

Construction of Diwalikhal-Kimoli to Narayanbagar Motor Road (6.52 ha)

On scrutiny of the proposal, following shortcomings have been found:

1. Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the proposal [Online para-A-1 (iii) of Part-I].
2. Name and designation of the competent authority who has issued the authorization letter in favour of Shri Kishore Kumar is not mentioned. [Online para-A-3 (xvii) of Part-I].
3. Village wise breakup of forest land proposed for diversion is not mentioned (online para-B-2.3 of Part-I).
4. Component wise breakup of forest land required is not provided in para-B-2.4 of online Part-I.
5. Soft copy of the geo-referenced map (KML file) showing the forest land proposed for diversion is submitted in Google Earth Image (Kml file) which does not serve the useful purpose. Soft copy of the geo referenced map is required to be submitted in Shapefile (.shp file).
6. Scanned copy of the geo-referenced digital map has also been submitted in Google Earth Image wherein, the geo-coordinates of 10 points only are shown over a length of 9.10 km which does not cover all the turning points (Curves) along the alignment of the proposed road. Therefore, the scanned copy of the GIS software generated map prepared by Using GPS i.e. the geo-referenced digital map showing geo-coordinates at regular interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points (curves) along the alignment of the road is required to be submitted.
7. It appears from the name of the road that the starting point is located at Dewalikhal but the starting point is mentioned at Kimoli village in the SoI Toposheet map as well as in ge-referenced digital map.
8. In para-D (i) of online Part-I, justification for locating the project in forest land is not given instead a certificate has been attached to the effect that alternative alignment is not available.
9. C/B Analysis is not satisfactory. The monetary figures given in the Cost Benefit Ratio calculation sheet do not tally with the monetary figures given in Annexure-VI (b): Parameters for evaluation of loss of forests and Annexure- VI (c): Parameters for evaluation of benefits notwithstanding loss of forests. It should be ensured that the parameters in Annexure-VI (b) & Annexure-VI (c) are quantified and expressed in monetary terms.
10. In the FRA certificate attached at para-K of online Part-I, the proceedings of the meeting of Gram Sabha have not been attached.
11. In प्रारूप-11 attached as additional document, name of road is mentioned as Extension of Sarkot connecting road to Bharadisain.
12. NOC of Gram Sabha has not been attached in the additional document instead the attendance sheet only has been attached.
13. In para- 7 of online Part -II, approximate distance of the proposed site of diversion inside from boundary of forest is mentioned as nil. Does it mean that the area proposed for diversion is situated at the boundary of the forest?
14. Name of CA site is mentioned as Kimoli Civil in the CA scheme uploaded in Part-II but, the suitability certificate is issued for Behtra Civil.
15. Soft copy of the geo-referenced map showing the area proposed for CA has been submitted in Google Earth Image (KML file) which does not serve the useful purpose. Moreover, some agricultural fields and a road have also been found included in the area proposed for CA in the google earth image. State Govt. may submit the soft copy (KML file) of the geo-referenced map of the area proposed for CA in Shapefile (.shp file) showing geo-coordinates of all the corner points along the periphery of the polygon. No agricultural fields and road etc should be included in the area proposed for CA.
16. It is seen from the scanned copy of the geo-referenced map showing the area proposed for CA to be prepared by using GPS or Total Station that only one geo-coordinate i.e. latitude and longitude has been shown for each patch in case of all 3 patches. GIS software generated scanned copy of the geo-referenced digital map showing geo-coordinates at all corner points (printed) along the periphery (polygon) may be submitted.
17. It is seen from the data given in para-14 of online Part-II that CA the progress of CA is 54% only which is not satisfactory.
18. On perusal of map, it is seen that the forest land proposed for diversion falls in Kedarnath WL Division and the Badrinath Forest Division but the report/documents in respect of Kedarnath WL Division have not been submitted.
19. A large no. of trees are proposed to be felled in the alignment of the road. State Govt. may explore feasibility of alternate alignment to reduce the no. of trees to be felled.
20. It is seen from the DSS analysis of the land selected for CA that out of 13.044 ha areas 3.00 ha area falls in Very Dense Forest (VDF) & 6.00 ha area falls in Moderately Dense Forest (MDF). The areas falling in VDF & MDF are not suitable for CA hence may be changed.

State Govt. is requested to remove the above shortcomings and submit necessary documents/information.

॥ कार्यालय प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी बद्रीनाथ वन प्रभाग, गोपेश्वर ॥

पत्रांक 567 / 12-1

दिनांक अगस्त, 04 2016।

प्रतिलिपि:- अधिशासी अभियन्ता, लोक निर्माण विभाग, गैरसैंण को इस आशय से प्रेषित कि वे आपत्तियों का निराकरण ऑनलाईन कर प्रस्ताव इस कार्यालय को प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें।

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
बद्रीनाथ वन प्रभाग, गोपेश्वर।