भारत सरकार
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय
एकीकृत क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, देहरादून
25 सुभाष रोड, देहरादून—248001
दूरभाषः 0135—2653010
ईमेल- moef.ddn@gov.in



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST &
CLIMATE CHANGE
INTEGRATED REGIONAL OFFICE, DEHRADUN
25 SUBASH ROAD, DEHRADUN-248001
PHONE- 0135-2650809
FAX- 0135-2653010
Email- moef.ddn@gov.in

फाईल संख्या 8बी. / यू.सी.पी. / 06 / 38 / 2022 / एफ0 सी0 / 3 6 2 दिनांकः 10 / 06 / 2022 सेवा में.

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय:— जनपद— पौड़ी गढ़वाल के अन्तर्गत राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग संख्या एन0एच0 119/534 कि0मी0 196.00 से 276.00 सतपुली—श्रीनगर तक दो लेन चौड़ीकरण हेतु 32.553 है0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यो हेतु राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग, लो0नि0वि0 को प्रत्यावर्तन। (Online No. FP/UK/ROAD/45296/2020)

सन्दर्भः—कार्यालय—अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, उत्तराखण्ड का पत्रांक – 2634 / FP/UK/ ROAD/45296/2020 दिनांक 07-05-2022

महोदय,

उपर्युक्त प्रस्ताव पर ध्यानपूर्वक विचार करने के उपरान्त मुझे आपको यह सूचित करने का निर्देश हुआ है कि प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित त्रुटियाँ पाई गयी। राज्य सरकार निम्नलिखित बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनायें प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें, ताकि अग्रिम कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके:—

- 1. On perusal of the proposal it is found that there is no detail of the existing approval under FCA of the existing road. State Government is requested to provide the information in this regard in detail.
- 2. It is also seen that in the proposal no detail of the existing width of black top area, carriageway and RoW is provided. State Government is requested to provide the detail of the same.
- 3. It is seen that existing RoW is not clearly recorded in the proposal. State Government is requested to provide information in this regard.
- 4. As per land schedule, additional RoW proposed in this proposal is mentioned as 18 m. State Government is requested to submit the drawing of the proposal showing existing, additionally required and total black top width of the road.
- 5. With reference to point no. 04, State Government is requested to justify the proposed width as per norms and order of MoRTH.
- 6. State Government is requested to submit a comparative chart of the width i.e. black top area, carriageway and RoW taken in char dham strategic road, char dham non strategic road and of this proposal.

(ishe)

- 7. The location of muck disposal sites (which is entirely proposed in forest land) is not marked in the KML file and digital map of the area proposed for diversion. State Government may do the needful in this regard.
- 8. As per DSS analysis, 6 ha area found in VDF and 43 ha in MDF proposed in reserve forest land and civil soyam land. State Government is requested to change the CA area found VDF/ MDF in reserve forest area and as per Ministry's order dated 08.03.2017, a land having less than 0.4 density is required to be selected. Further, it is also requested to submit plantation scheme in lieu of VDF/MDF found in civil soyam land as per Ministry's order dated 08.03.2017.
- 9. Name and Shape of area proposed for CA given in KML file are different from that given in digital map. State Government is requested to provide the correct information in this regard and submit/upload the correct detail/ maps/ KML file.
- 10. In KML file only 9 patches of CA area are uploaded but in digital map 11 sites are found selected. State Government may clarify.
- 11. The area of 65 ha for raising CA is found selected in 9 or 11 patches is not acceptable which is also far away from each other. State Government is requested to explore big patches for the purpose.
- 12. Digital map and SoI toposheet uploaded at para 13 in part II are not signed by any authority. State Government may upload these maps duly countersigned by the concerned DFO.
- 13. Some CA area selected in reserve forest land and some in revenue land but the complete detail of the CA area with names is not mentioned at para 13 and in CA scheme, which is required to be done.
- 14. Details of non forest land not filled online in part I which is also required. State Government is requested to upload the details of non forest land as well in online part I.
- 15. Out of 32.553 ha area, 7.92 ha area is selected for muck dumping. State Government is requested to explore non forest land for the purpose as the proposal is for widening and carry out muck is not a difficult task.
- 16. Cost benefit ration analysis uploaded at para G is not in the latest format. State Government is requested to submit the Cost benefit ratio analysis in the latest format quantifying each parameter.
- 17. Area mentioned in FRA of DM is 33 ha instead of 32.553 ha. State Government is requested to submit the FRA for the area proposed for diversion i.e. 32.553 hectare. However, the same may be submitted prior to Stage-II approval.
- 18. Name of many villages mentioned in the Village Level committee (VLC) meeting proceedings are not found provided at para B 2.3 also VLC meeting proceedings of many villages names of which is mentioned in para B. 2.3 are not found. State Government may submit the clarification in this regard and provide the correct information.
- 19. Details of saplings are not included in the tree enumeration list. State government is required to provide the tree enumeration list along with the details of the saplings proposed to be affected in the proposal.
- 20. Tree enumeration list uploaded in additional document is not in proper format and the same is not opening. State government is requested to upload the tree enumeration list in the proper format.
- 21. NPV is calculated at old rates. State Government is requested to submit/ upload NPV calculation sheet as per the new rates.
- 22. A copy of blank bar chart signed by Executive Engineer, Assistant Engineer and RFO found uploaded. State Government is required to submit the clarification in this regard and provide the complete and correct information.

