

F.No. 4-TSB302/2022-HYD/367

Date: 16th May 2023

प्रति/To,

✓ The Special Chief Secretary to the Government,
Environment, Forests, Science & Technology Department,
Telangana State Secretariat, Hyderabad.

विषय/Subject: Diversion of 1.1221 Ha (revised from 1.4637 Ha) of forest land to grant necessary permission to execute the road work from 0/500 to 2/2452 Kms for providing BT Road from Rayadhari to Godumalla via Pochampally (V) of Pembri (14) of Nirmal District in favour of Executive Engineer, Tribal Welfare, Division, Utnoor.-reg

संदर्भ/Reference: The State Government letter No 2054/For.I(1)/2022 dated 20.03.2023

महोदया/Madam,

With reference to the subject and reference cited above, I am directed to inform that the instant proposal was scrutinized and following additional information/ clarifications are required for further consideration of the same. Therefore, it is requested that the State Government may submit following additional information / clarification at the earliest to this office for further processing of the proposal;

- The UA has not filled up the details of earlier proposals submitted in the past under FCA, 1980 in the relevant column of the parivesh portal. Hence, UA may be directed to fill mandatory information under PART I.
- On perusal of the available Google images, it is noticed that in 10/2007 cart track of less than 3.50 mtr was present but it was widened to 7.00 mtr in the period between 10/2007 to 03/2011. Further, it was noticed around 03/2022, BT road was laid for a length of about 54 mtr. Hence, the State Government may kindly furnish the details of works carried out, if any, by the UA in violation of FCA, 1980.
- Majority of the alignment is passing through agricultural fields, however, comments regarding the current vegetation status, encroachments/ ROFR pattas, if any, issued etc

in this forest land is not provided. The State Government shall furnish the vegetation status, encroachment/RORF pattas details ect.

- iv. The proposed road is going to benefit about 350 tribal population only and the area is falling within the Kawal Tiger Reserve. SFD has not submitted any report on the possible adverse impacts of the project on the WL in general and the Tiger habitat in specific. Hence, detailed note on the above matter shall be submitted by the SFD;
- v. Cross section and nature of road proposed to be constructed is not furnished. The same shall be submitted by the user agency.
- vi. As per the Topo map of the proposed diversion, the eastern end of the proposed diversion falls outside RF. Further, in the toposheet, there is an existing road. However, the proposed diversion does not follow the road seen in the topo map. Alternatives examined to the current proposal may be furnished by the UA and the SFD.
- vii. CA land identified is found to be located near to the human settlement. Further, on superimposing the CA map on the topo sheet, it was seen that identified CA overlaps with the RF. Hence, the legal status of the non-forest area identified for CA purposes shall be examined and a report on the same may be submitted;
- viii. Kml uploaded for the CA land is for 1.21 ha & CA topo map uploaded is of 2.685 ha. Further, the map is not countersigned by the DFO. Correct Kml shall be uploaded on Parivesh & CA map submitted shall be countersigned by the DFO.
- ix. In FDO report, it is mentioned that CA land is rocky with Murram soil. Hence, FDO proposed for DFL in Maskapur RF. In view of a lot of encroachments noticed around the forest area proposed for diversion, the CA may be undertaken near the proposed road diversion by evicting the encroachments.
- x. FDO in his soil suitability certificate mentioned that Chain Link fencing should be there for protection of the CA land. However, on perusal of the CA scheme, it was noticed that no chain link fencing for the CA land has been proposed. The same may be rectified.
- xi. In part -II (Online) details of vegetation has not been filled up by the DFO.
- xii. DFO reported that proposed road is passing along the cart track and there is a chance of erosion due to the upgradation of road. Hence, a suitable mitigation plan may be submitted;
- xiii. Site Inspection Report (SIR) of the proposed diversion carried out by the FDO instead of DFO is enclosed. It is recommended for 6 animal passages at one place and 4 animal passages at other place. Hence, a site inspection report by the DFO concerned may be



submitted clearly indicating the status of land, vegetation cover, proof of existing road/ cart track as per RF notification, impact of the road of adjoining forest, Wildlife and its habitat etc.

Yours faithfully,

Bhawar
16.05.23

(कैलाश भि. भवर, भावसे)

(Kailash B. Bhawar, IFS)

सहायक वन महानिरीक्षक (केंद्रीय)

Assistant Inspector General of Forests (Central)

प्रति लिपि /Copy to: -

1. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (HoFF), Forest Department, Govt. of Telangana, Aranya Bhavan, Saifabad, Hyderabad, PIN- 500 004.
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests/Nodal Officer (FCA), Forest Department, Govt. of Telangana, Aranya Bhavan, Saifabad, Hyderabad, PIN- 500 004.
3. Tribal Welfare Engineering Department, ITDA , Utnoor, Adilabad District, Telangana 504311 eetwedutnoor@gmail.com
4. Guard file.

