

**OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL CHIEF CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS, ODISHA
ARANYA BHAWAN, BHUBANESWAR-23**

No. **7367** /9F (Irrg.) – 346/2013
Dated, Bhubaneswar the **20th** April, 2016

To

The Divisional Forest Officer,
Nabarangpur Division.

Sub: - Proposal for diversion of 51.783 ha of forest land for construction of TURI-GUNTAT Medium Irrigation Project in Nabarangpur District by Department of Water Resources, Govt. of Odisha. Proposal No FP/OR/IRRIG/13379/2015.

Ref: - Memo No. . 722/1F (Project) dated 26.02.2016 of RCCF, Koraput.

In inviting a reference to the above cited correspondence on the captioned subject, it is to inform you that on scrutiny of the diversion proposal, the following deficiencies were observed.

1. English translation of Pali Sabha (Gram sabha) resolutions is wanting.
2. Detailed land schedule furnished by the Tahsildar concerned as well as DGPS map in respect of village Bikrampur do not show involvement of any Reserved Forest land in the project. It is seen that all the plots bear Khata No. and Plot No. indicating the patch of land as Revenue Forest. But in the Part-II and Site Inspection Report of DFO, and RCCF it has been reported that the project involves 7.193 ha. of Bikrampur Reserved Forest land. The Revenue forest land of 0.0016 in village Taragaon given in the abstract of forest land has not been reflected in the land schedule. This discrepancy may be clarified.
3. The total area of non-forest Govt. land in village Pilika and non-forest private land in village Chhatahandi mentioned in the proposal does not match with the total area of land schedule of respective villages.
4. Plot No.576 (P) and 578 (P) in village Dengagura having private ownership have been included in the Government non-forest land. The discrepancy may be complied. In addition the land schedule of village Palpur does not reflect the Kissam and Plot Nos.346, 1474, 1490.
5. Details of vegetative cover and engineering structures required to be undertaken in the catchment area for Soil & Moisture Conservation Measure has not been included in the CATP Scheme, which may be revised accordingly.
6. In the CA Scheme, you have proposed to raise bald hill plantation over 20.785 ha. in the identified CA land in village Nuagaon. But it is observed that, the suitability certificate/ information whether the area/site qualify for bald hill cost norm as per foot note in bottom-line of the approved cost norm for bald hill plantation is not given.
7. The identified CA land of 30.998 ha. at village Bamani needs to be revisited to consider whether the area is suitable for undertaking CA or not as the area appears to be having growth as per the Topo map and occurrence of Sal reported in the scheme.
8. Table of contents with page reference and E-mail generated (Online Registration) Acknowledgement Slip not enclosed.

Therefore, you are requested to comply to the above deficiencies at an early date. The diversion proposal in four sets returned herewith for re-submission.

Encl: Diversion proposal in four (4) Sets

Shree
18/4/2016
Chief Conservator of Forests (FC)

d/c

PTO

4

Memo No. 7368 Dt. 20-04-16

Copy forwarded to the RCCF, Koraput Circle for information and necessary action with reference to his memo no. 722/1F (Project) dated 26.02.2016.

Chief Conservator of Forests (FC)

Memo No. 7369 Dt. 20-04-16

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, Executive Engineer, Koraput Investigation Division, Jeypore (K) for information and necessary action.

Chief Conservator of Forests (FC)

5

FC
PPF