FP/UK/MIN/20542/2016 **EDS dated- 26.07.2016**

Extraction of Minor Minerals in Swarna Nadi, Jhajra Range

On scrutiny of the proposal, following shortcomings have been found:

1. Name of the project is not mentioned at designated place in online Part-I.
2. Short narrative of the project does not give any information except the purpose.
3. Village wise breakup of land is not given at designated place in online Part-I.
4. Component wise breakup is not given. '0' is mentioned in component column.
5. Geo-referenced map is provided in google earth image and only 4 geo-coordinates are given which is not sufficient.
6. DSS Analysis of the forest land proposed for diversion shows the area as 104.46 ha instead of 23.75 ha.
7. Area shown in map appears to be surrounded by agriculture land and human habitations but, no village wise breakup of land is given. Moreover, it is stated to be the RF Land.
8. Justification for locating the project in forest land is not opening.
9. C/B Analysis uploaded in online Part-I is not opening. In hard copy also the parameters have not been quantified and expressed in monetary terms.
10. In FRA certificate issued by DC name of 4 villages are mentioned but Gram Sabha proceedings of 2 villages only submitted.
11. Estimated reserve of RBM is mentioned as 2,16,000 million tones in online Part-I but mining plan says that 2,16,000 metric tones will be extracted annually for 5 years. Moreover, estimated reserve is mentioned as 21,60,000 million tones in online Part-I.
12. Proposed use of minerals is not mentioned in para- M-7.1(x) of online Part-I.
13. No transplantation plan is submitted in para-M-7(ii) of online Part-I.
14. District wise area is not given in online Part-II.
15. WP prescription is not given in online Part-II.
16. Approximate distance is mentioned as 0.00 km in online Part-II.
17. Details of WL is not given in online Part-II.
18. Geo referenced map for CA is submitted in google earth image with 4 geo-coordinates only which does not appears to be sufficient. DSS Analysis shows the area as 87.69 ha instead of 48.00 ha. Further, 27 ha area falls in Moderately Dense Forest (MDF) category.
19. In para-14 of online Part-II (District profile), CA stipulated is not commensurate to the forest land diverted.
20. Patch wise details of CA area is not filled up in para-13(i) of online Part-II.
21. Consent of Gram Sabha is not submitted.
22. Details of Safety Zone as per para-4.7 of the guidelines and Ministry's letter No.- 11-125/2014-FC dated 27.05.2016 has not been submitted with the proposal.

State Govt. is requested to remove the above shortcomings and submit necessary documents/information.

क्र0 सं0	प्रश्न	उत्तर
1.	Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information in Part I.	प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा भाग–1 में यथाआवश्यक संशोधन कर वांछित सूचना सूचनाप अपलोड कर दी गई है।
2.	Component wise break up is not provided against the area calculated for the consstruction of each component in Part I.	चेरदा ह प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा वॉछित सूचना यथास्थान अपलोड कर दी गई है।
3.	Detail layout plan mentioning the area and dimensions against each component involved in the construction fo bus stand as an additional cocument is not provided.	प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा विस्तृत ले आउट प्लान प्रस्ताव के भाग–1 में यथास्थान अपलोड किया गया है।
4.	The GPS coordinate marked digitally on GPS software generated georefrenced map for the area proposed for diversion for the each component showing polygon coordinate for component having area more than one hectare and at least one coordinate having area of less than one hectare of the proposed construction and be uploaded in the designated place in Part I.	प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा प्रत्यावर्तन हेतु प्रस्तावित वन भूमि का स्पष्ट जियो रिफरेन्स मानचित्र आवश्यक सूचनाओ सहित अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
5.	FRA certufucate uploaded in the format designed for linear projects in the designated site in Part I, which should be provided in the format designed for non linear projects.	प्रयोक्ता एजेन्सी द्वारा वन अधिकार अधिनियम 2006 के अन्तर्गत संशोधित प्रमाण पत्र यथा स्थान अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
6.	The bus stand is already exist adjoining the area proposed for diversion in the map but the information regarding approvals /sanction of the existing land diverted for the construction of existing bus stand in designated column in part I is not provided.	चार धाम यात्रा में बहारी राज्यों से आने वाले पर्यटको की भीड को नियंत्रित करने हेतु प्रस्तावित स्थल के पास 4.45 है0 वन भूमि बस अडडे के निर्माण हेतु नगर पालिका परिषद ऋषिकेश को प्रत्यावर्तन का प्रस्ताव गतिमान है।
7.	The CA is to be done in two patches of 5.75 ha and 1.65 ha but the details in Part I is not provided for two patches separatly in the designated column. Prwvide the detail for individual patch along with the GIS software generated geo-referenced map showing coordinates of each corner of the site.	क्षतिपूरक वृक्षारोपण हेतु चयनित स्थलो के जियो रिफरेन्स मानचित्र निर्देशानुसार तैयार कर यथास्थान अपलोड कर दिये गये है।
8.	Muck Disposal plan providing total muck generation and utilization authenticated by DFO is not provided.	मलवा निस्तारण योजना से सम्बन्धित प्रमाण पत्र यथास्थान अपलोड किया गया है।
9.	NPV calculation is not provided as an additional document in the online web portal.	एन0पी0वी0 का आंगणन अतिरिक्त सूचनाओं के रूप में अपलोड किया गया है।
10	The tree calculation provided in the hard copy is differ from the calculation provided in online Part II need clarification and exact number of tress to be felled may be provided.	परियोजना से प्रभावित वृक्षों की संख्या ऑनलाईन प्रस्ताव में यथास्थान संशोधित कर दी गई है।
11	As per the details provided in Part II, 208 trees per hec dose not account for 0.1 density. The State Govt may review the density accordingly.	प्रत्यावर्तन हेतु प्रस्तुत प्रस्ताव में वृक्षों के घनत्व प्रभाग की प्रचलित कार्ययोजना के आधार पर अंकित किया गया है। तथसम्बन्धी पुष्ट प्रमाण अतिरीक्त सूचनाओं के रूप में अपलोड किया गया है।
12	Vulnerability of the proposed area to the soil erosion is not clarified in online Part II.	प्रस्तावित स्थल के भू–संरक्षण के सम्बन्ध में कार्ययोजना के प्राविधानों को अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
13	CA stipulation is not commensurate with the forest land diverted in the district need clarification and necessary correction in Part II.	देहरादून वन प्रभाग के अन्तर्गत कुल प्रत्यावर्तित वन भूमि 9366.00 है0 के सापेक्ष प्रभाग द्वारा 2355.56 है0 क्षेत्र में क्षतिपूरक रोपण किया जाना है अद्यतन तिथि तक प्रभाग द्वारा कुल–1074.73 है0 क्षेत्र में रोपण किया गया है शेष लक्ष्यों की पूर्ति हेतु आगामी वित्तीय वर्ष में आवश्यक प्राविधान किये गये है जिन्हें प्राथमिकता के आधार पर पूर्ण किया जायेगा।
14	Part I,II,III,IV and V which is provided in online web portal for the proposal is not enclosed with the hard copy.	ऑनलाईन प्रस्ताव के भाग–1 व भाग–2 की हार्ड कापी एतत्सह संलग्न की जा रही है।

अतः अनुरोध है कि जनहित में उक्त प्रस्ताव की स्वीकृति के सम्बन्ध में अग्रिम कार्यवाही करने की कृपा करें।