

**OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
(HEAD OF FOREST FORCE), RAJASTHAN, JAIPUR**

Aranya Bhawan, Jhalana Institutional Area, Jaipur Ph : 0141-2713949
Email : ccf.fca.rajasthan@gmail.com/apccf.fca.forest@rajasthan.gov.in

No. F.14()/FCA/APCCF/PSC/Part-I/2023-24/66

Dated : 29.08.2024

**MINUTES OF THE THIRTY-THIRD (33rd) MEETING OF THE PROJECT SCREENING COMMITTEE
(PSC) HELD ON 28th AUGUST, 2024 (WEDNESDAY)
AT ARANYA BHAWAN, JAIPUR**

The 33rd meeting of the Project Screening Committee (PSC) of Rajasthan FCA, Jaipur was held on 28.08.2024 (Wednesday) at 02:30 PM in hybrid mode, under the provisions of Rules 8 & 9 of Forest (Conservation) Rules, 2022 & Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules, 2023. The following members/representatives of the State Govt. of Rajasthan were present during the meeting :

1.	Ms. Shikha Mehra Nodal Officer and Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	Chairperson
2.	Shri R. K. Khairwa Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Kota	Member
3.	Shri Rajiv Chaturvedi Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Jaipur	Member
4.	Shri Anoop K. R. Chief Conservator of Forests and Field Director (WL), RTR, Sawai Madhopur	Member
5.	Shri R. K. Khairwa Chief Conservator of Forests and Field Director (WL), MHTR, Kota	Member
6.	Shri Sharda Pratap Singh Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Ajmer	Member
7.	Shri Sunil Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Udaipur	Member
8.	Shri R. K. Jain Chief Conservator of Forests (CCF), Jodhpur	Member
9.	Dr. Khushaal Yadav District Collector (DM), Sawai Madhopur	Member
10.	Shri Anil Yadav Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Baran	Member
11.	Shri B. L. Nehra Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Jhunjhunu	Member
12.	Dr. Ramanand Bhakar Deputy Conservator of Forests and Deputy Field Director, Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve (RTR-I), Sawai Madhopur	Member
13.	Shri Sanjeev Sharma Deputy Conservator of Forests and Deputy Field Director, Ramgarh Vishdharí Tiger Reserve (RVTR - Core), Bundi	Member
14.	Shri Virendra Singh Krishniya Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Bundi	Member
15.	Shri Sugna Ram Jat Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Ajmer	Member
16.	Shri Apoorva Krishan Srivastava Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Kota	Member
17.	Shri Jignesh Sharma Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Banswara	Member
18.	Shri Hari Kishan Saraswat Dy. Conservator of Forests, Pratapgarh	Member

19.	Shri Gaurav Garg Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Bhilwara	Member
20.	Smt. Kasturi Prashant Sule Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Sirohi	Member
21.	Shri P. Balamurugan Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Pali	Member
22.	Shri Vijay Shankar Pandey Dy. Conservator of Forests (DCF), Chittorgarh	Member
23.	Shri Muthu S. Deputy Conservator of Forests and Deputy Field Director, Mukundra Hills Tiger Reserve (MHTR), Kota	Member
24.	Shri Anurag Kumar Bhatnagar Dy. Conservator of Forests Wildlife (DCF-WL), Kota	Member
25.	Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta Dy. Conservator of Forests (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	Member-Secretary
26.	Shri Hari Prasad Meena Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division – Shahabad (Baran)	User Agency
27.	Shri Daya Shankar Chaubey Dy. General Manager, Sikar Khetri Transmission Limited, Sikar	User Agency
28.	Shri Suarabh Gupta Dy. General Manager, POWERGRID	User Agency
29.	Shri Rajesh Meena Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Sawai Madhopur	User Agency
30.	Shri Ved Prakash Sharma Executive Engineer, PWD – NH, Division – Ajmer, Near Todarmal Lane, Ajmer	User Agency
31.	Shri R. S. Jhanwar Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Kota, Distt. – Kota	User Agency
32.	Shri Satish Sharma Executive Engineer, Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Jaipur	User Agency
33.	Shri Mahesh Mudgal Assistant Engineer, Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Jaipur	User Agency
34.	Shri Sunil Sharma Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Kota	User Agency
35.	Shri Umesh Sharma Assistant Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Kota	User Agency
36.	Shri Dinesh Kumar Meena DGM (Tech.) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU-Banswara	User Agency
37.	Shri Dinesh Patil Representative of Jindal Steel & Power Ltd., Bhubaneswar (Odisha)	User Agency
38.	Shri Khartesh Dhatarwal Assistant Engineer, O/o Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Abu Road, Sirohi	User Agency
39.	Shri Bhudev Singh Sr. DGM, POWERGRID Beawar Dausa Transmission Limited (PBDTL), Ajmer	User Agency
40.	Shri Vinod Kumar Nayak Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), Project Division - Begun (Chittorgarh)	User Agency

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED ON PARIVESH – 1.0 PORTAL

Agenda 33.1. : Construction and widening of Ramgarh Mata ji parikrma road (FP/RJ/ROAD/34310/2018) – 10.125 Kms.

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 1.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Public Works Department, Division - Shahabad, Distt. – Baran.**
2. Category : **Road (Linear).**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Baran** and Division - **Baran Territorial**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years**
 - Total estimated cost of the Project (in Lakhs) : **1,000 Lakhs**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **3.09 Ha.**, Forest Land : **9.06 Ha.**, Total Land : **12.15 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - **Permanent/Regular : 0 man-days and Temporary : 15 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes.**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The selected route will divert minimum forest land instead of alternatives, it is techno-economically feasible and it will also interconnect many villages.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the FC Act, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **Yes (Details enclosed).**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation : **NFL applicable : Yes (Undertaking/Details are enclosed/submitted).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Not applicable).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone? : **No.**
20. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in a Scheduled Area? : **No.**
21. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minumum forest land, area calculation sheet etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Baran Territorial on Parivesh 1.0 are as under :

1. District – **Baran** and Division – **Baran Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **9.06 Ha.**
3. Category : **Road (Linear).**
4. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest.**

5. Canopy Density – **0.4** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
6. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
7. Number of trees which will actually be felled : **157 trees**, No. of species : **6.**
8. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Dhok and misc forest improvement.**
9. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Gentle Rolling.**
10. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
11. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
12. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Jackal, Jungle Cat, Neelgai, Fox, Rabbit, Pig, Hyena, Langur, Cranes, Small Civet, Vulture, Peacock, Saltwater etc.**
13. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
14. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
15. Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within Eco-Sensitive Zone (ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife (Protection) Act,1972 : **No.**
16. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **Yes.**
17. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
18. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
19. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML File, Location Details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.7043779 Crores.**
20. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 1.0.
21. **Other additional information :** Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-Counting Report, Recommendation of concerned DCF & DCF, Site Inspection Report (SIR) etc. are enclosed/uploaded.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the proposal was discussed in 27th PSC meeting held on 30.04.2024 and some information was sought from DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial which is as follows :-

1. Working plan prescription has not been submitted correctly.
2. Ramgarh Crater has been declared as World Geo-Heritage Site but DCF has given no any information in point no. 9 of part - II and in the Site Inspection Report of the DCF. NOC from the competent authority is also required. A clarification may be also sought from Department of Environment, Government of Rajasthan regarding whether this activity is allowed or not allowed as per guidelines? CCF will also give the comments for recommendation of this road in this point of view after examination of allowed activities in World Geo-Heritage Site guidelines.
3. Non-Forest Land (NFL) has been given in 6 patches, which is not acceptable. As per FCA Guidelines 2023, NFL should be given more than 5 ha in a single patch. District Collector had allotted 32.19 ha. NFL for 7 road proposals. UA should clearly mark individual proposal wise land on DGPS map.
4. Estimate of CA is not clear and CA scheme in prescribed format has not been submitted.
5. The proposed forest area falls inside the Ramgarh Conservation Reserve. Therefore, comments from CWLW are also required.
6. As per the reply of UA, total 4,733 trees are falling inside the RoW of the road but only 157 trees have been marked in online part - II.

