PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/15682/2015

- 1. Short narrative of the project in online Part I do not give adequate information.
- 2. Authority letter is not issued for the applicant in Part I.
- 3. Proceedings of village level committee (VLC) meeting pertaining to villages Natishera and Bhantola is not provided with the FRA certificate.
- 4. In the village wise break up the village Horali is not mentioned while it is enlisted among the villages to be benefited by the road. It is also not justified that only three villages are enlisted in the list to be benefited from the road and on the other hand only two village to be benefited from the road mentioned by CF in his recommendation in online Part II but the village wise break up gives details of four villages. The State Govt may rectify the information in all segments and give correct detail for the same with proper justification if required.
- 5. The component wise break up does not shows area marked for muck disposal and other component like bridge in online Part I. The State Govt may upload/provide the correct detail in online Part I.
- 6. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map in Google earth map which is not acceptable. The State Govt may provide/upload the correct map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.
- 7. The geo-referenced digital map of area proposed for CA uploaded is not acceptable for the purpose as provided without mentioning geo-coordinates for all corners. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo referenced digital map of the area proposed for CA providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file. The Google earth map will not accepted for the purpose.
- 8. The SoI toposheet map locating area proposed for CA is not uploaded in Part I. The map uploaded in this column is Google earth map. The State Govt may upload the correct file.
- 9. Copy of map indicating location of alternative examined is not uploaded in the designated place in Part I.
- 10. Justification of locating the project in forest land uploaded in Part I is not satisfactory. The State Govt may upload revised justification in the designated column.
- 11. 125 trees per hectare does not account for 0.2 density. The State Govt may revise the density accordingly in online Part II.
- 12. In the site inspection report by DFO is incomplete as the point 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 are not answered by the DFO. The same may be rectified and correct SIR may upload in the designated place in online Part II.
- 13. In the muck disposal plan it is not clear that the place identified for the disposal of muck during the construction is in forest area or in private land. If the area marked for muck dumping is in area which attracts provisions of FCA, 1980 then the State Govt may clarify that whether this area included in the area proposed for diversion or not. If not then revised proposal after addition of this area may be submit to this office along with all necessary revised document/information online.