

Proposal No.FP/HP/Road/14319/2015

Diversion of 1.625 ha of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Prem Nagar Chandog road via Bara Chakli road Km 7/0 to 10/200.within the jurisdiction of Rajgarh Forest Division Distt Sirmour HP.

1. Against column No.4 of online part-II, the detail of trees has not been mentioned whereas 50 trees are standing over the forest land proposed for diversion. The number of trees are required to be mentioned against this column. In this regard it is clarified that all trees from Vth class to onward are to be mentioned as per girth class mentioned on the web portal of GoI, against this column. Only the saplings do not come under the girth class mentioned on the portal. Hence the saplings coming in any proposal are to be mentioned against remarks column against column No.4.
2. Column No.5 of online part-II, the working plan prescription has not been mentioned.
3. Against column No.6 of online part-II, instead of reporting the vulnerability of the forest area to erosion, the name of the diversion proposal has been mentioned.
4. Against column No.13(i) of online part-II, no details of CA site has been mentioned and the column has been left blank. The KML file of CA site has also not been found uploaded against this column.
5. Violation has been done by the user agency in this road proposal. It is required to be clarified by the DFO whether this road is appearing in the list of 2183 road proposals in which violation has been made by HPPWD. An extract copy of the same is required to be supplied.
6. Against column No.13(iii) of online part-II, although the digital map of CA site has been uploaded but the office stamp of DFOP concerned is not visible in the map.
7. Against column No.13(iv) of online part-II, instead of uploading the CA site map on toposheet, the map of the proposed road has been found uploaded. Against this column map of the site proposed for CA in the present proposal duly signed by DFO is required to be uploaded.
8. District profile has not been filled up properly against column No.14 of online part-II, as the stipulation of CA has been shown '0' and the stipulation of '0' the progress of Compensatory afforestation as on 27.04.2016, '52ha' has been shown. Please clarify and correct the district profile. The district profile should be filled in as per detail given in column No.12 (i) to (iv) of hard copy of the proposal.
9. In the site inspection report of DFO, the specific recommendations have not been made by DFO. The revised site inspection report with specific recommendations is required to be uploaded.
10. CA scheme placed at P.No.67 of the proposal folder has not been approved by CF.