

C/o Heera Nagar Bus stand & Work Shop of HRTC (5.3897 ha)

Following shortcomings may be attended by State Government.

1. Short narrative of the project uploaded at para-A-1 (iii) does not give any information of the project.
2. Cost of the project at A 1 (vii) does not appear to be correct.
3. As per KML file uploaded at C part-I online there is a dense patch including Ban Oak on the northern corner of the area proposed for diversion over which muck dumping has been proposed. CCF, Shimla Circle, in his inspection report has mentioned that the dense patch is significant from eco-system services point of view and must not be used for dumping. State Govt may delete this patch from the area proposed for diversion and select muck dumping site at some other suitable place nearby.
4. As per Decision Support System (DSS) Analysis of the area proposed for diversion from the KML file uploaded in Part I para-C, the extent of area comes to 2.22 ha instead of 5.3897 ha. Because of this the shape of the area proposed for diversion in the layout plan is also not exactly matching the shape of the area proposed for diversion given in the KML file and the geo-referenced digital map uploaded at C Part-I online. May upload revised KML file incorporating the above changes and showing the correct extent of area proposed for diversion. May also upload revised digital map of the area accordingly and also provide original hard copy of the same.
5. Detail of alternatives explored may be provided at para-D online Part-I.
6. In the cost benefit analysis uploaded at G (i) a Part-I online the environmental losses have not been quantified in monetary terms as per the guidelines and cost benefit ratio has also not been worked out, which may be done now.
7. The name of village Heera Nagar has been mentioned in the village wise breakup uploaded at B 2.3 Part I online, but the copy of proceedings of the meeting of village level committee under FRA, 2006 have been provided for village Jablog. May provide the same for village Heera Nagar.
8. Administrative Approval and Financial Sanction of the competent authority of the User department for construction of this project is not provided in hard copy and also not uploaded as additional document in Part I which may be provided and uploaded.
9. As per Decision Support System (DSS) analysis, out the total area of 10.78 ha proposed for CA, 1 ha is on moderately dense forest. State Govt may replace this 1 ha area with some other area suitable for CA and provide/upload revised digital map, SoI topohseet map and KML file of the complete 10.78 ha CA area again after making the above change.

10. As per title of the project at A-1 (ii) Part-I online, it shows that the project pertains to HRTC, which is a Government of HP corporation. Further, though the project details provided at A-2 Part-I online mention Directorate of Transport as the User Agency but Regional Manager of HRTC has been authorised to file the proposal, which does not appear proper as only the person working in the User Agency can be authorised to make the application. State Govt may clarify whether Directorate of Transport or HRTC is the User Agency and revise the relevant details online accordingly. In case the User Agency is other than HRTC, the hard copy of the proposal will also have to be revised accordingly since currently all the papers are signed by Regional Manager, HRTC.
11. In case HRTC is the User Agency then the CA may be proposed on equivalent area on non-forest land as only proposals of Government Departments are exempted to carry out CA on double the area on degraded forest land.

---Regional Office, Dehradun---