


Diversion of 2.9934 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Andhra Jhanjwani Giyari road Kms 0/00 to 8/550 within the jurisdiction of Rohru Forest Division Distt.Shimla, HP.



1. Against column C(iii), although a copy of toposheet has been uploaded but as per latest instructions of GoI, against this column map of the proposed project on toposheet duly signed by the XEN and DFO concerned is required to be uploaded.
2. Against column C(iv), although the digital map of proposed road has been uploaded, but as per latest instructions of GoI, against this column map of the proposed project duly signed by the XEN and DFO concerned is required to be uploaded.
3. There is difference in the employment likely to be generated mentioned in online part-I and mentioned in hard copy of part-I. In online part-I, against column No.E(ii), '5000' and against column No. E(iii), 5000, has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I, against column No.1(vi), 'about 5000 mandays will be generated', has been mentioned. Employment generation whether it is temporary or permanent is required to be mentioned against column No.E(i), in online part-I. The employment likely to be generated mentioned in online part-I and mentioned in hard copy of part-I, should tally. Necessary corrections are required to be made in online part-I and hard copy of the proposal.
4. Against column No.4(i) in online part-II, Density of vegetation, '0' has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-II, against column No.7(vi) less than '<10%' has been mentioned. Density of vegetation, of both in online as well as in hard should tally. Necessary correction is required to be made in hard copy as well as in online part-II, as per actual density of vegetation over the forest land proposed for diversion.
5. Against column No.7 of online part-II, approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest in Km, '0.150' has been mentioned. Further in the hard copy of the proposal against column No.4, 'Zero mtrs from the boundary of forest' has been mentioned. This is required to be clarified by the DFO concerned and necessary correction is required to be made in online part-II as well as in the hard copy as per actual distance.
6. Against column No.8(i), Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion, 'NA', has been mentioned. Details of wild life present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion is required to be mentioned against this column.
7. Against column 8(iii), 'No', has been mentioned. As per latest instructions of GoI, the distance of the proposed projects from the WL sanctuary/ Eco-sensitive zones is required to be uploaded as an additional document against additional information details in online part-II and if falling within the 10 Kms of protected areas, the comments of Chief wild life warden of the State are required to be obtained for uploading against additional information details.
8. There is difference in the district profile mentioned against column No.14 of online part-II and mentioned against column No.12(i) to (v) of part-II of hard copy. Necessary corrections are required to be made in online part-II as well as in hard copy as per actual stipulations and progress of CA.

9. The site inspection report uploaded against column No.15 of online part-II is not in order. Specific recommendations of DFO concerned has not been made in the site inspection report. Revised site inspection report of DFO concerned with proper recommendations is required to be uploaded against this column and also required to be supplied in duplicate to this office.
10. The maps of the proposed road placed at P.Nos19 & 20 have not been signed by the FO concerned.
11. Against column No.D(ii), 'No', has been mentioned and against column No.D(ii)a, 'Road already constructed and in violation', has been mentioned whereas at P.No.32, the detail of two alignments A1 and A2 has been given. This is required to be clarified by the user agency when no alternate alignments have been examined and uploaded on the portal then placing the details of alternatives at P.No.32 is not understood.


 Divisional Forest Officer (FCA),
 o/o AP CCF (FCA), HP Shimla

Enclst. No. C-28-B-1599 (FCA Online) 2347 Dt. 20/6/18

Copy is forwarded to DFO Rohru for information & necessary action. He is requested to attend to above shortcomings at the earliest possible.


 20/6/2018
 Chief Conservator of Forests
 Shimla Forest Circle, Shimla

 19/06/18