

Construction of motor road to connect Thalmar village (2.205 ha)

On scrutiny of the proposal, following shortcomings have been found:

1. Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the proposal [Online para-A-1 (iii) of Part-I].
2. The period for which forest land is proposed to be diverted is mentioned as NIL (Online para A-1(x)).
3. Online application is made by Shri Kundan Lal Vema [Online para-A-3(i), (ii) & (iii)] but the authorization letter is issued in favour of Shri Satish Chandra Arya (Online para A-3(xvii)).
4. Component wise breakup of forest land required is not provided in para-B-2.4 of online Part-I.
5. Soft copy of the geo-referenced map showing the forest land proposed for diversion is submitted in Google Earth Map (Kml file) which does not serve the useful purpose. Soft copy of the geo referenced map is required to be submitted in Shapefile (.shp file) showing geo-coordinates i.e. latitudes and longitudes at regular interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points (curves) along the alignment of the road to be prepared through GIS software.
6. Scanned copy of the map prepared by Using GPS i.e. the geo-referenced digital map showing geo-coordinates at regular interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points (curves) along the alignment of the road to be prepared through GIS software has not been attached at para-B-2.4 instead, Sol Toposheet map showing geo-coordinates at start and end point only is attached.
7. In para-D of online Part-I, justification for rejection of alternatives examined has not been provided.
8. The forest land proposed for diversion is mentioned as 1.347 ha instead of 2.205 ha in all the documents concerning FRA attached in para-K of online Part-I.
9. At the end of online Part-I, 38 additional documents have been uploaded but, the title of the documents are not given in the remarks column except the document at sr. no. 1, 2, 37 & 38.
10. In online Part-II, density is mentioned as 0.2 but, 7 trees per hectare may not account for 0.2 density.
11. As per details given in online Part-I & Part-II, CA is proposed over 3.00 ha instead of 4.41 ha (2.205 ha X 2) Dewayal Civil Land.
12. Soft copy of the geo-referenced map showing the area proposed for CA is submitted in Google Earth Map (Kml file) which does not serve the useful purpose. State Govt. may submit the soft copy of the geo-referenced map of the areas proposed for CA in Shapefile (.shp file) showing geo-coordinates of all the corner points along the periphery of the polygon.
13. A copy of Survey of India Toposheet is attached in place of scanned copy of the geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed for C.A.at para-13 (ii) of online Part-II. State Govt. may submit the scanned copy of the geo-referenced digital map prepared by using DGPS or Total Station showing geo-coordinates of all the corner points along the periphery of the polygon.
14. Scanned copy of the Google Earth map of the forest land proposed for C.A. is attached in place of copy of Survey of India Toposheet at para-13 (iii) of online Part-II.
15. The suitability certificate for the area proposed for CA has not been uploaded with CA scheme in online part-II.
16. NPV calculation is attached as additional document but, the area is mentioned as 2.50 ha instead of 2.205 ha.
17. May provide hard copy of Part-I & Part-II signed by the User Agency and DFO exactly similar to what has been uploaded online to be placed in the hard copy of the proposal.
18. Signed copies of Part III, IV and Part V are not attached in the hard copy.