

Reply of this office EDS dated 30.01.2020 submitted by Nodal Officer vide letter dated 19.03.2020. Following observations are still noticed in the reply:-

1. In reply to point no. 1, CA site suitability certificate still not found uploaded.
2. In reply to point no. 4, justification submitted by the State Govt. seems not satisfactory. State Govt. is requested to submit revised proposal up to point no. 22 (as per digital map).
3. In reply to point no. 5, location of village Dirnad still not marked in the KML file.
4. In reply to point no. 6, no correction made by the State Govt. Shape of digital map and KML file of CA area are still not matching.
5. In reply to point no. 7, neither revised CA scheme nor any justification submitted by the State Govt.
6. In reply to point no. 9, details of muck dumping area still not added in non forest land column at para B 2.3 in part I.
7. In reply to point no. 10, it is seen that area of muck dumping sites comes to 0.86 ha instead of 0.597 ha proposed in non forest land.
8. In reply to point no. 11, still benefits of road mentioned instead of reason for locating the project in forest land in the justification uploaded at para D in part I.
9. In reply to point no. 11, it is also mentioned in justification that one jeep road is already constructed. State Govt. may clarify, if any approval under FCA has already been obtained for construction of jeep road?
10. In reply to point no. 12 & 13, no correction made by the State Govt.