

कार्यालय वन संरक्षक यमुना वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून ।
दिनांक, देहरादून, जुलाई, ०५, 2016

पत्रांक / 12-1 (1)

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,

मसूरी वन प्रभाग, मसूरी ।

विषय: जनपद देहरादून के रायपुर ब्लॉक में पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई0 के अन्तर्गत सहस्रधारा (कालीगाड़) से नालीकलां मोटर मार्ग (कुल लम्बाई 11.425किमी) के निर्माण हेतु 9.194हे0 वन भूमि का वन (संरक्षण) अधिनियम,1980 के अंतर्गत गैर वानिकी कार्य की स्वीकृति विषयक प्रस्ताव ।

संदर्भ: प्रस्ताव संख्या FP/UK/ ROAD/ 13657 /2015

महोदय,

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी के स्तर दिनांक 18-6-2016 को ई0डी0एस0 क्वेरी प्राप्त हुई है, जो ऑन लाईन प्रेषित है। ई0डी0 एस0 क्वेरी में लगाई गई आपत्ति निम्न प्रकार है :-


आपत्ति	निराकरण
1.. May provide/upload scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the lignment of the proposed road including all turning points (.TIFF, .IMG or .JPEG). The google earth map will not be accepted for the purpose.	
2. Cost benefit analysis (C/B) uploaded in Part I is not as per prescribed format VI (b) and VI(c) in the guideline. The State Govt may provide C/B analysis as per prescribed format should be quantified in monetary terms.	
3. There is presence of non forest land in the proposed road but in village wise break up the area does not shown in the column of non forest land need justification or necessary correction.	
4. The village wise break up shows that the road passed from the area of four villages but in the village level committee proceedings of FRA certificate only one gram panchayat is mentioned. The State Govt may comment on the same and if required provide VLC proceedings for other gram sabhas also.	
5. Component wise break up in online Part I does not indicate the area details marked for other components like muck disposal etc.	
6. The CA stipulation against total forest area diverted in the district is not commensurate. The State Govt may do necessary correction or justify the differences with factual reasons.	
7. The details of trees proposed to be felled provided in hard copy, it is seen that the counting of trees done in 7m width in few compartments. As the width of the road proposed for diversion is 9m therefore the revised tree enumeration taking 9m width may provide and correct the same in online Part II.	
8. In the muck disposal plan it is not clear that the area marked for muck disposal in the area other than the private land is included in the forest land proposed for diversion. The revised land schedule may be provided	

9. Authenticated copy of Part I, II, III, IV, and V may be provide to this office of the same uploaded in online web portal.	
10. The NPV calculated at the rate prescribed for very dense forest while 77 trees per hectare does not account for very dense forest category. The State Govt is also categorised the forest in Eco class III which seems inappropriate as per species of the area concern. The State Govt may review the Eco class and NPV rate and provide/upload the revised information to this office.	
11. As per Decision Support System (DSS) analysis, out the total area of 18.388 ha proposed for CA, 5 ha is on moderately dense forest. State Govt may replace this 5 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/upload revised digital map, Sol tophoseet map and KML file of the complete 18.388 ha CA area again after making the above change.	

कृपया क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय भारत सरकार के स्तर से लगाई गई बिन्दुवार क्वेरी का निराकरण संगत दस्तावेजों के साथ ऑन लाईन करते हुए उक्त दस्तावेजों की हार्ड प्रति (मूल पदनाम सहित हस्ताक्षरित) साथ-साथ उपलब्ध कराने का कष्ट करें।

संलग्नक-यथोपरि।

भवदीय,


(भुवन चन्द्र)

मुख्य वन संरक्षक,
यमुनावृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

पन्ना 14 112-1(1) 7404-16

प्रतिलिपि-अधिसासी अभियन्ता, पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई, सिंचाई खण्ड, 6 इन्दिरानगर, देहरादून को उपरोक्तानुसार सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

(भुवन चन्द्र)

मुख्य वन संरक्षक,
यमुनावृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

13657/2015



Online Submission & Monitoring of Forest and Wildlife Clearance Proposals

Proposal no. FP/UK/RO/AD/13657/

Nalikalai Karligad

[Role : CF]

[State : Uttarakhand]



Configuration ▾ Add Proposal ▾ Miscellaneous ▾ Downloads ▾ Others ▾

Processing of Proposals received on or after 15 July 2014 ▾

EDS Form

▽ Help

- EDS has been completed, EDS is pending,

Click on this image button for EDS reply.

