

PROPOSAL NUMBER-FP/UK/ROAD/11269/2015

EDS DATED 21-05-2016

1. Total period for which proposed forest land to be diverted is not mentioned in Part I.
2. Employment generation details are not provided in Part I.
3. The cost benefit analysis provided in Annexure VI B and C is not quantified in monetary terms. The State Govt may provide the revised C/B analysis with proper quantification.
4. The total area proposed for diversion is not matching with the area details provided in component wise and village wise break up in Part I.
5. Component wise break up is just a repetition of village wise break up in Part I. The component wise break up should involve the area defined for each component in the proposed diversion viz. Road, bridge, muck disposal if proposed in forest area etc. The State Govt may revise the component plan accordingly in Part I.
6. The proposed diversion involved 8 villages as per detail furnished in village wise breakup in Part I but the village level proceeding provided for only one gram panchayat mentioning name of 3 villages only. The State Govt may comment on this and if necessary provide the village level proceedings for other villages also.
7. GIS software generated geo-referenced map showing geo-coordinate for all corners of the CA site may upload in the designated column in Part I and II. Google map will not be accepted for the purpose.
8. 68 trees per ha does not account for 0.5 density. The State Govt may review the density and NPV calculation and provide with necessary correction.
9. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion is not clearly defined in Part II with all protective measures if required.