No.Ft.48-4082/2020FCA)
H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Shimla-1, the 8 MAR 2022

From: Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests(HoFF Himachal Pradesh

To: CCF Rampur & WL (S) Shimla.

Subject: **Diversion of 25.6 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for the construction of Bhaba Mud Sagnam Attregoo road Kms. 0/0 to 44/400, within the jurisdiction of DFO Kinnaur and WL Sarahan Division Distt. Kinnaur and Shimla HP. (online No. FP/HP/Road/44357/2020).**

Memo:

Please refer to your office Memo. No. 6331 dated 14-02-2022 on the subject cited above.

2. The following shortcomings have been noticed which may be attended in all respect

Kinnaur Division:-

1. The date and place has not mentioned in checklist. Further, page numbering has also not been mentioned in check list.

2. Nothing has been mentioned in the column 3 of district wise area to be diverted.

3. The Part-II as placed in hard copy is photocopy further, date has not been mentioned in the part-II of the hard copy. The detail of pages mentioned in part-II are not correct.

4. Most of the documents as placed in proposal folders are coloured photocopies whereas; all documents are either in original or authenticated one. Further, FRA certificates alongwith its proceedings should be in original, in case photocopies are placed than same should be attested by concerned department.

5. Dumping sites and village to be benefited has not marked in KML file.

6. Against the column vi of part-I of hard copy mentioned the detail of employment (number of person-days) is required to be mentioned as per detail mentioned in online. Further, against the column No. 1 (ix) 'the proposed project is located in the forest land' has been mentioned whereas online 1 KM has been mentioned.

7. The map of alternative examined is not countersigned by concerned DFO.

8. As dumping has been proposed over forest land, hence cost estimate for plantation portion for reclaiming the dumping area is also required to be placed in the folders.

9. The working plan prescription has not been mentioned by DFO Kinnaur.

10. Against the column No. 13 (v) of online part-I nothing has been mentioned whereas, land suitability certificate is required to be uploaded against this column.

11. The bill of NPV has not found placed in proposal folders, the NPV bill on current rates may be placed in proposal folders.

12. In most of the documents/ undertakings of user agency for the area 4.8 and 20.60 ha. has been placed in proposal folders, though different folders have been submitted for different areas thus only undertaking/ documents for 20.60 ha area is required to be placed.

13. The scientific name of the trees has not been mentioned in online part-II, by DFO Kinnaur, whereas name scientific name should be mentioned in bracket where no option is available in drop box.

14. The length and width of road has not been found placed in proposal folders.

WL Division Sarahan:-

1. The date and place has neither been mentioned in check list nor in part-II of hard copy.

2. The working plan prescription has not been mentioned by DFO against the column 5 of online part-II.

3. Against the column No. 1 (ix) ' the proposed project is located in the forest land' has been mentioned whereas online 0.2 KM has been mentioned.

4. The dumping sites and village to be benefited has not mentioned in KML file, accordingly, toposheet and Digital Maps are required to be signed by DFO.

5. The KML file uploaded for CA is for 9.6 ha. whereas it should be for 42 ha. Further the area is overlapping with the area of CA proposed by DFO Kinnaur in the instant proposal.

6. The density of vegetation as mentioned in online part-II is incorrect as 43 trees are involved in the alignment. Accordingly bill of NPV is required to be prepared on current rates.

7. Against the column No. 8 (ii) of online part No has been mentioned whereas against the column of 1 (x) proposed are for diversion falls within the boundaries of Rupi-Bhaba WLS has been mentioned.

8. The area mentioned in check list No. 11&13 is 4.8 ha. and area mentioned at page No. 28 is 4.6 which is incorrect, necessary correction is required to be made. Further, the area mentioned in 1 (iv) is 20.8 which is incorrect 20.7963 Ha. is required to be mentioned in this column.

9. Most of the documents are photocopies original/ authenticated copies may be placed in proposal folders. Further, some documents at page No. 104 onward are placed without page numbering.

10. As dumping has been proposed over forest land, hence cost estimate for plantation portion for reclaiming the dumping area is also required to be placed in the folders.

11. The total area as mentioned at page No. 23 and 27 is 25.5963 ha. whereas area proposed for diversion is 25.60 ha. necessary correction in this regard is required to be made.

12. In most of the documents/ undertakings of user agency for the area 4.8 and 20.60 ha. has been placed in proposal folders, though different folders have been submitted for different areas thus only undertaking/ documents for 20.60 ha area is required to be placed.

13. The map of alternative examined is not countersigned by concerned DFO.

14. All uploaded and related documents are required to be uploaded in one PDF file against additional information detail of online Part-I.

You are, therefore, requested to make proper scrutiny of the proposal folders and submit the requisite documents either in original or authenticated copies in it. However, the proposal folders as received with the memo are returned herewith for doing the needful.

Encls. As above.

Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF (FCA)
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1.

Endst. No.Ft.48-4082/2020 FCA), Dated Shimla-1, the 8 MAR 2022

Copy is forwarded to Executive Engineer, HPPWD, Karchham, Distt. Kinnaur for information and necessary action.

Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF (FCA)
O/o Pr.CCF, H.P, Shimla-1.