1- Please give detail of tree dia 0-10 tree uploaded tree list, also filled the same detail in para 4 (ii) Form A, part II.

2- Girth wise enumeration mentioned in Part II- 4-ii , not matched with the tree list uploaded.(Screenshot attached for your refrence)

ii)   Density of vegetation

S. No.	Area(in ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	0.94	0.5	Eco 5
Total.	0.94		

(iii) Species-wise local/scientific names and girth-wise enumeration of trees at FRL

S. No.	Scientific Name	Local Name	(0-30)cm.	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
1	Quercus leucotricophora	banj	16	58	24	13	4	2
2	Rhododendron	buransh	1	2	3	0	0	0
3	Misc. Species	kafal, aanyar, moru,tilonj	64	24	6	0	0	0
Total			81	84	33	13	4	2
Sub Total (No of Trees.)			217					

5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion : Area not cover in Working plan.

6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : no prone erosion area.

7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : 3.00

8. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view

    (i).   Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion : Leopard, monkey, bear etc

    (ii).   Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve,tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. : No

    (iii). Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act,1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ): Yes

3- Also the proposal involve the felling of the Banj trees for which the inspection report by the CF is necessary as well as upload the information as per the Annexure 22.