

PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/16964/2015

1. The total area of village wise break up does not match with the area proposed for diversion in Part I. The State Govt may do the necessary correction.
2. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map without showing geo-coordinates which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.
3. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA which should be 11.265 ha providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file. The Google earth map will not accepted for the purpose.
4. The State Govt may provide/upload correct KML file for CA in Part II.
5. The State Govt may review the density of the area in view of the number of trees proposed to be felled in the area and correct the NPV calculation in hard copy as well as in online Part II.
6. In the Cost Benefit analysis uploaded in the Part I in both performa VI b and VI C needs to be quantified in monetary terms. Cost over Benefit ratio may also be worked out.
7. Employment details provided in online Part I is seems to be incorrect. The State Govt may review the information and provide correct information if required.
8. The CA scheme is uploaded in Part II is only for area of 10.44 ha while it should be prepared for twice the area proposed for diversion i.e. 11.265 ha. The State Govt may provide revised CA scheme and upload the same in online part II.
9. Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction for construction of this road uploaded as additional document in Part I is issued in the year 2005. May clarify whether it has been revalidated for the year 2016-17
10. As per the tree enumeration list attached with the proposal out of 325 trees in forest area 44 trees are of dia 0-10 cm and the value should come 281 in online Part II but the number of trees proposed to be felled in online Part II is 313. The State Govt may rectify the same and give correct details in online Part II.
11. The CA stipulated does not commensurate with the total area diverted in the district. The State Govt may do the necessary correction in online Part II in the district profile and give complete and correct detail with proper justification of poor progress of CA in additional document if required.
12. The map uploaded in online Part I indicating alternate examined is not visible. The state Govt may upload the clear visible copy of the map.