

**कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वनसंरक्षण,
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।**

सेवा में,

वन संरक्षक,
केदारनाथ वन्यजीव,
गोपेश्वर, उत्तराखण्ड।

विषय:—जनपद—चमोली मे कल्याणा ग्वाड ब्रैण्ड से डिग्री कालेज फरकण्डे तक मोटर मार्ग निर्माण के सम्बन्ध में। (FP/UK/ROAD/10147/2015)

महोदय,

भारत सरकार के सन्दर्भित आपत्ति के अनुपालन में आनलाईन उपलब्ध करायी गई सूचना चाही गई सूचना के अनुरूप नहीं है। अतः भारत सरकार द्वारा चाहे गये बिन्दुओं की सूचना पार्ट I एवं पार्ट II में यथास्थान अपलोड करके ऑनलाईन उपलब्ध कराने का कष्ट करें, ताकि प्रकरण पर अग्रेत्तर कार्यवाही की जा सके। भारत सरकार द्वारा चाही गई सूचना निम्नानुसार है।

1. Short narrative of the project does not provide sufficient information about the projects.
2. Total period for which area to be diverted is not filled in online Part I.
3. FRA certificate is not uploaded in online Part I at designated place. Through provided all annexure in the said column. The State Govt may provide/upload original copy of FRA certificate in online Part I.
4. The State Govt has provided/uploaded the scanned copy of GIS software generated geo referenced digital map showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300m interval along the alignment including all turning points on exact to the scale and ensure the uploading of correct revised map in online Part I.
5. The State Govt may provide/upload GIS software generated geo-refernced digital map of the area proposed for CA providing geo coordinates at all corner points in shape (polygon/closed area) file. The Google Earth Map will not accepted for the purpose.
6. The KML file of the area proposed for CA is not uploaded in polygon (shape) file and only one point is shown, the State Govt may uploaded the revised and correct KML file.
7. The justificateion for locating the projects in forest land is not uploaded in Part I.
8. the details furnished in online Part I regarding employment generation is seems to be incorrect. The State Govt may provide correct details.
9. The NPV calculation done for taking Eco class I while in Part II the Eco class is mentioned as V. The State Govt may review the Eco class and provide and correct details in Part II.
10. 405 trees per hectare does not account for 0.2 density. The State Govt may review and correct the density.
11. The CA stipulation against total forest land diverted in the district is not commensurate.