EDS on proposal No.FP/HP/HYD/19905/2016 Dated Shimla-1, the 01 MAY 2018 No.Ft.48-3369/2016(FCA)
Diversion of 98.1004 ha of forest land in favour of SJVN Ltd. Bithal,Shimla,for the construction of Luhri HEP Stage-I (210.00 MW), within the jurisdiction of Kotgarh, Rampur and Ani Forest Divisions distt Shimla &Kullu, HP.

Ani Forest Division:-

1. Against column No.4 (ii) of online part-II, 1176 trees have been shown.As per enumeration list of trees placed at P.No.247-281,1177 trees and 4611 saplings are standing over the forest land proposed for diversion. Thus necessry correction is required to be made against column No.4(ii) of online part-II, and exact number of trees involved in the proposed forest land are required to be mentioned in online part-II against column No.4(ii).
2. Instead of mentioning the working plan prescription of the forest area proposed for diersion, 'There is no prescription for removal/felling of trees in diversion case under FCA,1980 in the working plan. Moreover the working plan of this has already been expired on 31.03.2015 and new one is under preparation' has been mentioned against column No.5 of online part-II. Working plan prescription of the forest area proposed for diversion is required to be mentioned against this column.
3. Against column No.7, the distance of the poposed site from the boundary of forests, '0' Kms has been mentioned, whereas in the hard copy of the proposal against column No.1(ix) the approximate distance of the site proposed for diversion from the boundary of forest, '3Kms' has been mentioned. This is required to be clarified by the DFO concerned and necessary correction is required to be made in online as well as as in hard copy of part-II.
4. Against column No.13(ii), CA scheme over degraded forest land has been proposed, as per GoI guidelines, in respect of hydro power projects, degraded forest land is not provided hence non forest land is required to be provided by the UA. Accordingly digital map and map on toposheet CA site are required to be uploaded.
5. In hard copy of the proposal, as per check list Sr.No.6, given at P.Nos.26-27 of the proposal, the forest area 43.6950 ha has been mentioned whereas in online part-I, 43.2297 ha has been shown and in the non availability of non forest land certificate, issued by DC Kullu, placed at P.No.194 of the folder,also 43.6850 ha has been mentioned.This is required to be clarified by DFO concerned.
6. The bill of NPV placed at P.No.457 of the folder is not understood.

Kotgarh Forest Division:-

1. Against column No.4 (ii) of online part-II, '0' trees have been shown.As per enumeration list of trees placed at P.No.282-283,11 trees and 6 saplings are standing over the forest land proposed for diversion. Thus necessry correction is required to be made against column No.4(ii) of online part-II, and exact number of trees involved in the proposed forest land are required to be mentioned in online part-II against column No.4(ii).
2. Working plan prescription has not been mentioned against column No.5 of online part-II.
3. The bill of NPV placed at P.No.458 of the folder is not understood.

PTO

Rampur Forest Division:-

1. Against column No.4 (ii) of online part-II, 74 trees have been shown.As per enumeration list of trees placed at P.No.284-332, 320 trees and 383 saplings are standing over the forest land proposed for diversion. Thus necessry correction is required to be made against column No.4(ii) of online part-II, and exact number of trees involved in the proposed forest land are required to be mentioned in online part-II against column No.4(ii). Further, in the cost of trees placed at P.Nos.331-332, the total of the trees and saplings comes to703, whereas in the bill the total of trees and saplings 701 has been mentioned. Necessary correction is required to be made in the abstract of trees.
2. Working plan prescription has not been mentioned against column No.5 of online part-II.
3. Against column No.7, the distance of the poposed site from the boundary of forests, 1912.59 Kms has been mentioned, which does not seem to be correct.Further, in the hard copy of the proposal against column No.7(ix) , 'the proposed site is on the forest /govt land,' has been mentioned. This is required to be clarified by the DFO concerned and necessary correction is required to be made in online as well as as in hard copy of part-II.
4. Against column No.13(iii) digital map of the CA site only of 20 ha forest land has been uploaded,whereas as per KML files and CA scheme , CA has been proposed over 108 ha of forest land. Digital maps of all the patches uploaded aginst column No.13(i), are required to be uploaded against column No.13(iii).All the digital maps duly signed & stamped is required o be uploaded against this column.
5. Against column No.13(iv),although toposheet has been uploaded but all the proposed CA sites have not been shown on the toposheet.Further on the toposheet, proper heading, index has not been Shown. Against this column all the maps of CA site on SoI toposheet with proper heading,index duly signed by the DFO is required to be uploaded.
6. In the site inspection report of DFO uploaded against column No.15, and placed at P.No.335 of the proposal folder, against column No.6, out of (a) & (b) none of the column has been tick marked as (√) and (X).Thus it can not be made out whether there is violation in the present proposal or not.
7. The bill of NPV placed at P.No.456 of the folder is not understood.
8. P.No. 205 to 246 attested copy of FRA Rec been placed. GoI require original copy of FRA. for original folder.

Divisional Forest Officer (FCA),
o/o AP CCF (FCA), HP Shimla

Endst. No 576-78 dated 3-5-18,

Copy in continuation to this office endst. No 436-39 dated 25-4-2018 is forwarded to DFO, Ani, Kolgarh and Rampur for information and further action. They are requested to attend the above observations raised by DFO (FCA) o/o APCCF (FCA) HQ. Shimla under intimation to this office.

C.C.F. (T) Rampur.