



पत्र सं०: एफ.सी. /एच.पी.बी. /05 /43 /2022.

दिनांक: 17.06.2022

सेवा में,

नोडल अधिकारी—सह—अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य अरण्यपाल (एफ.सी.ए.)
हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार, वन विभाग, टालैंड, शिमला।
(Email: nodalfcahp@yahoo.com)

विषय: Diversion of 0.4087 ha of forest land in favour of Shri Prakash Chand S/o Sh. Sorma Ram VPO Kunr Tehsil & Distt. Chamba, for the extraction of slates in Mauza Kumar Tehsil Bharmour Chamba, within the jurisdiction of Bharmour Forest Division, Distt. Chamba, Himachal Pradesh (Online Proposal No. FP/HP/MIN/141848/2022).

संदर्भ: नोडल अधिकारी—सह—अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य अरण्यपाल (एफ.सी.ए.), हि०प्र० के पत्रांक 48—1850/2009/ (एफ.सी.ए.) दिनांक 05.04.2022

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय पर संदर्भित पत्र का आशय ग्रहण करते हुए आपको यह सूचित करना है कि प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित कमियां पाई गयी हैं। अतः राज्य सरकार निम्न बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनाएं प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें, ताकि आगामी कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके :-

1. The report of State Geologist provided by the State Govt. has mentioned that the proposed project is a hill slope mining. Therefore, the State Govt. may provide clarification/justification/documents on the following points:-
 - i. The capacity of production, the present level of average annual production, location of these pits and status of reclamation of forest land where minerals have exhausted and details of the existing mining lease in the district for the mineral Slate.
 - ii. State Govt. may provide the landscape map of approved/existing/proposed mining projects for hill slope mining especially within administrative limit of the district.
 - iii. The State Govt may confirm that the mining proposed is in conformation with the direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Ministry Guidelines issued in 2016 and 2020 in this regard. Also, a copy of District Survey Report (DSR) may be provided.
2. The State Govt. may further clarify whether reclamation of already mined out areas in mining leased area has been carried out or not.
3. Reclamation Plan for the proposed mining area after the completion of the mining may also be provided.
4. Of Part I, para L (i), para M-5.2 and para M-7, in the tables, the mineral mentioned is for extraction of minor mineral, river bed material whereas, the proposal is for extraction of only slates. Therefore necessary corrections in this regards may be incorporated.
5. Of Part I, in the tables of para L(i), para M-5.2 and M-7, the 'unit' of estimated reserves in forest land is not mentioned. Necessary corrections in this regard may be done.
6. In Part II, para 2 the legal status of the forest land proposed for has been mentioned as "Surface Component Under 1952 Notification =0.4087 Hac". Therefore, the State Govt. may clearly mention whether the proposed land for diversion is RF/DPF/UPF under IFA 1927 or Wasteland declared as Protected Forest as per 1952 notification.

I/8919/2022

7. State Govt. may clarify the estimated quantity of wastes generated after mining of the mentioned mineral, which is required to be dumped in dumping yard component mentioned in the component wise breakup. Further dimensions of the dumping yard may be also provided.
8. Undertaking to pay NPV has been provided, however, NPV calculation sheet has not been provided. Therefore, the same may be provided as per revised NPV rates by MoEF & CC letter dated 6th January, 2022.
9. FRA certificate has been provided, however it is incomplete. FRA Certificate along with the records of all consultations and proceedings of FRC/Gram Sabha of all affected villages are required to be submitted/uploaded before Stage II approval.
10. No approach road has been proposed in the proposal. Therefore, necessary clarification regarding the matter may be provided.
11. In a certificate provided for distance of ESZ of Tundah WLS from the proposed diversion area is mentioned 10.3 km (approx.). However, certificate mentioning exact aerial distance of the proposed diversion area from Tundah WLS and its notified ESZ is required.
12. Safety Zone has not been proposed in the component wise breakup, however the same has been shown in digital maps and topo sheets. Therefore, safety zone shall be included in KML file and component wise breakup in Part I.
13. Layout Plan duly authenticated by the concerned DFO may be provided.
14. In Joint Inspection Report, the distance of mining area from nearby Chamba-Kurn road is not matching with distance mentioned transportation plan. Therefore, the same may be reviewed and rectified.
15. The user agency shall obtain Consent to Operate from HPSPCB.
16. The validity of the Letter of Intent provided has expired on 12.10.2021. Therefore, fresh Letter of Intent may be provided.
17. In Part II, para 6 it has been mentioned that the area along the proposed Mining is not vulnerable to soil erosion, however, from the KML file it can be clearly seen that the area is in hill slopes. Therefore, State Govt. may provide Soil Moisture Conservation Plan along with detail cost of its implementation as per the recent guidelines of MoEF&CC OM dated 7th June, 2022.
18. In approved mining plan, page-42, it has been mentioned that many important and unique species like Musk Deer, Leopard, Black Bear, Snow Leopard etc. are distributed in the area. Therefore, State Govt. may provide following clarification/documents:-
 - i. Clarification from the concerned DFO may be obtained regarding, why the distribution of important and unique species in Part II, para 8(v) has not been mentioned?
 - ii. Since, the proposed diversion area is habitat of important faunal species, therefore Wildlife Management Plan along with detail cost of its implementation according to the recent guidelines of MoEF&CC OM dated 7th June, 2022 may also be provided.

यदि चाही गई सूचना पत्र जारी होने की तिथि के 60 दिनों के भीतर (अधिकतम 90 दिन) इस कार्यालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुई तो प्रस्ताव को निरस्त किया जा सकता है।

भवदीय,

हो/
(सत्य प्रकाश नेगी)
क्षेत्रीय अधिकारी