Then in 27th PSC meeting, PSC had raised query/EDS to DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial for compliance of all the above points. Now DCF/CCF have replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under :-

1. Complied. Working plan prescription has been mentioned that the proposed area falls under improvement of Dhok and mixed species.
2. Partially complied. NOC from Archeological Survey of India has been uploaded as the proposed road is passing at the edge of the monuments. Hence, no impact on geological monuments by the project. But corrections are required in point no. 9 of the part - II and Site Inspection Report of the DCF.
3. Complied. UA submitted the proposed Non Forest Land (CA-NFL) 32.19 ha allotted in 6 patches. In which all proposals are marked in the proposed NFL. The proposed NFL 9.06 ha has been adjusted in two patches.
4. Not complied. CA scheme in prescribed format has not been submitted yet. New CA rates are also applicable from the 05.07.2024. CA scheme in prescribed format with new model is required.
5. Not Complied. The proposed forest area falling inside Ramgarh Conservation Reserve: Therefore, comments from CWLW are required.
6. Partially complied. DCF replied that out of total 4,733 trees only 157 trees are to be cut in the proposed road but total 4,733 trees details have not been filled in part - II.

Thus, DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial have still not submitted all the requisite information as directed in the 27th PSC meeting dated 30.04.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to DCF Baran Territorial/CCF Kota Territorial again for compliance of all non-complied/partially complied EDS points. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to DCF/CCF; if anything required.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and

Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -

(Shri R. K. Khairwa)

Chief Conservator of Forests,

Kota

- Not present -

(Shri Rohitashva Singh Tomar)

District Collector,

Baran

[Signature]
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),

Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -

(Shri Anil Yadav)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,

Baran

PROPOSALS SUBMITTED ON PARIVESH – 2.0 PORTAL

Agenda 33.2. : Construction of 765 KV D/C Sikar-II-Khetri Transmission Line (TL03) State in Rajasthan (FP/RJ/TRANS/488903/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Dy. General Manager, Sikar Khetri Transmission Limited, 765/400 kV Substation, Village - Jurathra, VPO - Jurathra, District - Sikar (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Jhunjhunu** and Division – **Jhunjhunu Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **28,481 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **464.5579 Ha., Forest Land : 21.5405 Ha., Total Land : 486.0984 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Deemed Forest (4.9044 Ha.) & Protected Forest (16.6361 Ha.).**
 - Underground Component : **Over Head Transmission Line.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 2,736 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 45,600 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 51,100 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 63,875 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest Crossing. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 355.3731).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet/Area Statement, Undertaking regarding Medicinal/Dwarf Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC -1st):

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. As per alternate route analysis, some area may be reduced at segment no. 3 and 8. Verification of route with DCF is required.
2. The proposed route falling inside the Mansa Mata Conservation Reserve. Therefore, comments from CWLW are required.
3. As per DCF Jhunjhunu, deemed forest area has been left in the proposal. UA is advised to check the area calculation sheet with DCF.
4. The area of khasra no. 512/87 of Bhojgargh village has not owned by Forest Department. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode/hard copy to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)

Chairperson (PSC) and

Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -

(Shri Rajiv Chaturvedi)

Chief Conservator of Forests,

Jaipur

- Not Present -

(Ms. Chinmayee Gopal)

District Collector (DM),

Jhunjhunu

[Signature]

(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)

MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),

Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -

(Shri B. L. Nehra)

Dy. Conservator of Forests,

Jhunjhunu

Agenda 33.3. : Stréngthening & Widening of Sumerganjmandi Daulatpura Kamleshwar Mahadev Chitara Lahsoda Bodal upto NH 552 on MDR 131 KM 34/0 to 42/0 (FP/RJ/ROAD/488973/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Collectorate Road, Opposite Nagar Parishad, Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan).
2. Category : Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – Sawai Madhopur and Division – WL Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve (RTR - I) Sawai Madhopur.
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : No
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : 99 Years
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : 1,000 Lakhs.
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : 0.00 Ha., Forest Land : 8.00 Ha., Total Land : 8.00 Ha.
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : Protected Forest.
 - Underground Component : N/A.
5. Digital Maps : KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : Permanent : 1,800 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 100 man-days.
 - During Operational Phase : Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : No
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : No
9. Alternative site(s) explored : No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific or it is widening/strengthening of the existing road).
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and this diversion will divert the minimum forest land.
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : No
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : No
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : No
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : No
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act 2006 : Yes (Undertaking enclosed).
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : Yes : NFL applicable (Details of equivalent Non-Forest Land have not been given by the UA).
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : Not made because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : No
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : Yes (Status of approval of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) to the Project : Proposal No. WL/RJ/ROAD/488974/2024 is under consideration).
20. Other additional information : Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.

Decision of the PSC (PSC -1st) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. As the proposed road alignment is nearby CTH area of Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve. Therefore, complete alignment of the proposed road is required.
2. The proposed road submitted in forest while the non forest area of Bhairupura village (Khasra no. 27/1) has not been taken.
3. The road terminates in the forest area which is not admissible.
4. Details of Non-Forest Land (order for allotment/set-aside of NFL, KML file, GT Sheet, DGPS map etc.) have not been provided.
5. The area falling inside the Critical Tiger Habitat of Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve but information at the point no. 10 of part - I has not been filled. The status of proposal for wildlife clearance is required.
6. Cross section is incorrect as per width taken in the proposal.
7. Justification for widening of road on the basis of traffic data (PCU), social aspects etc is required. UA should explore the possibility of elevated road inside the CTH area.
8. Project cost is uploaded but project report is not uploaded.
9. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha

(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through v.c. -

(Shri Anoop K. R.)
CCF & FD (WL),
RTR, Sawai Madhopur

- Present through v.c. -

(Dr. Khushaal Yadav)
District Collector (DM),
Sawai Madhopur

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through v.c. -

(Shri Ramanand Bhakar)
DCF & DFD (WL),
RTR - I, Sawai Madhopur

Agenda 33.4. : Development of Bundi Silor Namana Gararda Bhopatpura road (SH-29B) FROM KM 0/0 TO 44/0 in Rajasthan (FP/RJ/ROAD/419942/2023) - 14.105 Kms.

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Project Director, PPP PWD Kota, Circle – Kota, District – Kota.**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Bhilwara & Bundi** and Division – **(WL) Ramgarh Visdhari Tiger Reserve (RVTR – Core), Bundi & Bundi Territorial**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **18,466 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **28.79 Ha.,** Forest Land : **28.21 Ha.,** Total Land : **57.00 Ha.**
 - **WL RVTR (Core) Bundi Division** : Forest Land : **10.68 Ha.,** Non-Forest Land : **4.05 Ha.**
 - **Bundi Territorial Division** : Forest Land : **17.53 Ha.,** Non-Forest Land : **24.74 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 7,200 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 12,000 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 7,200 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 12,000 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (because this project or activity is related to widening of the existing road).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **Bundi - Silor - Namana - Gararda - Bhopatpura Road is a main SH road of Block- Bundi which provides inter - connectivity of Bundi to Bhilwara district. This road connects no. of villages like Silor, Gararda, Loicha, Gunwar, Namana etc. & covers population of more then 1,00,000 in overall and it will divert minimum forest land.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details are enclosed/uploaded online).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 0.2341).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **Yes (Proposal WL/RJ/ROAD/416931/2023 is under consideration).**

20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Undertaking for Minimum use of forest land, Area Calculation Sheet, Undertaking for Muck Disposal, Bar - Chart etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Bundi Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Bundi** and Division – **Bundi Territorial**.
2. Diverted Forest Area – **17.53 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest**.
4. Canopy Density – **0.01** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. **Enumeration Details :-** Total No. of trees actually to be felled – **290** and Total Species – **20**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Grass & Grazing, Plantation, Soil Conservation & Rehabilitation Working Circle (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Area is not vulnerable to erosion.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Deer, Neelgai, Fox, Chital, Hyna, Rabbit etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **Yes.**
13. Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : **There is rare impact of project on such area because proposed road is already in existence and mitigation measures have been proposed wildlife clearance proposal.**
14. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **Yes.**
 - Located within : **0.00 Km.**
 - Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : **Proposed land falls in the buffer (ESZ) of RVTR, There is rare impact of project on such area because proposed road is already in existence and mitigation measures have been proposed wildlife clearance proposal.**
15. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **Yes** (Details and comments on the impact of Project on such species of Flora and Fauna : **Presence of rare/endangered/unique species of flora 1. Crataeva Religioiosa 2. Phanera vahlii).**
16. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
17. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
18. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : Combined (NFL & DFL) applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML file, Location details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.8187 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
19. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
20. **Other additional information :** Site Inspection Report (SIR), Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-cutting list, Recommendation etc. are enclosed.

