No.Ft.48-5328/2021(FCA)
H.P.Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the 24 AUG 2021

From: Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA) To:-CF Nahan
O/O Pr. CCF, H P (HoFF)

Subject:- Diversion of 10.7352 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Kanda Lot to Murala road Kms 0/00 to 17/260 within the jurisdiction of Nachan Forest Division Distt.Mandi, HP.

Memo:-

Kindly refer to your office letter No.C-X-19/Vol.XIII/Renukaji/2153 dated 23.7.2021 on the subject cited above.

2. The proposal received has been found incomplete. The following shortcoming has been noticed in the proposal which is to be completed. Proposal folders received are returned herewith. All the requisite documents may please be placed in the proposal folder with proper numbering and index.

1. There is difference in estimated cost of the proposed road mentioned in online part-I and in hard copy of part-I. Against column No.A-1(vii) of online part-I, 25 lac has been mentioned and against column No.1(iii) in hard copy of part-I, only Rs.24.83lac has been mentioned. The estimated cost mentioned in online part-I and mentioned in hard copy of part-I should match.
2. There is difference in employment likely to be generated, mentioned against column No.E in online part-I and given in hard copy of the proposal. Against column No.E(ii), '10' and against E(iii),'75000', has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I against column No.1(vi), only '75000' mandays' has been mentioned. The employment details given in online part-I and mentioned in hard copy should match. Necessary corrections are required to be made in the employment details.
3. No tree felling is involved over the forest land proposed for diversion whereas the density o vegetation 0.5 has been mentioned against column No.4(i) an bill of NPV has also been calculated for dense forest. Necessary clarification is required to be uploaded in this regard against additional information detail.
4. Working plan prescription has not been mentioned against column No.5 of online part-II.
5. CA has been proposed over 1.52ha of forest land but the detail of patch has not been filled. The detail of patch is required to be filled. If the CA is to be proposed in terms of number of plants only then the detail of patch is not required to be filled.
6. The digital map of CA site is not as per requirement of GoI. Only on the image of Google earth the coordinate have been shown.It is required to be shown on toposheet of the proposed area.
7. Density of vegetation of proposed CA area is required to be specified in CA site suitability certificate.
8. Against additional information detail of online part-II, 9 documents have been uploaded but the detail of uploaded documents has not been given against remarks column. The detail of each uploaded document is required to be given against remarks column.

PTO

9. In district profile the detail of only 2 approved cases have been shown since 1980. In this regard it is required to be clarified by the DFO concerned that since 1980, only two proposals have been approved in his division.
10. No dates have been mentioned in part-I and part-II of the proposal folder.
11. In online the recommendations of CF concerned (part–III) has not been recommended by CF concerned.
12. Revenue papers of the proposed diversion have not been placed in the proposal folders.
13. All the documents placed in the proposal folder either should either be original or attested one. Photocopies placed in the proposal folder should be attested either by user agency or DFO concerned.

Encl:-As above.

Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)HP

No. Ft.48-5328/2021(FCA)Dated Shimla-1,the 27 AUG 2021

Copy is forwarded to the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Division Shillai distt Sirmour, HP for information & necessary action as above.

Nodal Officer Cum-Addl. Pr.CCF(FCA)

19/8/2021