## छत्तीसगढ़ शासन वन विभाग

मंत्रालय, महानदी भवन, नया रायपुर

क्रमांक / एफ 5-13 / 2016 / 10-2

रायपुर,दिनांक...../ 07/2016

प्रति,

वन संरक्षक (भू—प्रबंध) कार्यालय प्रधान मुख्य वन सरंक्षक छत्तीसगढ़, रायपुर ।

Diversion of 37.919 ha. of forest land in favour Executive Engineer, CSPTCL, Chhattisgarh for laying and construction of 132 KV DCSS Bhanupartappur-Pankhajur Transmission line in Kanker District in the State of Chhattisgarh.

संदर्भ:— भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, नागपुर का पत्र क्र F.No.FC-II/CH-38/2016-NGP/521 दिनांक 13.06.2016 ।

---00---

विषयांकित प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, नागपुर द्वारा संदर्भित पत्र (छायाप्रति संलग्न) के माध्यम से अतिरिक्त जानकारी चाही गई है । 2/— आदेशानुसार निवेदन है कि, भारत सरकार के संदर्भित पत्र द्वारा वांछित 11 बिन्दुओं पर अतिरिक्त जानकारियां भारत सरकार को शीघ्र प्रेषित करते हुए इस विभाग को सूचित करने का कष्ट करे ।

संलग्न :- उपरोक्तानुसार ।

DIC

(श्रीधर नायडू) विशेष कर्तव्यस्थ अधिकारी छत्तीसगढ शासन, वन विभाग

पृष्टां. कमांक एफ 5—13/2016/10—2 प्रतिलिपि :— रायपुर,दिनांक...../ 07 / 2016

- 1. मुख्य वन संरक्षक, कांकेर, वृत्त कांकेर, छत्तीगढ़ ।
- 2. वनमंडलाधिकारी पूर्व भानुप्रतापपुर वन वनमंडल, भानुप्रतापपुर, छत्तीसगढ़ ।
- 3. आवेदनकर्ता कार्यपालन यंत्री क्रुति उच्च दाब (निर्माण) छ.ग. राज्य विद्युत पारेषण कम्पनी मर्यादित भिलाई, जिला दुर्ग छ.ग. की ओर सूचनार्थ ।

विशेष कर्तव्यस्थ अधिकारी छत्तीसगढ शासन, वन विभाग



## भारत सरकार GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवाय परिवर्तन मंत्रालय MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FORESTS & CLIMATE CHANGE

F. No. FC-II/CH-38/2016-NGP/521

Regional Office (WCZ)
Ground Floor, East Wing
New Secretariat Building
Civil Lines, Nagpur - 440001
apccfcentral-ngp-mef@gov.in

Dated: 13th June, 2016

The Trincipal Secretary (Foxests),
Government of Chhattisgarh,
Forest Department,
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhavan,
New Raipur (CG).

Sub: Diversion of 37.919 ha of Forest Land in favour of Executive Engineer, CSPTCL, Chhattisgarh for laying and construction of 132 KV DCSS Bhanupartappur-Pankhajur transmission line in Kanker District in the State of Chhattisgarh – regarding.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the State Government of Chhattisgarh. letter no. F-513/2016/10-2 dated 25.05.2016 on the above subject seeking prior approval of the Central Government under Section – 2 of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and to say that preliminary scrutiny of the proposal in the Regional Office (WCZ) of the MoEF&CC at Nagpur revealed following:

- i. Hard copy of Part-I has not been submitted along with the proposal. Further, Part-II submitted online and hard copy furnished along with the proposal varies substantially in their content. CA details have been provide online while Part-II submitted along with the proposal mentioned that these requirement will be incorporated at the time of mining plan and processing of transmission line which implies that underlying documents have not been scrutinized by the DCFs concerned.
- ii. Dates on which inspection of the area was undertaken by the DCFs concerned has not been indicated in their respective inspection reports. Moreover, instead of uploading inspection report online, the DCFs concerned have uploaded a scanned copy of Part-II of Form-A. Further, site inspections reports of the DCF/CF pertaining to the area identified for raising CA may also be submitted by the State Government.
- iii. Certificates regarding location of area proposed for diversion beyond the eco-sensitive zone of PAs have not been submitted by the DCFs concerned. Certificates from the DCF, West Bhanupratappur, certifying information furnished in respect of Part-II have not been submitted.
- iv. Exact distance of the proposed alignment from the boundary of forest land has not been provided to ascertain how deep inside the forest the alignment of transmission has been proposed by the User Agency.
- v. Exanimation of the kml/shape files on DSS, it is revealed that out of four patches identified for CA, 3 patches falls in the category of moderately dense forest having density above 40% and may not be suitable for raising CA. The State Government is therefore required to identify alternate sites for raising CA.
- vi. No abstract details of CA area (whether degraded forest or non-forest land) have been provided by the DFO in Part-II. It is noticed invariably that inadequate information is submitted by the concerned DCFs in Part-II. Instead of referring the annexure in the proposal, the DCFs, concerned may be directed to fill at least abstract of information in the Part-II and detailed information may be referred in the Annexure submitted along with the proposal. The State Government may take action as appropriate to ensure compliance in this regard
- vii. As per the certificate of District Collector, Kanker towards the settlement of rights issued for individual village indicating village wise forest and revenue forest area total forest area involved in

the proposal is 40.874 ha, comprising of 38.34 ha PF/RF area and 2.534 ha of revenue forest area. The discrepancy in the area proposed for diversion and reported by the District Collector may be re-visited by the State Government exact legal status of the land proposed for diversion may be ascertained. Further, a detail of action taken on settlement of rights in respect of 10 rights holders, as reported by the District Collector may also be submitted by the State Government.

- viii. Copies of record of consultations meeting of the Sub-Divisional Level Committee, as required under the provision of clause (a) of Form-I annexed to MoEF&CC's advisory dated 5.07.2013 has not been submitted along with the compliance of Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006.
- ix. For want of detailed report on various attributes examined for various alternatives viz. forest area, number of trees, length, feasibility etc. and comments of DCFs concerned thereof, it appears that alignment has been finalized based on single parameter i.e. length in forest area. A report on the same may be submitted by the State Government.
- x. As per Cost benefit analysis, the BC ration has been worked out to be 1:2.39 Lakhs, which is exorbitantly high. Parameters to evaluate cost of the project have not been taken into consideration appropriately. CB analysis needs to be re-worked by applying appropriate technoeconomic tools to suitably assess the parameters for assessment of losses and benefits of the project.
- xi. Details of employment potential in terms of man days of skilled, semiskilled and unskilled persons on permanent (direct and indirect) and temporary (direct and indirect) basis. Also employment potential of the project, during post construction phase, has also not been provided.

In view of the above, I am directed to request the State Government to submit the information on the shortcomings, as indicated above, to this office for further necessary action in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Charan Jeet Singh Scientist 'C'

Copy to:

1. The PCCF, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur

2. The Nodal Officer FCA), O/o of the PCCF, Government of Chhattisgarh, Raipur.

3. User Agency (M/s Executive Engineer, HTV (Construction) Division, Chhattisgarh State Electricity Transmission Company, Bhilai-3).

4. Guard File.

Charan Jeet Singh Scientist 'C'