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF (WL) Ramgarh Visdhari Tiger Reserve (RVTR – Core), Bundi on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :

1. District – **Bhilwara** and Division – **(WL) Ramgarh Visdhari Tiger Reserve (RVTR – Core), Bundi.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **10.68 Ha.**

3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.45** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. **Enumeration Details :-** Total No. of trees actually to be felled – **1941** and Total Species – **9**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Soil conservation and rehabilitation working circle (Copy of Working plan prescription is enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **As per herewith attached working plan.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Bagh, Baghera, Jangal cat, Sloth bear, Desert cat, Nilgai, Indian boar, Stripped hyna, Bengal fox, Indian hare etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **Yes.**
13. Details and comments on the impact of Project on such areas : **Mitigation measures are taken in wildlife clearance proposal.**
14. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
15. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
16. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
17. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
18. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : NFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as KML file, Location details, DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.4379 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
19. **District Profile :** Details have not been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
20. **Other additional information :** Site Inspection Report (SIR), Copy of Block Notification, Certificate regarding NPV, Recommendation etc. are enclosed.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

Earlier, the proposal was discussed in 31st PSC meeting held on 11.07.2024 and some information was sought from both DCFs Bundi Territorial and (WL) Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve (Core), Bundi which is as follows :-

DCF Bundi Territorial :-

1. A total of 281 trees falls inside the forest land but DCF mentioned 290 trees to be cut. Correction is required.
2. The proposed area falls under Buffer of RVTR but DCF mentioned that there is no impact of forest and wildlife which is improper. Therefore, mitigate measures like animal passes plan, cattle proof fencing at the edge of ROW etc. should be taken so that man-animal conflict or road accidents etc may be reduced.
3. As per suitability certificate of DCF, the land is not suitable for plantation while a report of RO is attached in which the proposed site is suitable for 200 plants. Justification/Correction is required. DCF may do needful as per FC guidelines.
4. CA scheme is not based on State Government's new circular dated 08.07.2024.
5. KML file of CA land has not been submitted.

DCF (WL) Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve (Core) Bundi :-

1. Working plan prescription has not been submitted.
2. The proposed area falls under Buffer of RVTR but DCF mentioned that there is no impact of forest and wildlife which is improper. Therefore, mitigate measures like animal passes plan, cattle proof fencing at the edge of ROW etc. should be taken so that man-animal conflict or road accidents etc may be reduced.
3. District profile information of Bhilwara district has not been submitted.
4. CA scheme is not based on State Government's new circular dated 08.07.2024.
5. No additional information like NPV calculation, notification etc. have not been submitted.
6. KML file of CA land has not been submitted.

Then in 31st PSC meeting, PSC had raised query/EDS to both DCFs for compliance of all the above points. Now both DCFs have replied and made compliance of the above conditions as under :-

DCF Bundi Territorial :-

1. Complied. DCF rectified the information of trees come inside the proposed forest land.
2. Complied. DCF rectified the information of wildlife and mentioned that "the proposed forest area falls under Buffer of RVTR and no impact of forest and wildlife due to this project. Mitigative measures have been taken in wildlife clearance proposal."
3. Complied. DCF revised suitability certificate of non forest land and also uploaded.
4. Complied. DCF uploaded revised CA scheme.
5. Complied. KML file of CA land has been submitted.

DCF (WL) Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve (Core) Bundi :-

1. Complied. Working plan prescription has been submitted.
2. Complied. The proposed area falls under Buffer of RVTR but DCF mentioned that mitigation measures have been kept in wildlife proposal.
3. Complied. District profile information of Bhilwara District has been submitted.
4. Complied. Revised CA scheme has been submitted.
5. Complied. NPV calculation, notification etc. have been submitted.
6. Complied. KML file of CA land has been submitted.

Thus, both the DCFs have submitted all the requisite information as directed in the 31st PSC meeting dated 11.07.2024. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to accept/recommend this proposal in public interest & forward this proposal to the Nodal Officer for filling Part – III details as per Rule 9(4)(h) of Forest (Conservation), Rules, 2022.

<i>Sh. K. M.</i> (Ms. Shikha Mehra) Chairperson (PSC) and Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	<i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri R. K. Khairwa) Chief Conservator of Forests & Field Director (WL), MIHTR, Kota	<i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri R. K. Khairwa) Chief Conservator of Forests, Kota	<i>- Not present -</i> (Shri Namit Mehta) District Collector, Bhilwara	<i>- Not present -</i> (Shri Akshay Godara) District Collector, Bundi
<i>[Signature]</i> (Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta) MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur	<i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri Sanjeev Sharma) Dy. Conservator of Forests & Dy. Field Director (WL), RVTR (Core), Bundi	<i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri Virendra Singh Krishniya) Dy. Conservator of Forests, Bundi		

Agenda 33.5. : Upgradation of 2 lane to 4 lane with paved shoulder of NH - 79 (New NH-448) from km 3/100 to 7/000 (Design Chainage 3/100 to 6/900) Ajmer - Nasirabad Section on EPC Mode District - Ajmer in the State of Rajasthan (FP/RJ/ROAD/436675/2023).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : Executive Engineer, PWD-NH (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways), Division – Ajmer, Near Todarmal Lane, Ajmer (Rajasthan).
2. Category : Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – Ajmer and Division – Ajmer Territorial.
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : No.
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : 8,272 Lakhs.
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : 99 Years.
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : 0.90 Ha., Forest Land : 10.95 Ha., Total Land : 11.85 Ha.
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : Reserved Forest.
 - Underground Component : N/A.
5. Digital Maps : KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : Permanent : 3,000 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 200 man-days.
 - During Operational Phase : Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : No.
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : No.
9. Alternative site(s) explored : Yes (Details are enclosed).
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : Existing Road from Ajmer - Nasirabad Section is passing through the Forest land and Ghat section, therefore no any other alternative route is available. The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and it will divert minimum forest land & lower the project cost.
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : No.
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : No.
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : No.
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : No.
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : Yes.
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : Yes : NFL applicable (Details have been uploaded online).
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : Not made (Not applicable), because this project involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : No.
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : No.

20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA & Others, Undertaking regarding Minimum forest land, Undertaking for Conservation of Flora & Fauna, Area calculation sheet, Bar-chart etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC – 1st) :

Earlier, the **proposal was submitted in the 16th PSC meeting held on 28.08.2023, some information was sought** from UA which is as follows :-

1. UA has specified that the project is site specific but fresh forest land is involved in the proposal. Therefore, alternative routes and analysis should be given. DCF also suggested that alignment can be taken along the existing road where pipeline has not been laid down.
2. Village wise area calculation sheet is not uploaded in the proposal.
3. The road had already been constructed before submission of the project, whether the road had constructed before 1980? Please submit the documentary proof.
4. As per Guidelines issued on 21.08.2023, Non-Forest Land (NFL) is required in the proposal. Details of NFL and suitability certificate including KML file and DGPS map are required.
5. Project report has not been attached.
6. Copy of permission from PHED.
7. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points otherwise the proposal will be deregistered as per Rule 9(4)(c) of FCA Rules, 2022.

