

- 1- In form A , Part I- under component wise breakup the details of the forest land and non forest land is seems to be incorrect as well as not matched with data entered in the village wise breakup. Kindly rectify the same and enter correct date.(screenshot attached for your reference).

**Villages wise breakup**

S.no	Village	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Selone	0.5	0.5
2	Matole	0.4	0.2
3	Bajuda	0.6	0.31
4	Jamadkhet	0.8075	0.594
5	Bhesat	0.9	0.2
6	Anoli	0.67	0.573
7	Khadaki	0.6425	0.2
8	Nenoli	0.985	0.3
9	Dhapna	0.65	0.452
10	Gunakitan	1.63	0.563
<b>Total</b>		<b>7.785</b>	<b>3.892</b>

B-2.4 Component wise breakup

**Component wise breakup**

S.no	Component	Forest Land(ha.)	Non-Forest Land(ha.)
1	Muck DisposalDhapna	0	0.152
2	01 Road	0	0.877
3	Muck Disposal Gunakitana	0	0.148
4	Muck Disposal Jamarhkhet	0	0.304
5	Muck Disposal Anoli	0	0.273
<b>Total</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>1.754</b>

C. Maps of forest land proposed to be diverted

- 2- In the list of tree affected (0-10) girth tree are not mentioned. Kindly upload the new list by mentioning the same.
- 3- In part III , in CF recommendation the Trees of Banj affected is shown as 4, which is incorrect as per the list of affect tree uploaded as well as no. Of trees mentioned in Part ii -4-ii by the DFO. Kindly rectify the same .
- 4- Girth wise enumerations in Part II- 4 –ii not matched with tree list uploaded.Kindly rectify the same.
- 5- In the CA area KML file uploaded the area is mentioned as Madkaroka but in the ca site suitability as well as Ca scheme same as mentioned as Ghajri. Kindly confirm the same.

**.....NODAL OFFICE.....**