to be taken:

Justification for locating the project duly c/s by the DFO may be uploaded online.

8. Google earth picture showing alternative examined does not show any alternative to the one proposed.

VA

Action to be taken:

- i) Map showing alternative alignment may be uploaded at para D part I.
ii) Same may be submitted in hard copy.

9. Employment data at E shows temporary employment as 16800 while in Part I of hard copy submitted by user agency it is shown as 9568 which does not match.

VA

Action to be taken:

- i) Employment data at E may be reviewed and corrected wherever required.

10. In the component-wise break up at 2.4, name of area is given which is incorrect.

VA

Action to be taken:

In the component-wise break up at 2.4 in Part I online, component such as bridge, road etc, may be given.

11. Instead of digital map duly geo-referenced, google earth map is uploaded at C (iv) Part I which cannot be used for DSS analysis.

VA

Action to be taken:

geo-referenced digital map may be uploaded at C (iv)

12. Legal status of the area is not clear as it is mentioned as RF online in para 4 Part II and PF in SIR of DFO

DFO

Action to be taken: Correct legal status of area proposed for diversion may be provided and corrected online,

13. While as per documents provided it appears that prior approval of NBWL has been obtained, it is not clear whether prior approval of Apex court has been obtained.

DFO

Action to be taken:

Status of approval from Apex court may be provided.

14. The file folder provided by the state government is a coloured photocopy which is not admissible as per directions issued by the Ministry.

OA

Action to be taken:

File folder of the case in hard copy with original documents may be provided.

15. Vulnerability of land from erosion point of view is not mentioned rather it is mentioned that as per geologist report which defeats the purpose of making the case online in public domain if details are not provided in the relevant column.

DFO

Action to be taken:

Relevant details may be provided at para 6 Part II online by DFO.

16. Administrative approval and financial sanction from the competent authority for the project is not available.

OA

Action to be taken:

Relevant documents may be submitted in hard copy.

.....