Then User Agency had replied, resubmitted the proposal in 25th PSC meeting dated 22.03.2024 and made compliance of the above conditions as under (the proposed forest area had been revised from 19.3302 Ha. to 10.95 Ha.) :-

1. Partially complied. UA has submitted alternatives but the letter of DCF has not been submitted in which alternative route can be taken along the existing road where pipeline has not been laid down.
2. Complied. Village wise area calculation sheet has been submitted and uploaded in part - I.
3. Partially complied. UA specified that the proposed road has already been taken before 1980 but no document has been uploaded for this purpose.
4. Partially complied. UA has not provided the details of non forest land order for reservation of land by the District Collector, suitability certificate including KML file and DGPS map etc.
5. Complied. UA has submitted the project report.
6. Not Complied. UA has not submitted the copy of permission from PHED. Except this, information of point no. 9 of CAF (Common Application Form) has not been revised as the shifting of Bisalpur pipeline is involved. Apart from this, undertaking regarding FRA Certificated has also not been uploaded in part - I.
7. Partially complied.
8. Apart from above, ADM Ajmer informed that nobody approached from UA for FRA and allotment of NFL. Therefore, UA is directed to contact district administration office for pursuing the matter.

Then due to incomplete compliance, PSC had raised EDS again to UA for completing the compliance. Now UA has again replied (with same forest area) and made compliance as under :-

1. Complied. UA submitted alternatives and the letter of DCF has also been submitted. Alternative routes have been taken as per discussion with DCF.
2. Complied. UA submitted document which specifies that the proposed road has already been taken before 1980.

3. Complied. UA has provided the details of Non-Forest Land (NFL) with order by District Collector, suitability certificate and uploaded KML file and DGPS map in part - I.
4. Complied. UA has submitted the copy of permission from PHED. Rectification in point no. 9 of CAF has also been done.

Now, **User Agency, (UA)** has made compliance of all the EDS raised in earlier PSC meetings. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, **PSC decided to accept & forward this proposal to the concerned DCF Ajmer Territorial for filling Part – II details. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the concerned DCF;** if anything required.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

D
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Sharda Pratap Singh)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Ajmer.

- Not Present -
(Dr. Bharti Dixit)
District Collector,
Ajmer

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Sugna Ram Jai)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Ajmer

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Kota, Raj Bhawan Road, Nayapura, Distt. – Kota (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Kota** and Division – **Kota Territorial**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **712.5 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **4.13 Ha., Forest Land : 5.32 Ha., Total Land : 9.45 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Reserved Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **Nil.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 2,700 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 5,400 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 240 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,200 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **In alternate route no 2 proposed left side of road and area of forest land is 5.746 hac., Non forest is 3.9235 hac. And R/S of proposed road submerged in Alniya Dam and even after 75 years of Independence of the Nation, Pachpahar village is still being unconnected to road. It is very necessary road for the above village. Approach road to Pachpahar is a main important road of block Manadana, Tehsil - Ladpura which provides interconnectivity to Pachpahar. This road will connect many villages and cover population of more than 1,500 in overall.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of Non-Forest Land (NFL) are enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made for this project (Not applicable because proposed forest area is less than 20 ha.).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**

20. Other additional information : Undertakings regarding payment of NPV/CA and Others, Undertaking for Minimum use of forest land, Bar-chart, Detailed Project Report etc. are enclosed.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – 1st) :

Earlier, the proposal was submitted in the 21st PSC meeting held on 13.12.2023, some information was sought from UA which is as follows :-

1. UA has not submitted alternative routes as the project is not site-specific. UA is advised to submit the alternative routes with justification based on social, technical and minimum forest land etc. aspects.
2. UA had already submitted the proposal earlier but details have not been given at point no. 1.4 of the online part - I.
3. Jamabandi of Renyakheri and Shyampura have not been provided.
4. As per google overlay, some encroachments are visible inside the Doliya and Shyampura forest blocks at proposed road. Justification is required. UA is advised to submit the route plan to the CCF for examination.
5. Cross section of the proposed width has not been provided.
6. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points otherwise the proposal will be deregistered as per Rule 9(4)(c) of FCA Rules, 2022.

Then User Agency had replied, resubmitted the proposal in 29th PSC meeting dated 11.06.2024 and made compliance of the above conditions as under (the proposed forest area remained same) :-

1. Complied. UA has provided alternative routes.
2. Partially complied. UA specified the details of sanction which had been issued on 16.03.2023. But earlier forest diversion proposal details have not been submitted.
3. Partially complied. Jamabandi of Shyampura has been provided but jamabandi of village Renyakheri has not been submitted.
4. Complied. As per reply of UA, CCF and DCF have visited the site and informed that there is no encroachment around Doliya and Shyampura forest blocks but in Google overlay, it is visible. In the meeting, DCF Kota also informed that the villages have already been settled before 1980.
5. Complied. UA submitted 10 meter width cross section of the proposed road.
6. Partially complied.
7. Apart from this, the status of the compliances of all pending In-principle approvals of PWD Kota will be submitted.

Then due to incomplete compliance, PSC had raised EDS again to UA for completing the compliance. Now UA has again replied (with same forest area) and made compliance as under :-

1. Complied. UA submitted the alternative route (1.1749+0.7540+3.8171=5.746 Ha.) at upper side of proposed route. In left side, alternative route cannot be taken due to there is submergence area of Alniya Dam.
2. Complied. UA replied that proposal (FP/RJ/ROAD/19525/2016) was submitted for diversion of forest land but due de-sanction of the road, the proposal was withdrawn and also specified the details of sanction which had been issued on 16.03.2023. But earlier diversion proposal details have not been submitted.
3. Complied. Jitya Ramnagar village is a newly created revenue village which is part of old village Renyakheri. Copy of jambandi of village Jitya Ramnagar is attached.

4. Complied. UA replied that habitation was settled before 1980. Copy of GT Sheet of the year 1973 has been uploaded.

Now, **User Agency (UA) has made compliance of all the EDS** raised in earlier PSC meetings. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, **PSC decided to accept & forward this proposal to the concerned DCF Kota Territorial for filling Part – II details.** However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the concerned DCF; if anything required.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

A
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through v.c. -
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Kota

- Not present -
(Dr. Ravindra Goswami)
District Collector,
Kota

- Present through v.c. -
(Shri Apoorva Krishna Sriyastava)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Kota

Agenda 33.7. : Construction of 132 kV D/C Transmission Line from Proposed 220 kV GSS Kelwara to 132 kV GSS, Kelwara, District - Baran (Rajasthan) (FP/RJ/TRANS/491185/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Pārivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Sakatpura, Kota (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Baran** and Division – **Baran Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **50 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **1,018 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **22.1913 Ha.,** Forest Land : **5.0706 Ha.,** Total Land : **27.2619 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **No.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 270 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 6,570 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 38,325 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 25,550 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due Khiriya protected forest block. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made (Not applicable), because this project involves less than 20 ha: diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet/Area Statement, Undertaking regarding Medicinal/Dwarf Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC -Ist):

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. As per alternate route analysis, some area may be reduced at segment no. 1. Verification of route with DCF is required.
2. Jambandi of forest area has not been enclosed.
3. A proposal of transmission line (Kelwara to Mamoni) also passing through the area. Area of that proposal should be verified with the proposed area.
4. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Sh. Mehra
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

Present through V.C. -
(Shri R. K. Khairya)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Kota

- Not Present -
(Shri Rohitashva Singh Tomar)
District Collector (DM),
Baran

A
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Anil Yadav)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Baran

Agenda 33.8. : Development of Garhi-Bagidora-Kushalgarh Road up to MP Border (SH-54A) District Banswara in the State of Rajasthan (FP/RJ/ROAD/468143/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **DGM (Tech.) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU-Banswara (Rajasthan):**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Banswara** and Division – **Banswara Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **25,060 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **117.8784 Ha., Forest Land : 10.8256 Ha., Total Land : 128.7040 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 10,950 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 10,224 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 21,900 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 10,224 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific or it is widening/strengthening of the existing road).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and this diversion will divert the minimum forest land.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land; Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

After careful examination of the proposal, it is found that :-

1. Earlier 2 proposals for the same route were submitted for diversion of 8.56 ha forest land by the PWD. EDS were raised in those proposals. Due to non-compliance within time limit, these proposals were delisted. UA is advised to submit an application form to withdraw for the above proposals.
2. The proposed non forest land falling inside the digital forest boundary, DCF Banswara has given suitability certificate for the same land; therefore, DCF Banswara is advised to correct their digital forest boundary with IT cell of the Forest Department.

