

The user agency may rectify the shortcoming mentioned below.

1. This is to be stated that the DFO has upload an estimate for Rs.31,62,000/- which is mentioned as wildlife conservation plan. But this is an estimate and not the wild life conservation plan. The wild life conservation plan should have been prepared by an expert taking into account the present scenarioa in the area the scenarioa after the construction of road assessment of impact the road on the wild life of the area and the mitigative measures to minimize the impact on the wildlife and the cost of mitigative measures. Therefore it apperers that the so called wild life conservation plan has been prepared without application of mind. State Govt. may do the needful in this regard.

Action to be taken- Please upload the detail of Wildlife conservation Plan approved by PCCF, Wildlife.

2. DFO has not given the deatail of rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area in Para-5.4 of the SIR. Further no comments have been given against Para-5.5 of SIR and the recommendation given is lacking in clarity. State Govt. may do the needful in this regard.

Action to be taken- CF may give the detail report on the concerned query.

3. DFO has raised 6 issues in his site inspection report uploaded at para 15 of part-II . But DFO should have taken measure to address the issues raised at his level and then forwarded the proposal to the higher authorities in complete manner. Further, none of the higher authorities of the forest depatment/state Govt have given comments on these issues. State govt. may do the needful in this regard.

Action to be taken- CF may give the detail report on concerned query .

....Nodal office, Dehradun....