



भारत सरकार/GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
 क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, चंडीगढ़, उप-कार्यालय, शिमला/
 Sub-Office, Shimla of Regional Office, Chandigarh
 पर्यावरण, वन और जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय
 Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
 सी.जी.ओ. कॉम्प्लेक्स, शिवालिक खण्ड, लॉंगवुड
 CGO Complex, Shivalik Khand, Longwood
 शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश-171001
 Shimla, Himachal Pradesh – 171001



ईमेल/Email : iro.shimla-mefcc@gov.in
 दूरभाष/Tel.0177-2658285,
 फेक्स/Fax: 0177-2657517



Date: 07.2023

सेवा में,

अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव (वन)

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार
 आर्म्सडेल बिल्डिंग, शिमला।

(E-mail: forestsecy-hp@nic.in)

विषय%

Diversion of 25.6 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Bhaba Mud Sagnam Attreggo road Kms. 0/0 to 44/400, within the jurisdiction of DFO Kinnaur and WL Sarahan Division Distt. Kinnaur and Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

सन्दर्भ:

ऑनलाइन प्रस्ताव संख्या FP/HP/ROAD/139911/2021, दिनांक 29.10.2022.

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय पर संदर्भित पत्र का आशय ग्रहण करते हुए सूचित करना है प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित कमियां पाई गई हैं।
 अतः राज्य सरकार निम्न बिंदुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनाएं प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें, ताकि अग्रिम कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके :-

1. In Part-1, A -3 (xvii), the name mentioned in the authorization letter does not match the details of the person making the application. Either revised authorisation letter be uploaded or the clarification may be submitted.
2. Administrative Approval and Approved DPR of the project from the competent authority need to be provided.
3. The Cost of the project is provided In Part-1, A -1 (vii) needs to be revised.
4. In Part-1, C (iii) & (iv) the toposheet no is missing and needs to be rectified as the same DGPS and SOI for uploaded for the area proposed to be diverted i.e., 25.569 ha .
5. The Cost-Benefit Analysis needs to be revised as per the latest NPV rates.
6. A fresh KML of the instant proposal may be provided in the polygon of the diversion area along the Forest and Non-Forest area in a distinct color.
7. KML of the proposed alignment (Territorial Division) reveals that there is a road already present on the proposed alignment, therefore the State Govt may provide clarification on the following points:
 - i. Whether the approval for diversion under the provision of FCA has been taken for the existing road?
 - ii. If the existing road is prior to 1980 the documentary proof shall be provided as per the guideline para 1.13 of the handbook.
 - iii. If the diversion or de-reservation was not taken up the existing road as per the guideline para 1.13 prior to 1980 then this should be incorporated with the present area of diversion.
8. Based on the perusal of kml of the proposal number 36476/2018 for which approval is already granted, partly, goes parallel to the proposed alignment in the instant proposal (139911/2021). Hence, clarification may be provided regarding the common road alignment with the already approved road and necessity for diversion in already diverted road that lie in the alignment (Kms 0/000 to 28/430 of already diverted forest Vs Kms 0/000 to 44/400 in the instant proposal).
9. The Layout Plan has been provided. However, Plan must include a legend and the proper dimensions of each component, such as Carriageway, Paved Shoulder, Earth Filling, Drain, and Protection Work, as well as area-wise calculations duly authenticated by the concerned DFO's needs, be provided.
10. A revised Joint Inspection Report needs to be uploaded.

11. From the KML the proposed alignment has been proposed through the Mountain Glacier area therefore the Geologist Report for the same may be provided.
12. State Govt. must ensure and prepare the conservation plan as per HP Road Policy for all existing water sources and drain within and adjacent to this site are free from interruption and contamination.
13. An enumeration list, including the compartment no and along with the date of tree enumeration, which is duly authenticated by the concerned DFO, needs to be provided. Further, a separate enumeration list of trees for the dumping site may be provided.
14. NPV Calculation sheet for Kinnaur (Territorial) Forest Division needs to be provided as 369 proposed to be felled in a 4 ha area.
15. A detailed Muck Management Plan and Reclamation Plan of the dumping sites duly authenticated by the concerned DFO need to be uploaded online
16. Under Column 8 (i) of part II of Kinnaur rare endangered species (i.e., Snow Leopard (*Panthera uncia*), Blue Sheep (*Ovis nahura*) have been reported by the concerned DFO. However, under column 8 (v) it is mentioned as **NO**. Therefore, same may be rectified in the online portal.
17. Under Column 8 (ii) of WL Sarahan **Details and comments on the impact of the Project on such areas need to be properly filled.**
18. Under column 8 (v) of part II, WL Sarahan it is mentioned as NO. Therefore same may be rectified in the online portal.
19. The NPV bill provided, needs to be revised as it is calculated for the Eco V Very Dense category. However, the area falls under Eco VI as information provided under column 4 (i), the same needs to be rectified and uploaded.
20. Since the proposal involves an area of more than > 5 ha, therefore Site Inspection Report from the concerned Conservator of Forests needs to be provided.
21. Under column 15, part-II, the site inspection report by the DFO WL Sarahan state that "No Rare and Protected Area/ Heritage Site is located in the area, therefore State Govt may review the same. Furthermore, No specific recommendation is recommended by the DFO WL Sarahan.
22. The specific recommendation by DFO Kinnaur needs to be provided as per the handbook OF FCA, 1980 and FC Rules, 2003 (Guidelines and Clarifications), MoEF&CC, 2019
23. As per DSS analysis, the proposed area for CA has **2 ha of Very Dense forests** and the proposed area is **30.4 ha** while the calculated area is **10.6 ha**, which may be verifiable. Both the proposed CA area patches overlap each other. Therefore, State Govt. may look for additional/alternate CA sites and may review and submit documentation regarding the suitability of land for CA in lieu of forest land proposed for diversion in terms of its vicinity to the area being proposed for DA and/or contiguity with the existing forest area.
24. Legible copy of CA scheme needs to be uploaded as the currently uploaded CA scheme is not readable.
25. The Status of Proposed CA land may be provided, whether it is a Govt. Wasteland is declared as PF under HP Govt. notification, 1952, or it is notified as UPF/DPF under IFA 1927.

यह पत्र सक्षम अधिकारी के अनुमोदन से जारी किया गया है।

भवदीय,

ह 0/-

(राजाराम सिंह)

उप महानिरीक्षक वन (के.)

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:

- 1- नोडल अधिकारी-सह-अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य अरण्यपाल (एफ.सी.ए.), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार, वन विभाग टालैंड, शिमला, (E-mail: nodalfcahp@yahoo.com).
- 2- प्रभागीय वन अधिकारी, किन्नौर वन प्रभाग, जिला किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश, (E-mail dfokin-hp@nic.in).
- 3- प्रभागीय वन अधिकारी, डब्ल्यूएल सराहन, वन प्रभाग, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश, (E-mail dfowlsar-hp@nic.in)
- 4- हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग, जिला: किन्नौर, हिमाचल प्रदेश (E-mail: ee.karc.hp@gmail.com)