Essential Details Sought by
Forest Department * :

Upload a copy of Essential
Details Sought letter(if any): (Only PDF) No file chosen

Send To* : Select

Reply	Essential Details Sought by Forest Department	Sought on/Replied on(Date)	Uploaded copy of Essential Details Sought letter	Query from/Reply to
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Piz reply to obj of RO-find the EDS letter attached.	18/06/2016	6111812401213113657.pdf	Nodal Officer
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	sir, Hard copy provide in nodal office as DFO informed to this office by his letter no. 3330 datdd 17.5.2016	26/05/2016	2014_27_26_May_2016_174220877_eddletter_Nalikalai.pdf	CF
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Remove the letter No. 2567/12-1 Dated 2016	18/05/2016	2014_27_18_May_2016_104254433_eddletter_Shasdhara(Nalikalai)PMGSMYMR.pdf	DFO
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Online Help/Query scanned three set proposals in the office of Nodal Officer after the date of EDS quer	26/04/2016	4112612561210inalikalai.pdf	CF
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Remove the Letter No.2369/12-1(1) dated 26.3.2016 & EDS OF Nodal officer dated on 8.3.2016	08/04/2016	2014_27_08_Apr_2016_124915253_eddletter_Sahasdhara(Karligad)toNalikalaiPMGSMYMR.pdf	DFO
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	sir,Kindly remove the objections as per EDS query dated 8 March 2016 and submit three set proposals in the office of Nodal Officer after the date of EDS query	26/03/2016	31126124212151karligad-Nalikalai.pdf	CF
<input checked="" type="radio"/>	Piz reply to obj of RO-The online proposal is returned back since hard copy has not been submitted to this office simultaneously. State Govt. may resubmit the proposal online after hard copy has been provided in Regional Office. As a proof scanned copy of the receipt of hard copy from the Regional Office may also be uploaded along with online proposal. Attached Letter No. Misc./II/ROC/COP/1/2013/1846 Dated 06.11.2015 may also be	08/03/2016	31181222121610BJbyROinproposalsno10925requardindhardcopy.pdf	Nodal Officer

PROPOSAL NO. FP/UK/ROAD/13657/2015

1. May provide/upload scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment of the proposed road including all turning points (.TIFF, .IMG or .JPEG). The google earth map will not be accepted for the purpose.
2. Cost benefit analysis (C/B) uploaded in Part I is not as per prescribed format VI (b) and VI(c) in the guideline. The State Govt may provide C/B analysis as per prescribed format should be quantified in monetary terms.
3. There is presence of non forest land in the proposed road but in village wise break up the area does not shown in the column of non forest land need justification or necessary correction.
4. The village wise break up shows that the road passed from the area of four villages but in the village level committee proceedings of FRA certificate only one gram panchayat is mentioned. The State Govt may comment on the same and if required provide VLC proceedings for other gram sabhas also.
5. Component wise break up in online Part I does not indicate the area details marked for other components like muck disposal etc.
6. The CA stipulation against total forest area diverted in the district is not commensurate. The State Govt may do necessary correction or justify the differences with factual reasons.
7. The details of trees proposed to be felled provided in hard copy, it is seen that the counting of trees done in 7m width in few compartments. As the width of the road proposed for diversion is 9m therefore the revised tree enumeration taking 9m width may provide and correct the same in online Part II.
8. In the muck disposal plan it is not clear that the area marked for muck disposal in the area other than the private land is included in the forest land proposed for diversion. The revised land schedule may be provided clarifying the same.
9. Authenticated copy of Part I, II, III, IV, and V may be provide to this office of the same uploaded in online web portal.
10. The NPV calculated at the rate prescribed for very dense forest while 77 trees per hectare does not account for very dense forest category. The State Govt is also categorised the forest in Eco class III which seems inappropriate as per species of the area concern. The State Govt may review the Eco class and NPV rate and provide/upload the revised information to this office.
11. As per Decision Support System (DSS) analysis, out the total area of 18.388 ha proposed for CA, 5 ha is on moderately dense forest. State Govt may replace this 5 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/upload revised digital map, Sol topohseet map and KML file of the complete 18.388 ha CA area again after making the above change.