Apart from above, all the information and documents submitted by UA in part - I are satisfactory. Therefore; after discussion with all the members, **PSC decided to accept & forward this proposal to the concerned DCF Banswara Territorial for filling Part – II details. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the concerned DCF; if anything required.**

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Sunil)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Not present -
(Dr. Inderjeet Yadav)
District Collector (DM),
Banswara

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Jignesh Sharma)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Banswara.

Agenda 33.9. : Development of Dhariyawad-Parsola-Sabla Road (SH-91) District Pratapgarh in the State of Rajasthan (FP/RJ/ROAD/468153/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **DGM (Tech.) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU-Banswara (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – **Pratapgarh** and Division – **Pratapgarh Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **99 Years**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **15,535 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **52.7698 Ha., Forest Land : 10.4639 Ha., Total Land : 63.2337 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 9,125 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 6,214 man-days.**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 18,250 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 12,428 man-days.**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific or it is widening/strengthening of the existing road).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and this diversion will divert the minimum forest land.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC -Ist):

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. Earlier 2 proposals for the same route were submitted for diversion of 19.76 ha and 16.4 ha. forest land by the PWD. EDS were raised in those proposals. Due to non-compliance within time limit, these proposals were delisted. UA is advised to submit an application form to withdraw those above proposals.
2. As per table no. A-13 total 12.050 km road falling inside the forest area but proposed forest area should be 19.28 Ha. as 16 meter width take in the proposal (only 6,738 RMT length is taken). Correction is required.
3. As per project, 60 meter ROW is required at chainage 29.600 to 29.900 km due to toll plaza but as per Bar chart 28.878 to 30.101 KM is falling in forest area and width taken as 16 meters only. Justification required.
4. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through v.c. -
(Shri Sunil)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

- Not Present -
(Dr. Anjali Rajoria)
District Collector (DM),
Pratapgarh

[Signature]
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through v.c. -
(Shri Hari Kishan Saraswat)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Pratapgarh

Agenda 33.10. : Construction of 220 kV D/C Transmission Line from Baran to Proposed 220 kV GSS Kelwara, District - Baran (Rajasthan) (FP/RJ/TRANS/491875/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Sakatpura, Kota (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Baran** and Division – **Baran Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **6,907 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **162.652 Ha., Forest Land : 39.06 Ha., Total Land : 201.712 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **220 kV Over head Transmission Line.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 1,460 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 21,900 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 51,100 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,27,750 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest block. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest-land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 59.2864).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet/Area Statement, Undertaking regarding Medicinal/Dwarf Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information. UA will provide the following information :-

1. Non forest lands are available nearby the proposed route, so the alignment can be selected in a way where proposed forest area gets reduced.
2. The proposed route is overlapping with proposal no FP/RJ/TRANS/491185/2024. Correction/Justification is required.
3. Three transmission lines are parallel to each-other, these may be aligned in such a way where proposed forest area gets minimal.
4. Cost Benefit Analysis is not correct. Revised/Correct Cost Benefit Analysis is required.
5. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Kota

- Not present -
(Shri Rohitashva Singh Tomar)
District Collector (DM),
Baran

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Anil Yadav)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Baran

Agenda 33.11. : Construction of 132 kV D/C Transmission Line from 132 kV GSS Nahargarh to Proposed 220 kV GSS Kelwara, District - Baran (Rajasthan) (FP/RJ/TRANS/492340/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Sakatpura, Kota (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Baran** and Division – **Baran Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **2,479 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **26.0118 Ha.,** Forest Land : **49.896 Ha.,** Total Land : **75.9078 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
 - Underground Component : **Over Head Transmission Line.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 1,460 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 14,940 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 51,100 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 63,875 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **No other Alternative is feasible except mentioned 2 alignments due protected forest block. Analysis of three routes has been done and route-1 has been selected because it involves minimum forest land and lower the project cost.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Yes made for this project (Cost-Benefit Ratio : 31.1836).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet/Area Statement, Undertaking regarding Medicinal/Dwarf Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. Non forest lands are available nearby the proposed route, so the alignment can be selected in a way where proposed forest area gets reduced.
2. The proposed route is overlapping with proposal no FP/RJ/TRANS/491185/2024. Correction/Justification is required.
3. Cost Benefit Analysis is not correct. Revised/Correct Cost Benefit Analysis is required.
4. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Kota

- Not present -
(Shri Rohitashva Singh Tomar)
District Collector (DM),
Baran

d
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Anil Yadav)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Baran

Agenda 33.12. : Dulkhera Jipiya Iron ore Block (FP/RJ/SRY/458646/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – C Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **General Manager, 3, Forest Park, Jindal Steel & Power Ltd., Bhubaneswar (Odisha).**
2. Category : **Exploration & Survey (Non - Linear).**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Bhilwara** and Division – **Bhilwara Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forestland is proposed to be explored/surveyed : **2 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **478 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **0.1390 Ha.**, Forest Land : **0.9636 Ha.**, Total Land : **1.1026 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Protected Forest.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, Google Map, Toposheet Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Total area of the prospecting lease (Ha.) : **868.581 Ha.**, Area proposed for Exploration/Survey : **Forest Land : 94.4916 Ha., Non-Forest Land : 774.0894 Ha.**
7. Date of issue of the License/Letter of Intent (LOI) for grant of prospecting lease : **26.07.2023 (Copy is enclosed).**
8. Activities Details :
 - Surface Sampling : **N/A, Area : 0.0.0001 Ha.**
 - Pitting or Trenching : **Nil (No. of Pits : 0).**
 - Drilling of Boreholes : **No. of Boreholes per 10 Sq. Km. : 12, Diameter : 3 Inches, Depth of Boreholes : 1,200 meters, Area of forest land likely to be experience temporary change in land used at each borehole site : 0.0001 Ha., Area of forest land likely to be experience permanent change of land used due to drilling or boreholes : 0.0001 Ha., Total meterage area of boreholes : 0.0046 Sq. meters.**
 - Construction of roads or paths involving temporary change in land use : **Length : 2.812 Kms., Width : 0.003 Kms., Area of Forest Land : 0.8436 Ha.**
 - Construction of roads or paths involving permanent change in land use : **Length : 0 Kms., Width : 0 Kms., Area of Forest Land : 0 Ha.**
 - Whether any other activity involving temporary changes in land use in proposed to be undertaken? : **No.**
 - Whether any other activity involving permanent changes in land use in proposed to be undertaken? : **No.**
9. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 2,400 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 6,000 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days**
10. Details of machinery or equipment to be deployed for prospecting :
 - Name of equipment or machinery : **Drilling Machine.**
 - Mode of traction : **Rotary.**
 - Size (LxBxH) : **72 Cubic Meters.**
 - Estimated Deployment : **3,000 Machine Hours.**
 - Maximum Noise Level : **85 Decibel.**
11. Details of existing paths/roads to approach for the Proposed Bore Hole location (PBH) to be utilized for transport of the equipment or machines in the forest land : **Details are enclosed.**
12. Number and duration of stay in the forest land of the persons proposed to be deployed for exploration/survey :
 - No. of Person : **10**
 - Duration : **600,Days.**
13. Summary of the estimated quantity of ore and other samples proposed to be collected during the exploration/survey :

- Details of Sample : **Iron Ore.**
 - Quantity proposed to be collected : **10 Metric Ton.**
14. Estimated accuracy and confidence level for mineral reserve assessment :
 - Estimated accuracy level for mineral reserve assessment : **95%**
 - Estimated confidence level for mineral reserve assessment : **75%**
 15. Estimated accuracy and confidence level in case number of bore holes proposed to be drilled is reduced by :
 - Accuracy : **50%**
 - Confidence Level : **40%**
 16. Details of approval accorded under the Van (SanrakshanEvamSamvardhan) Adhiniyamin the past :
 - Proposal No. : **N/A.**
 - Date of the approval accorded : **N/A.**
 - Area of forest land permitted for prospecting (ha) : **N/A.**
 - Validity period of the approval : **N/A.**
 17. Details of violation(s), if any committed : **No.**
 18. Justification for extension of permission accorded for prospecting : **N/A.**
 19. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R&R) involved? : **No.**
 20. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
 21. Alternative site(s) explored : **No (Not applicable because the project or activity is site-specific).**
 22. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
 23. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
 24. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
 25. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
 26. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable.**
 27. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
 28. Whether the project area falls within Protected Area or within 1 km of distance from the boundary of PA in the Eco Sensitive Zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**
 29. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of CA/NPV & Others, Minimum forest land, Borehole Location with Land-use details, Khasra & total lease boundary, Area calculation sheet etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Bhilwara Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :-

