

कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा।

Email : cfkumaon_north@rediffmail.com , (05962) 231099 Fax : 230397

Tole free no- 9208008000

पत्रांक - 3696 / 12-1 अल्मोड़ा, दिनांक, 5/4/2016.

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी

चम्पावत वन प्रभाग, चम्पावत।

विषय :- मंच बकोडा तक मोटर मार्ग निर्माण प्रस्ताव संख्या (12159/2015)

संदर्भ :- नोडल अधिकारी द्वारा लगायी गयी आपत्ति दिनांक 30.03.2016.

महोदय,

उक्त मोटर मार्ग से सम्बन्धित वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण का ऑन-लाइन प्रस्ताव संस्तुति सहित नोडल अधिकारी को भेजे जाने पर भारत सरकार वन पर्यावरण एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय देहरादून द्वारा इस प्रस्ताव में निम्न आपत्तियां सूचित की गयी है भारत सरकार के पत्रांक Misc./II/ROC/COP/1/2013/1846 के क्रम में निम्न प्रकार सूचित आपत्ति का निराकरण करना सुनिश्चित करें।

DFO Plz reply to obj of RO-find the letter attached.

उक्त आपत्तियों को इस आशय से प्रेषित किया जा रहा है कि कृपया आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर तत्काल प्रस्ताव इस कार्यालय को प्रस्तुत करें ताकि इसे नोडल अधिकारी को प्रेषित किया जा सकें।

भवदीय

(प्रेम कुमार)

मुख्य वन संरक्षक/वन संरक्षक,
उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा।

1. The GPS coordinate marked digitally on GIS software generated georeferenced map for the area proposed for diversion may be provided in 200-300m distance and each turn of the proposed road and be uploaded in the designated place in Part I.
2. GPS coordinate marked digitally on georeferenced map for every corner of land proposed for CA may be provided. It should also be uploaded in the designated place in Part I.
3. NPV calculated for very dense forest but density 0.6 does not appear to be correct for 57 trees per ha as 453 trees are to be felled for area 7.9208 ha. Therefore, the State Govt may review the density and NPV calculation accordingly. The NPV calculation done for area 7.385 ha which should be done for 7.9208 ha area that is proposed for diversion.
4. Cost Benefit analysis uploaded in Part I is not satisfactory. The State Govt may provide C/B analysis as per guideline Annexure VI B and C with cost benefit ratio.
5. The CA area marked for the project is 15 ha but in general it is twice the area proposed for diversion i.e. $7.9208 \text{ ha} \times 2 = 15.8416 \text{ ha}$ in this case. The State Govt may provide CA scheme accordingly.
6. As the proposed road is linked with the previously sanctioned road, therefore the details of proposal sanctioned in past may be provided in appropriate column of Part I.
7. The detail provided in additional document regarding tree felling, it is mentioned that 453 trees are to be felled but only 296 trees are shown in Part II, may clarify.
8. A wildlife detail is not provided in part II.
9. Part I, II, III, IV and V which is provided in online web portal for the proposal is not enclosed with the hard copy.