

कार्यालय प्रभागीय निदेशक सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, मैनपुरी
पत्रांक २५३६ / १४-१ दिनांक: मैनपुरी: अप्रैल, ०५, २०१८

सेवा में,

महाप्रबन्धक सह परियोजना निदेशक,
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
47 एवं 48 ड्रीम सिटी कालोनी
(बाल जीवन घुट्टी के सामने) जी०टी० रोड
सारसौल, अलीगढ़-202001 (उ०प्र०)।

विषय:-
Proposal forest land diversion of protected forest for strengthening and wideningig of NH-91 (Kalyanpur to Naviganj Section) from 229.000 km to 289.000km having extent 97.896 ha.

सन्दर्भ:-
भारत सरकार पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (मध्य)
का ८बी/यू०पी०/०६/१३/२०१८/एफ०सी०/०१ दिनांक ०२-०४-२०१८ एवं
मुख्य वन संरक्षक/ नोडल अधिकारी, उ०प्र०, लखनऊ का पं० २८३१ / मैनपुरी /
२४५९६ / २०१७ दिनांक ०४-०४-२०१८

उपरोक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित पत्र की छाया प्रति इस आशय से संलग्न कर प्रेषित है
कि उक्त प्रस्ताव पर भारत सरकार पर्यावरण के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय लखनऊ में दिनांक
२७-०३-२०१८ को क्षेत्रीय सशक्त समिति की बैठक में की गई कार्यवाही के सापेक्ष में सूचनाये
एवं अभिलेख प्रस्तुत करने हेतु निर्देश दिये गये हैं। अतः आप अतिशीघ्र वॉचिट सूचनाये एवं
अभिलेख ०५ प्रतियों में उपलब्ध कराना सुनिश्चित करें। जिससे उक्त सूचनाओं को उच्च स्तर को शीघ्र
प्रेषित किया जा सकें।

संलग्नक:- सन्दर्भित पत्र की छाया प्रति


(सिद्धार्थ अम्बेडकर)

प्रभागीय निदेशक

सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, मैनपुरी



पत्रांक

/ दिनांकित

- १- प्रतिलिपि मुख्य वन संरक्षक/ नोडल अधिकारी, उ०प्र०, लखनऊ को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित ।
२- प्रतिलिपि वन संरक्षक, आगरा वृत्त आगरा को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित ।


(सिद्धार्थ अम्बेडकर)

प्रभागीय निदेशक

सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग, मैनपुरी

Agenda item 26.7 Uttar Pradesh

Online Proposal No: FP/UF/Road/24596/2017

HR/UP/09/06/13/2018/PC: Diversion of Protected Forest Land for Rehabilitation and Upgradation of Road in 4-lane with paved shoulders configuration from Kalyanpur to Naviganj (Km 229.000 to Km 239.000) section of National Highway-91 in Mainpuri District in the State of Uttar Pradesh.

The proposal was submitted vide letter no. FB/UP/13-2/2018-300(11)/2018 dated 10th January 2018 from Special Secretary, Department of Forest, Government of UP. The proposal along with hardcopy was returned to State Government vide letter no. FB/UP/06/13/2018/FC/U dated 28th February 2018 due to shortcomings and placed under FIAIS category. The reply of FUDR is still awaited. The essential details sought are mentioned below:-

- 1) Signature of person making application on behalf of the User Agency has not been authenticated (Please A-3 ised).

2) Three proposals EP/UP/ROAD/94596/2017, PP/UP/Road/23256/2017, and PP/UP/ROAD/222374/2016 appears to be part of widening of National Highway 91 and total extent of diversion is more than 100 ha which requires site inspection from Regional Office, MoEFCC as per guidelines of PFA 2006.

3) As per GMR DMR analysis of proposed forest land for diversion and propose compensatory afforestation, following issues need rectification:

 - Some portion of proposed forest land diversion overlaps with existing road.
 - Overlay of land files of proposed Compensatory afforestation shows presence of structures. This issue needs rectification and explanation regarding suitability of site.

4) Benefit Cost analysis of the proposal is not as per latest guidelines of MoEFCC. This needs revision.

5) The requisite documents for PFA 2006 have not been uploaded with the proposal. It has been mentioned that the same has been applied for.

6) Forest Gazette notification uploaded with the proposal is without date of notification and notification no.

7) The land schedule showing change wise area calculation does not provide for balance of PT available on both side and reasons for varying width requirement.

8) NPV calculation of forest land proposed for diversion is based on incorrect rates.

9) The site inspection report of the concerned Conservator of Forest is required on prescribed format.

10) Emission reduction list with hard copy and online enumeration list shows variance of 4 tree or lesser. This issue needs rectification as per ground condition.

Decision of species

- * Site inspection report of Conservator of Forests (CZ) was discussed in presence of officials of user agency. Following issues having implications on proposal were observed:
 1. Compliance of conditions imposed by MoEF&CC vide final approval FNo S-16/2012 FC dated 06 May 2013 for NH 91, was not done. RBC expressed its displeasure over suppression of this vital information in part I of the proposal by the user agency. Compliance of condition imposed vide above mentioned letter is to be ensured.
 2. Calculation of proposed forest land diversion (Land Schedule) shall incorporate extent of fresh diversion and re-diversions (like of retail outlet, OPG cables etc.) clearly in the proposal. Essential documents related to re-diversion shall be furnished. Extent of fresh diversion is to be finalized duly deducting re-diversion area.
 3. Overlap in enumeration of trees as per approval of 2013 and present proposal shall be removed duly accounting for the details of removed trees during intervening period.
 4. User Agency will furnish details of administrative approval of the project.

- The issue of non submission of reply within stipulated time has already been conveyed vide letter no.
815/UP/2017/PC/032 dated 26th March 2018
The proposal was deferred.

Date: 27th March 2018

Shri U.M.
Sahai
Member

Shri Kapil
Arya,
Member

Shri Kamji
Kesharwani,
Member

Conservator of
Forest (Central)
Member

Secretary
(Keynote)
Government of UP
(Special invitee)

Focal Officer,
Department of
Forests, UP
(Special
invitee)

Member
Secretary cum
DCP, REC

Chairman
APGOF
RRU