1. District – **Bhilwara** and Division – **Bhilwara Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **0.9636 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Protected Forest.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.1** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **No.**
6. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **The applied Forest land Proposed for Exploration/Survey is Included in Chapter of Working Plan Forest Division Bhilwara 2022-23 to 2031-32, and Part of Maintenance/Increase in the extent of Forest and Tree cover Working Circle and the related prescriptions (Copy of Working Plan prescription is enclosed).**
7. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Not prone to erosion.**
8. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
9. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
10. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **No.**

11. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
12. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
13. Whether any rare/ endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
14. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
15. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
16. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : DFL applicable.**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.108 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
17. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
18. **Other additional information/Other details :** Site Inspection Report (SIR), Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-cutting list, Recommendation etc. are enclosed.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

The proposal was submitted by UA in the 31st PSC meeting held on 11.07.2024 after compliance of EDS, then PSC had forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF Bhilwara Territorial for filling Part - II. Now the DCF has filled the Part – II with site inspection and forwarded the proposal to this Committee for PSC – IInd. After careful examination of the Part - II of proposal, it is found that following information is to be provided by DCF Bhilwara (due to incompleteness of Part - II) :-

1. Total compensatory afforestation is 4,117 ha including PCA but only 2,248 ha CA has been done. Why has the balance CA 1,869 ha not been done so far? Justification is required.
2. KML file for Compensatory Afforestation on 2 ha. has not been uploaded.
3. DGPS map, GT Sheet map and suitability certificate of proposed CA area has not been uploaded.
4. Information at point no. 15 is incorrect.
5. Details of wildlife at point no. 10 has not been submitted.

Therefore, after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to DCF Bhilwara Territorial for compliance. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the DCF; if anything required.

Sh. Me
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Sharda Pratap Singh)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Ajmer

- Not Present -
(Shri Namit Mehta)
District Collector,
Bhilwara

↓
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri Gaurav Gang)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Bhilwara

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : Assistant Engineer, O/o Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Abu Road, Near Bus Stand, Mount Road, Sirohi (Rajasthan).
2. Category : Road/Construction or Widening of Road including approach road to roadside establishments including bridges (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.
3. Location of the Project :
 - District – Sirohi and Division – Sirohi Territorial.
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : No
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : 99 Years
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : 2,500 Lakhs.
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : 1.1198 Ha., Forest Land : 7.8802 Ha., Total Land : 9.0000 Ha.
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : Reserved Forest.
 - Underground Component : No.
5. Digital Maps : KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : Permanent : 14,600 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 800 man-days.
 - During Operational Phase : Permanent : 0 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 0 man-days.
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : No
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : No
9. Alternative site(s) explored : No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific or it is expansion of the existing road).
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : The Dedlar village is newly created Tehsil in Bhakhar Area divided from Abu road in Pindwara Abu Constituency. Most of Revenue village like Tankiya Jayadara Nichalagarh etc. are on other Side bhakhar due to which those villages are coming to Tehsil Headquarter via Nichlahagarh Uplagarh Hanuman tekri abu road NH-27 to dedlar travelling distance almost 38 Km therefore this Road construction is necessary to reduce travelling distance 12-18 km only and also due to Battisha Nallah Project Existing. BT road submerged under Dam Reservoir hence connectivity to Deldar tehsil headquarter held up through existing BT road Abu road Derna Dedlar Nichlagarh road, hence by the constructing of this BT road those revenue villages will properly connected with short route for ease of transportation of various basic amenity of Human being like hospitality Education and revenue works etc. By considering the above mentioned issued the Proposed Road has been considered in the CM Budget Announcement 2024-25. The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible and this diversion will divert the minimum forest land.
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : No
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : No
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : No
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : No
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act 2006 : Yes (Undertaking enclosed).
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : Yes : NFL applicable (Details of equivalent Non-Forest Land have not been given by the UA).

17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not made because it involves less than 20 ha. diversion of forest land.**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –1st) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC **decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information.** UA will provide the following information :-

1. Why is the proposed road required? As the proposed road will give benefit to only two villages. No other villages found to be benefitted by this road. Justification on the basis of traffic data (PCU), social aspects etc is required.
2. As the fresh forest land is required in new alignment. Therefore, alternative routes are required.
3. Details of Non-Forest Land (order for allotment/set-aside of non forest land, KML file, GT Sheet, DGPS map etc.) have not been provided.
4. Cross section is incorrect as per width taken in the proposal.
5. Project cost is uploaded but project report is not uploaded.
6. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Shri R. K. Jain)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Jodhpur

- Not Present -
(Smt. Shubham Chaudhary)
District Collector (DM),
Sirohi

D
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

- Present through V.C. -
(Smt. Kasturi Prashant Sule)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Sirohi

Agenda 33.14. : Construction of Transmission Line Package TL01 & TL02 of Beawar -Dausa 765kV D/C Line - Part I & Part II associated with transmission system for evacuation of power from REZ in Rajasthan (20 GW) under Phase - III - Part H through tariff based competitive bidding (TBCB) Route (FP/RJ/TRANS/468272/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : **Sr. DGM, POWERGRID Beawar Dausa Transmission Limited (PBDTL), House no. A-97, Hari Bhau Upadhyay Nagar Main, Ajmer (Rajasthan).**
2. Category : **Power Transmission Line/Telecommunication Line (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.**
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - **Ajmer & Pali** and Division – **Ajmer Territorial & Pali Territorial.**
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : **No.**
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : **35 Years.**
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : **1,00,742 Lakhs.**
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : **1561.7834 Ha., Forest Land : 5.5878 Ha., Total Land : 1567.3712 Ha.**
 - Ajmer Territorial Division - Forest Land : **0.2010 Ha.**
 - Pali Territorial Division - Forest Land : **5.3868 Ha.**
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : **Reserved Forest (5.3868 Ha.) & Protected Forest (0.2010 Ha.).**
 - Underground Component : **N/A.**
5. Digital Maps : **KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.**
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : **Permanent : 4,923 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 2,58,750 man-days**
 - During Operational Phase : **Permanent : 51,100 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,05,000 man-days**
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : **No.**
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : **No.**
9. Alternative site(s) explored : **Yes (Details are enclosed).**
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : **After analysis of three alternatives, it is observed that in the Route-I line length as well as forest land involvement will be Minimum, therefore Alternative Route - 1 has been proposed.**
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : **No.**
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : **No.**
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : **No.**
14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhinyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : DFL applicable (Undertaking enclosed).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not applicable, so not made for this Project (because the proposed forest area is less than 20 Ha.).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **No.**

20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV, CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet, Undertaking regarding Medicinal Plantation etc. are enclosed.**

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Ajmer Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :-

1. District – **Ajmer** and Division – **Ajmer Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **0.201 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Others.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.01** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **No.**
6. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Protected Strip of Forest has no working circle (Copy enclosed).**
7. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Not vulnerable to erosion.**
8. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
9. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
10. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Nil.**
11. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
12. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
13. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**
14. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
15. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
16. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **No.**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
17. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
18. **Other additional information/Other details :** Site Inspection Report (SIR), Medicinal Plantation Estimates, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-cutting Certificate, Recommendation etc. are enclosed.

