

- 1- Upload the Correct KML file.
- 2- Please give detail of tree dia 0-10 tree uploaded tree list, also filled the same detail in para 4 (ii) Form A, part II.
- 3- Girth wise enumeration mentioned in Part II- 4-ii , not matched with the tree list uploaded.(Screenshot attached for your refrence), also the tree with Girth 0-10cm are not mentioned in the tree list, please update the same.

Not secure | forestsclearance.nic.in/PartIIReport\_A.aspx?pid=FP/UK/ROAD/31469/2018

(i) Density of vegetation

S. No.	Area(In ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	0.86	0.2	Eco 5
Total.	0.86		

(ii) Species-wise local/scientific names and girth-wise enumeration of trees at FRL

S. No.	Scientific Name	Local Name	(0-30)cm.	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
1	Pinus roxburghii	chir	22	18	6	3	0	0
2	Quercus leucotricophora	banj	9	0	0	0	0	0
3	Misc. Species	tun,bedu,jakhrenda,sfrash	5	1	✓	✓	0	0
Total			36	19	6	3	0	0
Sub Total (No of Trees.)			64					

5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : Area not cover in working plan.

6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : No erosion pron area

7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : 1.00

8. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view

(i). Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Leopard,monkey,barking deer,bear, etc

(ii). Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve,tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No

(iii). Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): No

DownloadPdfFile.aspx

S. No.	Local Name	Girth-wise Enumeration								Total
		10-30	30-40	40-50	50-60	60-70	70-80	80-90	90-100	
1	चिर का वन	18	17	1	5	1	2	1	64	
2	बान्ज का वन	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	7	
3	तुल का वन	-	2	-	1	-	-	-	3	
4	बहु का वन	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	
5	खजुर का वन	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	
6	शरिर का वन	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Total		18	18	17	2	5	1	2	64	

3- Also the proposal involve the felling of the Banj trees for which the inspection report by the CF is necessary as well as upload the information as per the Annexure 22

P-T-0.

पत्रांक 400 / 12-1 दि 5-8-2020  
प्रतिष्ठान उप वन संरक्षक केदारगढ वन्य जीव  
प्रभाग को प्रेषित । उक्त प्रकल्प पर विद्युत्  
कार्यवाही करते हुए इमाख्या नोडल अधिकारी को  
प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें ।



मुख्य प्रशासनिक अधिकारी  
नन्दादेवी बायोस्फियर रिजर्व  
गोरेश्वर

निदेशक/वन संरक्षक  
नन्दादेवी बायोस्फियर रिजर्व  
गोरेश्वर

h

Also the proposal number the follow to the form level at which the inspection report is  
It is necessary as well as signed the information at the - reference II