भारत सरकार
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (मध्य)
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
Regional Office (Central Region)

सत्यमेव जयते

Nature Protects if She is Protected

केन्द्रीय भवन, पंचम तल, सेक्टर–एच, अलीगंज, लखनऊ–226024

Kendriya Bhawan, 5th Floor, Sector-H, Aliganj, Lucknow- 226024, Telefax: 2326696, 2324340, 2324047, 2324025
Email: (Env.) m_env@rediffmail.com, (Forest) goimoefrolko@gmail.com

पत्र सं0 8बी/राज0/06/14/2018/एफ.सी. /91

दिनॉक 09/5/18

सेवा में,

शासन सचिव (वन)
सिविल सचिवालय,
राजस्थान शासन, जयपुर, राजस्थान

Online Proposal No. FP/RAJ/ROAD/20888/2016

विषयः **Diversion of 2.76 ha. of forest land in favour of PWD PMGSY-WB Division Shahpur (Bhilwara, Rajasthan) for construction of SH-29 km. 139/500 to Lorda Road under Rajasthan Road Sector Modernization Project.**

सन्दर्भः शासन सचिव, राजस्थान शासन का पत्रांक– प01(4)वन/2018, दिनांक– 20.04.2018

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय पर संदर्भित पत्र का आशय ग्रहण करने का कष्ट करें। जिसके द्वारा राज्य सरकार ने विषयांकित प्रस्ताव पर वन (संरक्षण) अधिनियम, 1980 की धारा (2) के अन्तर्गत भारत सरकार की स्वीकृति मॉगी है।

प्रकरण में विचारोपरान्त मुझे आपको यह सूचित करने का निर्देश हुआ है कि राज्य सरकार निम्न बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचना प्रस्तुत करें ताकि आगे की कार्रवाई सुनिश्चित की जा सके।

1. As per GIS DSS analysis:
    a. Kml files in CD along with hard copy have not been submitted.
    b. Survey of India topo sheets in original for proposed forest land for diversion as well as proposed compensatory afforestation has not been provided.
    c. Geo coordinates provided on geo referenced maps are not legible.
    d. Kml files for alternative alignments regarding proposed diversion has not been submitted.
2. The proposal has been submitted without considering alternative alignments to justify minimum use of forest land, though the proposal is of new road and entirely located in forestland. (section D of part I)
3. Data regarding employment generation (temporary) in man-days at E-(iii) is only 30 man-days which is incorrect for a proposal having financial outlay of Rs 1.83 crore.
4. Documents and certificates uploaded with respect to FRA 2006 have following issues:
    a. The extent of area shown in DM certificate is 3.28 ha whereas the proposal is for 2.76 ha.
    b. FRA documents for both the villages have not been uploaded.
    c. Documents are Xerox copies and need authentication.

8/5/18

5. Forest land area calculation (uploaded as additional document at Sr no. 4 in part I) is based on 10 mts width requirement whereas X section (uploaded as additional document at Sr no. 5 in part I) of the proposal shows varying RoW requirement having extent 9 to 10 mts. Area calculation shall based on actual requirement and it should calculated chainage wise. This issue needs clarification.
6. Muck management and disposal plan is without details and need revision.
7. Enumeration list in part II online shows negative value in 0-30cm girth column which is absurd and needs correction.
8. In part II at Sr no. 5 working plan prescription provides that the proposed forest land falls in Soil conservation and Rehabilitation working circle whereas at Sr no. 6 regarding brief vulnerability to erosion it is mentioned "Not vulnerable to erosion". This is contradictory and needs explanation as well as revision as per the field conditions.
9. At Sr no. 11 of part II, regarding FCA violation issues DFO has provided that no violation has occurred whereas in document uploaded in part I D (i) user agency has submitted that there exists a cart road. The issue of existence of road and its implications as FCA violation issue need clarification. A higher authority may visit the area and submit a site inspection report.
10. A) Compensatory Afforestation scheme has not been uploaded with the proposal.
    B) CA scheme shows same rate of maintenance per ha after 3rd year which signifies that inflation has not been accounted for while framing estimate and therefore the same needs revision.
11. Online part II shows density of vegetation as 0.1 whereas additional document uploaded in part II shows density of vegetation ranging from 0.1-0.4. These discrepancy needs revision of proposal.
12. Site Inspection Report by DCF submitted in prescribed format does not mention legal status of the forest land.
13. At several documents seal of signing authority has not been provided.
14. The proposal has been submitted without index and page numbers. Page numbers on proposal has been incorporated in this office for ease in referencing.
15. Forwarding letter of hard copy of the proposal mentions online proposal no. FP/RJ/Trans/20888/2016 which is incorrect.
16. The details of forestland requirement component wise at B-2.4 in part I does not provide breakup for forest land requirement like requirement for carriageway, shoulders etc.

भवदीय,

संलग्नक– मूल प्रस्ताव

(बृजेन्द्र स्वरूप)
वन संरक्षक (के0)

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवययक कार्यवाही हेतु :–

1. अतिरिक्त प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, (वन संरक्षण), वन विभाग, अरण्य भवन, झालना इंस्टीट्यूशनल एरिया, जयपुर, राजस्थान
2. उप वन संरक्षक, भीलवाड़ा, भीलवाड़ा, राजस्थान।
3. अधिशासी अभियन्ता, सामाजिक निर्माण विभाग, खण्ड–शाहपुर, भीलवाड़ा, राजस्थान।
4. वैयक्तिक सहायक, पर्यावरण, वन एंव जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय, लखनऊ को वेबसाइट पर अपलोडिंग हेतु प्रेषित।
5. आदेश प्रत्रावली

9/5/18

(बृजेन्द्र स्वरूप)
वन संरक्षक (के0)