The details of the Part – II filled by DCF Pali Territorial on Parivesh 2.0 are as under :-

1. District – **Pali** and Division – **Pali Territorial.**
2. Diverted Forest Area – **5.3868 Ha.**
3. Legal Status of Forest Land – **Reserved Forest.**
4. Canopy Density – **0.1** and Eco-class – **Eco 3**
5. Whether the proposal involves felling of trees? : **Yes.**
6. Number of trees which will actually be felled : **389 trees**, No. of species : **5.**
7. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : **Soil Conservation and Rehabilitation (Overlapping) Working Circle (Copy of Working Plan prescription is enclosed).**
8. Vulnerability of forest area to erosion : **Less susceptible.**
9. Approximate distance of proposed Site for diversion from boundary of forest (Km) : **0 Km.**
10. Whether any work in violation of the Act or Guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out : **No.**
11. Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : **Leopard, Sloth bear, peacock, Hanuman langur etc.**
12. Whether forms part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve Buffer Zone, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc : **No.**
13. Whether any National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere Reserve, Tiger Reserve, Elephant Corridor, Wildlife Migration Corridor etc., is located within 10 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed to be utilized for prospecting or diversion : **No.**
14. Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area : **No.**

15. Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area : **No.**
16. Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project : **Yes.**
17. **Compensatory Afforestation Details :**
 - Whether CA is applicable or not? : **Yes : DFL applicable (Some details of CA land such as DGPS Map, Toposheet, Land Suitability Certificate etc. have been uploaded).**
 - Total Financial outlay for C.A. scheme (in Crores) : **0.421 Crores (CA scheme is enclosed).**
 - Whether the provision of additional CA land is proposed? : **No.**
18. **District Profile :** Details have been uploaded online in Part – II on Parivesh 2.0.
19. **Other additional information/Other details :** Site Inspection Report (SIR), Medicinal Plantation Estimate, Certificate regarding NPV, Tree-cutting list, Recommendation etc. are enclosed.

Decision of the PSC (PSC – IInd) :

The proposal was submitted by UA in the 31st PSC meeting held on 11.07.2024 after compliance of EDS, then PSC had forwarded the proposal to concerned DCF Ajmer & Pali Territorial for filling Part - II. Now both the DCFs have filled the Part – II with site inspection and forwarded the proposal to this Committee for PSC – IInd. After careful examination of the Part - II of proposal, it is found that following information is to be provided by both DCFs (due to incompleteness of Part - II) :-

DCF Ajmer Territorial :-

1. 'Others' is not a category of legal status of forest.
2. Compensatory Afforestation details are not correct.

DCF Pali Territorial :-

1. 389 trees are to be cut in the proposal but this is a transmission line case and only those trees will be cut where foundation will be constructed. DCF/UA is advised to reconsider and recalculate it.
2. Rare and endangered species like Leopard, Sloth bear are found in this area but impact of transmission line has not been mentioned due to this project.
3. KML file for Compensatory Afforestation has not been uploaded.
4. Notification of proposed forest area has not been submitted.
5. Compensatory Afforestation details are not correct.

The Chairperson directed to all the UAs to complete the tree-counting/enumeration work with the help of field staff of Forest Department within stipulated time as decided by concerned DCFs. For this purpose, DCFs will issue written letter mentioning date to all the UAs. If UA will not comply the instruction of DCF, then the concerned DCF will forward the proposal to the Committee without recommendation.

Therefore; after discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise Query/EDS to both DCFs Ajmer & Pali Territorial for compliance. However, it is mandatory for the UA to provide all the requisite information in physical mode to the DCFs; if anything required.

<p><i>Shikha</i> (Ms. Shikha Mehra) Chairperson (PSC) and Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur</p>	<p><i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri Sharda Pratap Singh) Chief Conservator of Forests, Ajmer</p>	<p><i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri R. K. Jain) Chief Conservator of Forests, Jodhpur</p>	<p><i>- Not present -</i> (Dr. Bharti Dixit) District Collector, Ajmer</p>	<p><i>-</i> (Shri Laxmi Narain Mant) District Collector, Pali</p>
<p><i>↓</i> (Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta) MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA), Rajasthan, Jaipur</p>	<p><i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri Sugna Ram Jat) Dy. Conservator of Forests, Ajmer</p>	<p><i>- Present through V.C. -</i> (Shri P. Balajurugan) Dy. Conservator of Forest Pali</p>		

Agenda 33.15. : Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar&Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1) (FP/RJ/Pipeline/464727/2024).

The details of the proposal are as under : UA submitted the proposal on Parivesh 2.0, information available with Form – A Part – I is :

1. User Agency : Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), Project Division - Begun, District - Chittorgarh (Rajasthan).
2. Category : Pipeline (Linear), Exempted Category : N/A, Is related to encroachment? : No.
3. Location of the Project :
 - District - Chittorgarh and Division – Chittorgarh Territorial, WL MNP Kota & WL Kota.
 - Whether the project/activity falling in the State sharing international borders? : No.
 - Total period for which the forest land is proposed to be diverted : 99 Years.
 - Total Cost of the Project at current price level (in Lakhs) : 93,109 Lakhs.
4. Land Requirement (in Ha.) of the project or activity :
 - Non-Forest Land : 54.8151 Ha., Forest Land : 11.4169 Ha., Total Land : 66.2320 Ha.
 - Chittorgarh Territorial : Forest Land : 9.2517 Ha.
 - WL MNP Kota : Forest Land : 1.3952 Ha.
 - WL Kota : Forest Land : 0.7700 Ha.
 - Legal Status of the Forest land : Protected Forest (9.2517 Ha.) and Reserved Forest (2.1652 Ha.).
 - Underground Component : Underground Water Pipe line.
5. Digital Maps : KML File, DGPS Map etc. are enclosed.
6. Employment likely to be generated :
 - During Construction Phase : Permanent : 7,300 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,42,350 man-days
 - During Operational Phase : Permanent : 54,750 man-days and Temporary/Contractual : 1,09,500 man-days
7. Whether Rehabilitation and Resettlement (R & R) involved? : No.
8. Whether project area involves shifting of watercourse/road/rail/Transmission line/water pipeline, etc. required? : No.
9. Alternative site(s) explored : No (Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific).
10. Brief justification on selected site particularly highlighting environmental considerations : The diversion is proposed because it is socio-economically and techno-economically feasible. The proposed "Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1)" alignment hence without forest land involvement can't complete. Considering the selection of the path in the Laying Pipe line, CPS, WTP, IPS, MBR, OHSR, ESR, GLRS & Power Transmission lines of the proposed for "Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1)" minimum forest land has been done. No Alternative routes are available for this. The (Chittorgarh Territorial Division-9.2517 ha., WL Kota-0.7700 & WL MNP Kota-1.3952ha) minimum forest land is 11.4169 ha. It is proposed that the unavoidable be unavoidable. Keeping in view the prevailing law in the proposed the reserve of 11.4169 hectares of forest land has been proposed. It is forest land involved in the proposed for "Laying of Pipe Line Water Supply Project of 648 villages in Block Begun Bhainsorgarh, Gangarar, Chittorgarh, Bhadesar & Nimbahera District-Chittorgarh From Chambal River under Jal-Jeevan Mission (Package-1)" alignment is bare minimum.
11. Whether there is any Government Order or Policy/Court order relevant or restricting to the site? : No.
12. Whether there is any litigation pending against the project and/or land in which the project is proposed to be set up? : No.
13. Whether the proposal involves violation of Act/Rule/Regulation/Notification of Central/State Government? : No.

