

कार्यालय उप वन संरक्षक, टौंस वन प्रभाग, पुरोला, (उत्तरकाशी)

Email- dfo_tons@yahoo.co.in ☎/Fax- 01373-223328

पत्रांक

~~447~~ 417/12-1

दिनांक, पुरोला, उत्तरकाशी

24-08-, 2016

सेवामें,

अधिशाली अभियंता,
निर्माण खण्ड, लोक निर्माण विभाग,
पुरोला।


विषय:- जनपद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी आराकोट कलीच थुनारा डामटी मोटर मार्ग (लम्बाई 7.600 किमी0) के निर्माण हेतु अपेक्षित वन भूमि 5.6325 है0 वन भूमि (वन संरक्षण) अधिनियम 1980 के अन्तर्गत गैर वानिकी कार्य की स्वीकृति विषयक प्रस्ताव।
संदर्भ:- वन संरक्षक, यमुना वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून का पत्रांक 389/12-1(1) दिनांक 11 अगस्त 2016।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक संदर्भित पत्र के क्रम में अवगत कराना है कि वन संरक्षक, यमुना वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून द्वारा विषयांकित प्रस्ताव में आपत्ति लगाते हुए प्रस्ताव इस कार्यालय को ई0डी0एस0 के माध्यम से प्रेषित किया गया है, जिसकी प्रति आपको संलग्न कर इस आशय से प्रेषित की जा रही है कि आपके स्तर से निराकरण होने वाली आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर अबिलम्ब इस कार्यालय को प्रेषित करना सुनिश्चित करें, ताकि प्रस्ताव पर अग्रिम कार्यवाही की जा सकें।

संलग्नक- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,


उप वन संरक्षक,
टौंस वन प्रभाग, पुरोला।

कार्यालय वन संरक्षक यमुना वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून ।

पत्रांक 389 / 12-1 (1)

दिनांक, देहरादून, अगस्त, 11 2016

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,
टौन्स वन प्रभाग, पुरोला ।

विषय: जनपद उत्तरकाशी के विकास खण्ड मोरी आराकोट कलीच थुनारा डामटी मोटर मार्ग (लम्बाई 7.600 कि०मी०) के निर्माण हेतु अपेक्षित वन भूमि 5.6325 है० वन भूमि वन(संरक्षण) अधिनियम 1980 के अंतर्गत गैर वानिकी कार्य की स्वीकृति विषयक प्रस्ताव ।

संदर्भ: प्रस्ताव संख्या FP / UK / ROAD/ 16964 / 2015

महोदय,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून के द्वारा निम्नलिखित

आपत्ति	निराकरण
1. The total area of village wise break up does not match with the area proposed for diversion in Part I. The State Govt may do the necessary correction.	
2. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map without showing geo-coordinates which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.	
3. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA which should be 11.265 ha providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file. The Google earth map will not accepted for the purpose.	
4. The State Govt may provide/upload correct KML file for CA in Part II.	
5. The State Govt may review the density of the area in view of the number of trees proposed to be felled in the area and correct the NPV calculation in hard copy as well as in online Part II.	
6. In the Cost Benefit analysis uploaded in the Part I in both performa VI b and VI C needs to be quantified in monetary terms. Cost over Benefit ratio may also be worked out.	
7. Employment details provided in online Part I is seems to be incorrect. The State Govt may review the information and provide correct information if required.	
8. The CA scheme is uploaded in Part II is only for area of 10.44 ha while it should be prepared for twice the area proposed for diversion i.e. 11.265 ha. The State Govt may provide revised CA scheme and upload the same in online part II	

II.	
9. Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction for construction of this road uploaded as additional document in Part I is issued in the year 2005. May clarify whether it has been revalidated for the year 2016-17	
10. As per the tree enumeration list attached with the proposal out of 325 trees in forest area 44 trees are of dia 0-10 cm and the value should come 281 in online Part II but the number of trees proposed to be felled in online Part II is 313. The State Govt may rectify the same and give correct details in online Part II.	
11. The CA stipulated does not commensurate with the total area diverted in the district. The State Govt may do the necessary correction in online Part II in the district profile and give complete and correct detail with proper justification of poor progress of CA in additional document if required.	
12. The map uploaded in online Part I indicating alternate examined is not visible. The state Govt may upload the clear visible copy of the map.	

उपरोक्तानुसार ई0डी0एस0 क्वेरी के कम में बिन्दुवार आपत्ति का निराकरण करते हुए संगत बिन्दुवार संलग्नको के साथ सूचना ऑन लाईन प्रेषित करें।

दिनांक 10-8-2016 की भारत सरकार द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्ति की हार्ड प्रति पृथक से भेजी जा रही है।

संलग्नक-यथोपरि ।

भवदीय,

(जन्मेजय सिंह)

वन संरक्षक,

यमुना वृत, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून ।

संख्या

3819

(1) / 12-11-16

उक्त दिनांकित ।

प्रतिलिपि अधिशासी अभियन्ता, निर्माण खण्ड पुरोला को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित ।

(जन्मेजय सिंह)

वन संरक्षक,

यमुना वृत, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून ।

PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/16964/2015

1. The total area of village wise break up does not match with the area proposed for diversion in Part I. The State Govt may do the necessary correction.
2. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map without showing geo-coordinates which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.
3. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA which should be 11.265 ha providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file. The Google earth map will not accepted for the purpose.
4. The State Govt may provide/upload correct KML file for CA in Part II.
5. The State Govt may review the density of the area in view of the number of trees proposed to be felled in the area and correct the NPV calculation in hard copy as well as in online Part II.
6. In the Cost Benefit analysis uploaded in the Part I in both performa VI b and VI C needs to be quantified in monetary terms. Cost over Benefit ratio may also be worked out.
7. Employment details provided in online Part I is seems to be incorrect. The State Govt may review the information and provide correct information if required.
8. The CA scheme is uploaded in Part II is only for area of 10.44 ha while it should be prepared for twice the area proposed for diversion i.e. 11.265 ha. The State Govt may provide revised CA scheme and upload the same in online part II.
9. Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction for construction of this road uploaded as additional document in Part I is issued in the year 2005. May clarify whether it has been revalidated for the year 2016-17
10. As per the tree enumeration list attached with the proposal out of 325 trees in forest area 44 trees are of dia 0-10 cm and the value should come 281 in online Part II but the number of trees proposed to be felled in online Part II is 313. The State Govt may rectify the same and give correct details in online Part II.
11. The CA stipulated does not commensurate with the total area diverted in the district. The State Govt may do the necessary correction in online Part II in the district profile and give complete and correct detail with proper justification of poor progress of CA in additional document if required.
12. The map uploaded in online Part I indicating alternate examined is not visible. The state Govt may upload the clear visible copy of the map.