

No.Ft.48-3757/2018 (FCA),
H.P. Forest Department

Dated Shimla-1, the 17 MAY 2023

From: Nodal Officer-cum-Addl.Pr.CCF (FCA) To: CCF Bilaspur.
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1

Subject: **Diversion of 8.1683 hectare of forest land in favour of M/s Shyam Kumar R/o Village Tikkari, P.O. Panjgain, Tehsil Sadar, Distt. Bilaspur, H.P. for the collection/extraction of Sand, Stone & Bajri within the jurisdiction of Bilaspur Forest Division, Distt. Bilaspur, H.P.**


Memo

Please refer to your office letter No. 423 dated 26.04.2023 on the subject cited above.

2 Following shortcomings have been noticed in the reply as submitted to this office vide your above referred communication:

1. However, the cost benefit analysis for forest land proposed for diversion has been furnished in prescribed format but the value assessment has not been done as per rates of NPV issued by the Govt. of India vide letter dated 6th January, 2022. Therefore, DFO concerned may ensure whether cost benefit analysis has been calculated as per revised rates of NPV.
2. As per latest instructions received from GoI, no hard copies of the reply & proposal folder is being received by Govt. of India. It is therefore requested that complete proposal folder, after ensuring that all the documents in a single PDF file as per checklist, 1980 has been prepared, should be uploaded in Part-I by the user agency & all the documents as desired by the Govt. of India should be uploaded with the reply of CCF Bilaspur through online. If the same documents have been uploaded on the web portal by the user agency and DFO concerned, the references of particular columns should be given in the pointwise reply.
3. Alongwith FRA certificate, proceeding of Gram Sabha Galiyan has been provided whereas proceeding of Van Adhikar Simiti has been provided by Ser Van Adhikar Simiti. It may be clarified whether there is separate Van Adhikar Simiti for Gram Galiyan or it is common for Ser and Galiyan gram. If there is separate Van Adhikar Simiti, the proceeding of the same be uploaded with the complete FRA certificate in Part-I or with the reply of CCF concerned.
4. As the validity of letter of intent is going to be expired on 21.05.2023. Therefore, approval of extension of validity of period of letter of intent be obtained from concerned authority and be uploaded with the reply.

5. W.r.t. point No. 4, it has been stated that the approach access from the nearest existing road to the project site is private land. It may be clarified whether there is any approach road to the project site. Detail alongwith revenue paper of private land involved in the approach access/road be provided to this office.
6. The estimated reserve mentioned in M-7 & in approved mining plan is not matching. The necessary correction be done as per mining plan against column No. M-7.
7. District Survey Report is issued by the Mining Department in mining cases. Therefore, comments of concerned mining officers whether proposed mining conforms to the District Survey Report (as per EMSMG 2020 & SSMMG 2016) is required to be obtained and be uploaded with the reply of CCF concerned.
8. It has not been clarified whether Safety Zone area has been proposed in view of the guidelines Para 7.9. It may also be clarified whether CA area in degraded forest land of 1.5 times of the proposed safety zone areas has been identified by DFO concerned or not.
9. The Govt. of India has asked at Point No. 10 to protect the Public Road and natural stream respectively located inside mining lease area by taking 10 meter width and 50 meter strip on either side. It may be clarified whether there is any public road & natural stream in the mining lease area? If so, the map duly authenticated by showing the public road and natural stream protected by taking 10 meter width and 50 meter strip land should be provided.
10. Employment detail and population to be benefitted has not been included in the brief note of the project.
11. As user agency has applied for EC in the year of 2018. Since, 5 years EC has not been obtained. Reasons for delay in obtaining EC be provided to this office.
12. It has been replied vide your letter under reference that CA area has been replaced. But the detail of area replaced for CA has not been given in the reply. Further location map as uploaded in additional information detail in Part-II is for UPF Dhar Tatoh. Further, certificate as provided by DFO concerned for no plantation over CA area is also for UPF Dhar. If the CA area has been replaced, the detail of area replaced, location map, digital map, toposheet & site suitability certificate, and no plantation certificate should be provided to this office through online.


Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA)
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla.