

No./D-Blp/Badoh (0.825)/  
HP Forest Department

Dated Bilaspur, the

5719

28/8/2019

**From:** Divisional Forest Officer,  
Bilaspur Forest Division,  
District Bilaspur, HP

**To:** Executive Engineer,  
Bilaspur Division No.-II,  
HPPWD Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur, HP

**Subject:** Diversion of 0.825 hectare of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of link road Badoh KM 0/0 to 1/390 within the jurisdiction of Bilaspur Forest Division.

Sir,

Kindly refer to your office letter No.PW/NBT-110-Forest case/ Badoh/ 2019-3449-50 dated 26.06.2019 on the subject cited above.

2. Form the perusal of proposal folders received vide your office letter under reference, the following shortcomings have been noticed as under:
1. Dumping has also been proposed over forest land hence as per latest instruction of Gol, the dumping site are required to be shown on KML file and map on toposheet and digital map in online part-I. Revised KML file, map on toposheet and digital map showing the dumping site are required to be uploaded against column C ii (b), C (iii) and C (iv) of online part-I.
2. Undertaking of the user agency to pay penal NPV is required to be obtained and placed in the proposal folders.
3. The proceedings of FRA except District Level Committee are duplicate in original proposal folder. The original copies of all proceedings of FRA are required to be submitted on original in original folder.
4. KML file of the area proposed for diversion may also be provided in shape of CD/Pen Drive.
5. Against column C(iii), although a map on toposheet has been uploaded but there is no index and heading has been mentioned on the toposheet, thus it cannot be made out which alignment is the proposed alignment. Revised map with proper heading and index is required to be uploaded.
6. The colures documents placed in original folders should also be placed in other folder of the proposal.



7. A certificate providing detail of villages benefitted by proposed road duly authenticated by the competent revenue authority be permuted on the following lines. Which may also be uploaded in additional information detail against Part-I.

Sr. No.	Name of the village	Village code	Population
1			

The location of beneficiary villages along with the existing road network in area may also be marked in the KML file submitted online at Para C (ii) (b) with the proposal.

8. Against column E(i) online, employment likely to be generated has been shown nil whereas, in part-I hard copy 11330 mandays are likely to be generated. Please clarify.
9. The said road is not appearing in the list of 2183 road proposals constructed in violation of FCA, 1980 whereas the said road has been inspected in view of CWP No. 5600 of 2012 of Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh. A detailed report on violation w.r.t. extent and reasons of violation in the form of self contained note supported by requisite documents, as required under para 1.9 of chapter I of FCA guidelines is required to be supplied by DFO concerned.
10. As per column No. (viii) of part-I A cost of the project as been mentioned 11000000 lacs whereas in hard copy the same is 85.00 lacs.  
You are therefore requested to attend all observations and after during the needful. Directed to dealing hand/ representation to attend this office for taking further necessary action.

The proposal folders (1 Original+3 Duplicate) are returned herewith.

Yours faithfully,

Encls: As above

Divisional Forest Officer,  
Bilaspur Forest Division,  
District Bilaspur, HP