Proposal No - FP/UK/ROAD/26820/2017 EDS dated- 23/08/2018

Following shortcomings still remain in the online reply-

- 1. Authority letter uploaded in online part I is not in favour of applicant. the authority letter may be issued/upload in Favour of applicant in the designated column.
- 2. In para -E of online part I, it is mentioned that permanent and temporary Employment for 03 person and 15000 person respectively, is likely to be generated which does not appears to be corrected.
- 3. The cost benefit analysis provided in Annexure VI B and C is not uploaded with format (attached herewith).
- 4. Alternate alignment details is not filled at para-D in Form A, Part I.
- 5. Generally the area proposed for CA is double the area proposed for diversion, and in this case it's should be 29.712 ha but it is mentioned 24.042 ha. in details provided in part I needs clarification and necessary correction.
- 6. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest (in km.) is not filled correctly at column 7 in Form A part II.
- 7. Please upload land scheduled with compartment no including area at para 13 as additional information, in Form A, Part II.
- 8. NPV calculation detail has not been uploaded at para-13 in Form A, Part II. NPV calculation must be done according to filled density and Eco Class at Para 4 (i) in Form A, part II.
- 9. CA scheme and site suitability certificate is not uploaded at para-13 in Form A, Part II.
- 10. Provide Separate component wise break up in B-2.4 as in following way:- a- Road.

b- Muck dumping.

....Nodal office, Dehradun....

Cost Benefit Analysis Guidelines for forest land diversion -2017

Table-A: Cases under which a cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

No	Nature of proposal	Applicable/ not applicable	Remarks
1	All categories of proposals involving forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and upto 5 hectare in hills	Not applicable	These proposals may be considered on a case to case basis and value judgement
2	Proposal for defence installation purposes and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	Not applicable	In view of national Priority accorded to these sectors, the proposals would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non-forest use
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodges complex and other building construction.	Not applicable	These activities being detrimental to protection and conservation of forest, as a matter of policy, such proposals would be rarely entertained.
4	All other proposals involving forestland more than 20 hectares in plains and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway lines, location specific installations like micro-wave stations, auto repeater centres, TV towers etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost- benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non-forest use in the overall public interest.

Table-B: Estimation of cost of forest diversion

SN	Parameters	Remarks	
Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion		Economic value of loss of eco-system services due to diversion of forests shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by the Central Government (MoEF& CC). Note: In case of National Parks the NPV shall be ten (10) times the normal NPV and in case of Wildlife Sanctuary the NPV shall be five (5) times the normal NPV or otherwise prescribed by the ministry or any other competent authority	
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever is maximum	
3	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms as per approved R&R plan	
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, building, schools, dispensaries, electric lines, railways, etc.) on forest land, which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual cost basis at the time of diversion	

Cost Benefit Analysis Guidelines for forest land diversion -2017

5	possession value of forest land diverted	30% of environmental costs (NPV) due to loss of forests or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forestland whichever is maximum	
6	Cost of suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation of oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence occupation and social services as per R&R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted.	
8	Habitat Fragmentation Cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation bas been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.	
	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value	

Table-C - Existing guidelines for estimating benefits of forest-diversion in CBA

Sr. No.	Parameters	Remarks	
1	Increase in productively attribute to the specific project	To be quantified & expressed in monetary terms avoiding double counting	
2	Benefits to economy due to the specific project	The incremental economic benefit in monetary terms due to the activities attributed to the specific project	
3 .	No. of population benefited due to specific project	As per the Detailed project report	
4	Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect employment due to the project	As per the Detailed project report. Benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetised and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation. *For benefits of CA the guideline of the Ministry for NPV estimation may be consulted.	
5	Economic benefits due to Compensatory afforestation		

Note-1: Net Present value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss:

The concept of Net Present value of the forest land diverted is a scientific method of calculating the environmental cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non-forestry purposes. The NPV represents the net value of various ecosystem services and other environmental services in monetary terms which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have been diverted.