14. Whether any proposal seeking prior approval of Central Government under the Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 for diversion of forest land required for this project has been submitted in the past? : **No.**
15. Undertaking for Certificate Under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 : **Yes (Undertaking enclosed).**
16. Details of Land for Compensatory Afforestation (CA) : **Yes : NFL applicable (Details of equivalent Non-Forest Land have been given by the UA).**
17. Cost-Benefit analysis for the Project : **Not applicable, so not made for this Project (because the proposed forest area is less than 20 Ha.).**
18. Whether the Project requires Clearance under the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986 (Environmental Clearance)? : **No.**
19. Whether the Project or a part thereof is located in any Protected Area/their Eco-sensitive zone (Wildlife Clearance)? : **Yes (Status of approval of the Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) to the Project : Application is yet to be submitted).**
20. Other additional information : **Undertakings regarding payment of NPV; CA and Others, Minimum forest land, Area Calculation Sheet etc. are enclosed.**

Decision of the PSC (PSC –Ist) :

After discussion with all the members, PSC decided to raise EDS to UA for this proposal due to incomplete information. UA will provide the following information :-

1. In the proposal (FP/RJ/WATER/17698/2016 for 3.8122 ha forest land for Bhasirodgarh Borav Water Supply Project), In-principle approval was granted on 22.11.2021. However amount was realised but compliance of EDS on compliance of In-Principle approval is still awaited.
2. The proposed non forest land falling inside the digital forest boundary. DCF Chittorgarh has given suitability certificate for the same land. Therefore, DCF Chittorgarh is advised to correct their digital forest boundary with IT cell of the Forest Department.
3. Wildlife area is involved in the proposal but no proposal has been submitted by UA for taking wildlife clearance.
4. Name of sanctuary area has not been mentioned in the documents. Legal status of proposed forest area is required.
5. Cross section for lying of electric cable has not been submitted.
6. OHSR and CPS have been taken in the forest area: Proper justification is required for non-availability of non-forest land in proposed area.
7. UA is advised to submit the proposal also in offline mode to the concerned DCF for verifying the proposed diverted forest area and its KML. UA is also advised to submit the proposal again with complete compliance of the above points.

Shikha
(Ms. Shikha Mehra)
Chairperson (PSC) and
Nodal Officer & PCCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

Present through V.C.
(Shri Sunil)
Chief Conservator of Forests,
Udaipur

Present through V.C.
(Shri R. K. Khairwa)
CCF & FD (WL),
MHTR, Kota

Not present
(Shri Alok Ranjan)
District Collector,
Chittorgarh

D
(Shri Dinesh Kumar Gupta)
MS (PSC) and DCF (FCA),
Rajasthan, Jaipur

Present through V.C.
(Shri Vijay Shankar Pandey)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Chittorgarh

Present through V.C.
(Shri Muthu S.)
DCF & DFD (WL),
MNP, Kota

Present through V.C.
(Shri Anurag Kumar Bhatnagar)
Dy. Conservator of Forests (WL),
Kota

Copy to : (Through e-mail) :

1. Chief Conservator of Forests, Kota (e-mail : ccffdp.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
2. Chief Conservator of Forests, Jaipur (e-mail : ccf.jpr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
3. Chief Conservator of Forests and Field Director (WL), RTR, Sawai Madhopur. (e-mail : fdranthambhore@gmail.com).

4. Chief Conservator of Forests and Field Director (WL), MHTR, Kota (e-mail : ccfwl.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
5. Chief Conservator of Forests, Ajmer (e-mail : ccf.ajmr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
6. Chief Conservator of Forests, Udaipur (e-mail : ccf.udpur.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
7. Chief Conservator of Forests, Jodhpur (e-mail : ccf.jdpur.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
8. District Collector, Baran (e-mail : dm-brn-rj@nic.in).
9. District Collector, Jhunjhunu (e-mail : dm-jhu-rj@nic.in).
10. District Collector, Sawai Madhopur (e-mail : dm-saw-rj@nic.in).
11. District Collector, Bhilwara (e-mail : dm-bhi-rj@nic.in/rajbhi@nic.in).
12. District Collector, Bundi (e-mail : dm-bun-rj@nic.in/rajbun@nic.in).
13. District Collector, Ajmer (e-mail : dm-ajm-rj@nic.in).
14. District Collector, Kota (e-mail : dm-kot-rj@nic.in).
15. District Collector, Banswara (e-mail : dm-ban-rj@nic.in).
16. District Collector, Pratapgarh (e-mail : dm-pra-rj@nic.in).
17. District Collector, Sirohi (e-mail : dm-sir-rj@nic.in).
18. District Collector, Pali (e-mail : dm-pal-rj@nic.in).
19. District Collector, Chittorgarh (e-mail : dm-chi-rj@nic.in).
20. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Baran (e-mail : dcf.brn.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
21. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Jhunjhunu (e-mail : dcf.jhun.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
22. Dy. Conservator of Forests and Dy. Field Director (WL), Ranthambhore Tiger Reserve-I (RTR – I), Sawai Madhopur (e-mail : dcf.smdpr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
23. Dy. Conservator of Forests and Dy. Field Director (WL), Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve (RVTR – Core), Bundi (e-mail : dcfsdyfdcorebundi@rajasthan.gov.in/dcfvtr@gmail.com).
24. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Bundi (e-mail : dcf.bundi.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
25. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Ajmer (e-mail : dfo.ajmr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
26. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Kota (e-mail : dcf.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
27. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Banswara (e-mail : dcf.bnwr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
28. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Pratapgarh (e-mail : dcf.pratpgh.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
29. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Bhilwara (e-mail : dcf.blwr.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
30. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Sirohi (e-mail : dcf.sirohi.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
31. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Pali (e-mail : dcf.pali.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
32. Dy. Conservator of Forests, Chittorgarh (e-mail : dcf.chitor.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
33. Dy. Conservator of Forests and Dy. Field Director (WL), Mukundra Hills Tiger Reserve-I (MHTR), Kota (e-mail : dcfmnp.kot.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
34. Dy. Conservator of Forests (WL), Kota (e-mail : ccfwl.kota.forest@rajasthan.gov.in).
35. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Shahabad, Distt. - Baran, Pin - 325217 (Rajasthan) (e-mail : pwd_shahabad@rediffmail.com/kkggbn@gmail.com).
36. Dy. General Manager, Sikar Khetri Transmission Limited, 765/400 kV Substation, Village - Jurathra, VPO - Jurathra, District - Sikar (Rajasthan) - 332402 (e-mail : dschaubey@powergrid.in).
37. Assistant Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Collectorate Road, Opposite Nagar Parishad, Sawai Madhopur (Rajasthan) - 322025 (e-mail : pwdsawaimadhopur4@gmail.com).
38. Project Director, PPP PWD Kota, Supertanding Garden, Near Mayour Takij, Nayapura Circle – Kota, District – Kota (Rajasthan) – 324001 (e-mail : pdppp.kota@gmail.com).\
39. Executive Engineer, PWD – NH (Ministry of Road Transport & Highways), Division – Ajmer, Near Todarmal Lane, Ajmer (Rajasthan) – 305001 (e-mail : eenhajmer.pwd@rajasthan.gov.in).
40. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Kota, Raj Bhawan Road, Nayapura, District – Kota (Rajasthan) – 324001 (e-mail : distt_div@rediffmail.com).

41. Executive Engineer (T & C), Rajasthan Rajya Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited (RVPN), Sakatpura, Kota (Rajasthan) - 324006 (e-mail : xen.tnc.kota@rvpn.co.in/se.tnc.kota@rvpn.co.in).
42. DGM (Tech.) Associate Project Director, Office of the General Manager Cum Project Director, Rajasthan State Highways Authority (RSHA), PIU - Banswara, Rajasthan – 327001 (e-mail : rshabanswara@rajasthan.gov.in).
43. General Manager, 3, Forest Park, Jindal Steel & Power Ltd., Bhubaneswar (Odisha) – 751009 (e-mail : ravi.ranjan@jindalsteel.com/jsplenvironment@jindalsteel.com).
44. Assistant Engineer, O/o Executive Engineer, Public Works Department (PWD), Division - Abu Road, Near Bus Stand, Mount Road, Sirohi (Rajasthan) - 307026 (e-mail : eeaburoad@rediffmail.com/earoad.pwd@rajasthan.gov.in).
45. Sr. DGM, POWERGRID Beawar Dausa Transmission Limited (PBDTL), House no. A-97, Hari Bhau Upadhyay Nagar Main, Ajmer (Rajasthan) - 305001 (e-mail : pbdtlajmer@gmail.com/ramesh.kumar@powergrid.in).
46. Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department (PHED), Project Division - Begun, District - Chittorgarh (Rajasthan) - 312023 (e-mail : ee.pbegun.phed@rajasthan.gov.in).
47. Guard File.