

## PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/18605/2016

1. Authority letter is not issued for the applicant in Part I.
2. In village wise breakup the total area of forest land is shown 0.612 ha and in non forest area 3.07 ha instead of the area proposed for diversion i.e. 2.25 ha and in non forest 3.465 ha. The correct details in village wise breakup for forest and non forest area may be updated in online Part I.
3. Proceedings of village level committee (VLC) meeting pertaining to all the villages shown in village wise break up is not furnished though the VLC provided for only one village Gawana. The State Govt may do the necessary correction in the information provided in village wise break up, and the VLC proceedings pertaining to all villages are involved in the diversion of forest land may also provided/uploaded in online Part I.
4. The component wise break up does not shows area marked for muck disposal in Part I.
5. The KML file for the area proposed for diversion is not uploaded in Part I. The file uploaded in this place is of area proposed for CA. The State Govt may upload correct KML file for road.
6. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map in Google earth map which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.
7. The geo-referenced digital map of area proposed for CA uploaded is not acceptable for the purpose as provided in Goole earth map. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file.
8. The SoI toposheet map locating area proposed for CA is not uploaded in Part I. The map uploaded in this column shows area proposed for diversion only. The State Govt may upload the correct file.
9. Copy of map indicating location of alternative examined is not uploaded in the designated place in Part I.
10. Justification of locating the project in forest land uploaded in Part I is not satisfactory. The State Govt may upload revised justification in the designated column.
11. Employment generation detail is not furnished in Part I.
12. CA stipulation against total forest area diverted in the district is not commensurate and neither justified by the State Govt regarding poor CA progress in the district. The State Govt may correct the information and if required do the proper justification for the poor progress of CA.
13. The date of site inspection mentioned in online Part II is incorrect and the point 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 are not answered by the DFO. The same may be rectified and correct SIR may upload in the designated place in online Part II.
14. In the DSS analysis the area calculated of KML file uploaded for CA is 3.54 ha while the area proposed for CA is 4.50 ha and out of this total area 2 ha area is moderately dense forest. The State Govt may replace this 2 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/upload revised digital map, SoI topohseet map and KML file of the complete 4.5 ha CA area again after making the above change.