

भारत सरकार
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन
मंत्रालय
क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय (उत्तर-केन्द्रीय क्षेत्र)
25 सुभाष रोड, देहरादून-248001
दूरभाष: 0135-2650809
फैक्स-0135-2653010
ईमेल- moef.ddn@gov.in



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST & CLIMATE CHANGE
REGIONAL OFFICE (NORTH CENTRAL ZONE)
25 SUBASH ROAD, DEHRADUN-248001
PHONE- 0135-2650809
FAX- 0135-2653010
Email- moef.ddn@gov.in

फाईल संख्या 8बी./यू.सी.पी./06/16/2020/एफ0 सी0/2746 दिनांक: 26/02/2020

सेवा में,

✓अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी
वन संरक्षण, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी,
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय:—Diversion of 9.14 ha of forest land in favour of Rulral Development Department for the construction of Taknagunth to Dandamalla Motot Road under PMGSY within the jurisdiction of Haldwani forest division in Distt. Champawat, Uttarakhand. (FP/UK/ROAD/41672/2019)

सन्दर्भ:—अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण, उत्तराखण्ड का पत्रांक – 1850 / FP/UK/ROAD//41672/2019 दिनांक 25-01-2020.

महोदय,


उपरोक्त विषय पर सन्दर्भित पत्र का आशय ग्रहण करते हुए प्रस्ताव पर ध्यानपूर्वक विचार करने के उपरान्त मुझे आपको यह सूचित करने का निर्देश हुआ है कि प्रस्ताव में निम्नलिखित कमियां पाई गयी हैं। अतः राज्य सरकार निम्न बिन्दुओं पर आवश्यक सूचनायें प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें। ताकि अग्रिम कार्यवाही सुनिश्चित की जा सके:—

1. CA area is proposed in degraded forest land. As per DSS analysis 5 ha area found in VDF and 12 ha area found in MFD. State Govt. may change the area with some other area suitable for CA.
2. Out of 9.14 ha area 2 ha area proposed for muck disposal (1 ha in reserve forest land). State Govt. may identify some non-forest land for muck disposal.
3. CA scheme prepared for Rs. 1,36,45,786 for 18.28 ha area @ Rs. 7,46,487/- per ha. State Govt. may examine the rate prescribed and submit revised CA scheme.
4. Shape of proposed road found different in KML file and Digital map. Also digital map and Sol toposheet are not C/s by DFO. State Govt. may review the same and submit / upload the correct documents duly C/s by DFO.
5. In justification it is mentioned that 1 more road is proposed from PWD in the alignment. State Govt. may submit details of the same and also mark that alignment in KML.
6. Details of 775 trees found uploaded at para-4 in Part-II however details of 827 trees submitted in hard copy, it is mentioned in the proposal that all trees will be cut and in another document of the proposal mentioned that only 408 trees will be cut and 434 will be transplanted. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and submit/upload the correct information.
7. Aerial distance of the proposed area from the wild life sanctuary/national park is submitted as 2.8 KM. State Govt. may submit the comments of Chief wildlife warden in this regard.

8. Geologist report submitted/uploaded for some other proposal. State Government may do the necessary correction and upload/submit the correct copy of geologist report in original.
9. State Government may submit/upload the SIR again, as no comments have been made by DFO against each column in his SIR.
10. In NPV calculation sheet the area is taken as 3.94 ha instead of 9.14 ha. Further, density is mentioned as 0.4 but the NPV rate is charged for VDF category of eco-class-V i.e. @ 9.39 Lkch/ha. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and submit/upload the correct information.
11. The width of the proposed road has been halved twice for calculation of muck likely to be generated which has resulted into underestimation of muck likely to be generated. State Govt. may clarify the discrepancy and submit/upload the correct information.
12. As per dimensions of the muck disposal sites the total area of muck disposal yards comes to 2.24 ha instead of 2.00 ha mentioned in the proposal. State Govt. may do the needful in this regards.
13. It is mentioned against para-6 of Part-II that the hill slope is steep and the area is prone to erosion but the protection measures required to stabilize the slope have not been suggested. State Govt. may submit the detailed justification and do the needful in this regard.
14. It is seen from details given at para-14 of Part-II that the CA stipulated is not commensurate to the area of forest land diverted. Further the progress of CA is 50% which is very poor. State Govt. may review the same and submit correct information.
15. DFO in his site inspection report mentioned three conditions and requested for Rs.45 Lakh for some work in the area. State Govt. may submit the comments in this regard.
16. In the SIR of DFO has mentioned that the construction of road in the mid of forest will lead to fragmentation of forest and will affect wildlife but the distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest mentioned as 0.10km which appear contradictory. DFO should submit a detailed wildlife management plan based on scientific basis duly approved by the competent authority only.
17. 'No' mentioned against para-8(iii) of Part-II but the aerial distance of proposed site for diversion is mentioned as 2.80 Km from the boundary of national park, wildlife sanctuary which is contradictory. State Govt. may review the same and submit correct information.
18. State Government may submit the hard copy of the above mentioned points.

यदि चाही गई सूचना पत्र जारी होने की तिथि के 60 दिनों के भीतर (अधिकतम 90 दिन) इस कार्यालय में प्राप्त नहीं हुयी तो प्रस्ताव को निरस्त किया जा सकता है।

भवदीय,



(सन्नी गोयल)

तकनीकी अधिकारी (वानिकी)

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु :-

1. अपर मुख्य सचिव (वन), उत्तराखण्ड शासन, सुभाष रोड, देहरादून।

(सन्नी गोयल)

तकनीकी अधिकारी (वानिकी)