- 23. In place of administrative approval gazette notification of 2004 found uploaded. Further, the name of the concerned proposal also not mentioned in the document. A copy of the administrative approval for this proposal is required to be uploaded as additional information document.
- 24. It is seen that the Geologist report is submitted by the consultant geologist. It is suggested that the report be got prepared by some professional institution since the submitted report vaguely raises certain points which are not completely answered.
- 25. It has been mentioned in the geologist report that slope in 8 km length is very steep. State Government is requested to furnish detailed plan in the area for slope stabilization and muck dumping.
- 26. A patch of 9 km was advised to be avoided in Geologist report. State Government is requested to clarify that whether the same is proposed in proposal in spite of geologist suggestion or has been change in form of bypass.
- 27. Total forest area diverted in Pauri division is mentioned as 813 ha which seems incorrect. Further, as per the information provided in the District Profile (in online Part II para 14), CA stipulated not commensurate to the forest land diverted. State Government is requested to fill the correct data at para 14.
- 28. Number of Banj trees mentioned in DFO's recommendation are 199, in CF's SIR are 200 moreover in joint inspection report it is mentioned as 206 trees. State Government is required to clarify this discrepancy and provide correct information/ document.
- 29. Details of the 16 muck dumping area with their location, area, carrying capacity not enclosed with the muck disposal plan. State Government may submit/ upload the map showing location of all 16 selected muck dumping sites their carrying capacity and area detail.
- 30. Reclamation plan of 16 muck dumping sites proposed in forest area is not found submitted, which is required to be furnished.
- 31. A detailed plan on muck dumping is also required to be submitted as the same is highlighted again and again in the geologist report. State Government may submit/ upload the complete muck disposal plan including the details like quantity of muck likely to be generated including swell factor, quantity of muck likely to be utilized and the balance quantity to be disposed of at identified muck disposal sites duly approved by the DFO.

उपरोक्त त्रुटियों की पूर्तता के उपरांत ही प्रस्ताव पर अग्रिम कार्यवाही की जा सकेगी एवं यदि चाही गई सूचना पत्र जारी होने की तिथि के 60 दिनों के भीतर (अधिकतम 90 दिन) इस कार्यालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुई तो प्रस्ताव को निरस्त किया जा सकता है।

भवदीय

(टा० सी० नीटियाल) उप महानिरीक्षक, वन (के०)

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु :--

1. अपर मुख्य सचिव (वन), उत्तराखण्ड शासन, सुभाष रोड़, देहरादून।

(टी० सी० नौटियाल) उप महानिरीक्षक, वन (के०)