

Renewal of diversion of 0.0608 ha of forest land in favour of Col Jagjeet Singh Rautela. Pre-built building development of tourist infrastructure and residential building.

The application has been submitted in online Form-B Part-I and Part-II seeking renewal of lease. On scrutiny of the proposal, following shortcomings have been found:

1. Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the proposal [Online para-A-1 (iii) of Part-I].
2. Instead of a copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making online application, a copy of form-B Part-I has been attached (online para-A-3 (xvii) of Part-I).
3. Component wise breakup of forest land required is not provided in para-B-2.4 of online Part-I.
4. Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted has not been submitted [online para-C-(iii) of Part-I].
5. Copy of note containing justification for locating the project in forest land is not attached in para D (i) of online Part-I instead a scanned copy of Form-B Part-I is attached.
6. FRA certificate along with all the annexure has not been attached at para-K of online Part-I.
7. In para-5 of online Part-II, Working Plan prescriptions have not been given.
8. In para- 7 of online Part –II, approximate distance of the proposed site of diversion from boundary of forest is mentioned as NIL. Does it mean that the area proposed for diversion is situated at the boundary of the forest?
9. It is seen from the lease deed signed on 20.07.1953 that the plot of land measuring 80ft. X 76ft. in compartment No.-1 of Padholi Reserve was assigned on lease for 30 years w.e.f. 01.07.1952 to Shri Rajendra Singh Rautela S/o Shri Guman Singh. Thus, the lease was to expire on 30.06.1982 but, the documents in support of subsequent renewals have not been submitted. In this connection, this is also to be stated that the forest clearance was also mandatory in this case for any renewal of lease after 25.10.1980 i.e. enactment of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 but, no details are provided in para-A-2 (xiii) & para-B-1 (i) of online Part-I.
10. No details are given about transfer of lease, if any, in online para-A-9.
11. The number of trees is shown as nil in online Part-II but the density is mentioned as 0.3.
12. As per lease deed, the land was assigned on lease for a shop only but, the applicant wants to erect tourism infrastructure-cum-residential building which is a deviation from the original purpose which may not be permissible in the present context. Moreover, as per para-4.5 of guidelines, forest land can not be diverted for residential /dwelling houses.

पत्रांक मेमो 6/12-1(52)/दिनांक 27-05-2016
 प्रतिलिपि श्री जगजीत सिंह रातेला ए स्व श्री राजेन्द्र सिंह
 रातेला, ग्राम - खीनिया, देवलीखेत, एनीयेत का प्रचारक एवं अपेक्षा
 कापिलवत्र का मिडिया निराकरण कर प्रचार प्रसारण लाइन सेवर्ड कापी के
 साथ प्रस्तुत करने का कार्य करे।
 प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,
 अल्मोड़ा वन प्रभाग, अल्मोड़ा