

I/8865/2022



**भारत सरकार/GOVERNMENT OF INDIA**  
**एकीकृत क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय**  
**Integrated Regional Office**  
**पर्यावरण, वन और जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय**  
**Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change**  
**सी.जी.ओ. कॉम्प्लेक्स, शिवालिक खण्ड, लॉगवुड**  
**CGO Complex, ShivalikKhand, Longwood**  
**शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश-171001**  
**Shimla, Himachal Pradesh - 171001**



ईमेल/Email : iro.shimla-mefcc@gov.in  
 दूरभाष/Tel.: 0177-2658285  
 0177-2652541  
 फैक्स/Fax: 0177-2657517

File No.: FC/HPC/06/54/2022

Dated: 06.2022

सेवा में,

नोडल अधिकारी-सह-अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य अरण्यपाल (एफ.सी.ए.)

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

वन विभाग, टालैंड, शिमला।

**(Email:- nodalfcahp@yahoo.com)**

**विषय: Diversion of 50.091 ha. of forest land in favour ITBP Floor 03 Block 02, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road New Dehli for the construction of Dubling-Rishi Dogri-Lamche Dogri road within the jurisdiction of Kinnaur Forest Division, Distt. Kinnaur, HP. (Online Proposal No. FP/HP/Road/145666/2021).**

**संदर्भ: नोडल अधिकारी-सह-अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य अरण्यपाल (एफ.सी.ए.), हि0प्र0 के पत्रांक 48-5451/2021 (एफ.सी.ए.) दिनांक 03.06.2022.**

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय पर संदर्भित पत्र का आशय ग्रहण करते हुए सूचित करना है कि प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित कमियां पाई गयी है। अतः राज्य सरकार निम्न बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनाएं प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें, ताकि अग्रिम कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके:-

1. The FRA Certificate has not provided with the proposal. As per the recent clarification by the MoEF & CC, Government of India vide File No. FC-11/76/2019-FC-Part-(1) dated 16<sup>th</sup> February, 2022, FRA Certificate is required to be submitted for all proposals including Defence Roads.
2. In the proposal, no alternate alignment has been provided. However, as per the FCA guidelines, Para-1.15, justification for locating the project in the forest area giving details of alternatives examined and reasons for their rejection needs to be submitted. Therefore, State Govt. may explore the alternatives in more details and must give complete justification establishing its inescapability for locating the project in the forest area.
3. Most of the documents (i.e. Muck Management Plan, Layout Plan etc.) in the proposal are not authenticated by DFO concerned. This may be ensured that all the documents are authenticated by DFO concerned and uploaded in the designated locations.
4. A "bridge" component is mentioned in component wise break-up. However, same is not marked in Layout Plan and KML file. Therefore, all components of the proposal may be marked in KML file, Layout Plan and Geo-referenced map as well.
5. In Khasra no. wise detail of components, legal status of diversion area is mentioned as un-listed forest. However, in Part-II, para-2, legal status of diversion area is mentioned as Unclassed Forest. Therefore, 'un-listed forest' may be clarified and mismatching legal status of diversion area may be reviewed and rectify as well.
6. Administrative Approval of the project issued by the Competent Authority may be provided.
7. It has been observed from KML file that most of the dumping sites are in very steep slope from where debris can be easily rolled down into river. Therefore, the State Govt. may submit mitigation measures to be adopted so that debris could not be rolled down into the river/down hill side.
8. 4.9715 hac. area is proposed for muck dumping. The State Govt. may justify the requirement of so many (25 no.) dumping sites involving large area (4.9715 hac.) for dumping. Also, the muck dumping sites are proposed in forest land, therefore suitable non-forest/private land, if possible, may be explored for disposal of muck.
9. The Eco-class mentioned is in Part-II, 4(i) as 'V' and NPV calculation has also been calculated as per Eco-class-V. However, Eco-class for the proposed project site shall be 'VI', therefore same may be reviewed and correct Eco-class and NPV calculation accordingly may be uploaded and submitted.

I/8865/2022

10. The status of proposed CA land, whether Waste land or UPF or DPF may be clarified. If proposed CA land is notified as DPF/UPF, duly authenticated copy of notification may be provided.
11. The proposed CA site is at > 3000 meter altitude and in steep terrain. Therefore, comments regarding possibility of plantation in such high altitude condition and steep terrain may be provided.
12. 122 no. of Ecologically important trees Chilgoza (*Pinus gerardiana*) and Deodar (*Cedrus deodara*) are proposed for felling. Therefore, possibility of reducing the number of trees to be affected may be explored or rationalisation to be done in the layout of the proposed to reduce green felling. Further, a list of trees which are required to be felled and retained may be provided.
13. The proposal mentioned the presence of Scheduled species viz. Snow Leopard (*Panthera uncial*), Blue Sheep (*Pseudois nayaur*), Red Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and Tahr (*Hemitrayus jemlahicus*). The State Govt. may provide comments regarding the adverse impacts of the project on the species as well as on their natural habitat. Additionally, the State Govt. may submit Wildlife Management Plan along with detail cost of its implementation as per MoEF & CC letter vide File No. FC/11/43/2021-FC dated 07.06.2022.
14. In Part-II, para-6 it is mentioned that the Diversion Area area is slightly steep and prone to erosion. Therefore, State Govt. may provide details regarding the mitigation measures to be adopted to arrest the accelerated soil erosion/landslide during/after execution of the project. Additionally, the State Govt. may submit Soil and Moisture Conservation Plan along with detail cost of its implementation as per MoEF & CC letter vide File No. FC/11/43/2021-FC dated 07.06.2022.
15. The State Govt. may rectify and fill the district profile in Part-II, para-14.
16. In Part-II, para-8(v), it has been mentioned that there are no rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna are present in the area. The same may be reviewed and a list of unique floral species prevailing in the area may also be provided along with action plan for their protection and conservation.

यदि चाही गई सूचना पत्र जारी होने की तिथि के 60 दिनों के भीतर (अधिकतम 90 दिन) इस कार्यालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुई तो प्रस्ताव को निरस्त किया जा सकता है।

भवदीय,

ह0/-

(सत्य प्रकाश नेगी)

क्षेत्रीय अधिकारी