

Construction of harara bhikiyasain motor road

The fresh proposal uploaded online by State Govt. on 09.02.2018. Shortcomings noticed and are as follow:

1. Muck disposal area and road area not mentioned in component wise breakup at para B 2.3 in part I.
2. Shape of SoI Toposheet, KML file and digital map of proposed road are different at para C in Part I. May correct this discrepancy.
3. Justification for locating the project in forest land only benefit of road provided at para D in part I also not authenticated by DFO.
4. Alternative examined is not clear at para D (ii)(a) in Part I.
5. Employment details seems incorrect at para E in part I.
6. Shape of SoI Toposheet of CA is not matching with digital map.
7. As per DSS analysis the area proposed for CA is not found suitable for CA as whole area shown MDF therefore the State Govt. may replace this area with some other suitable area or either proposed plantation of 8000 plants in any forest area as per guideline vide letter F.No. 11-4-423/2011-FC dated 08.11.2017.
8. Land schedule is not correct.
9. Area of naap land not mentioned in muck disposal plan.
10. State Govt. may submit CA site suitability certificate duly authenticated by DFO and upload the same at designated place.
11. State Govt. may submit NPV bill duly authenticated by DFO and upload the same in online portal.
12. Joint inspection report uploaded as an additional document at No. 4 of year 2011 shows the area proposed for diversion is 6.012 ha in Civil Soyam Land & Van Panchayat Land without preventing details of tree felling involved. The State Govt. may replaced this document. The original copy of the same may also provide to this office
13. The State Govt. may submit revised bar chart showing area details correctly.
14. Sketchy strip plantation found with the proposal. The State Govt. may submit proper plantation scheme for planting trees in vacant spaces.
15. DFO signature in many documents required to be authenticated by DFO found missing. DFO may signed all required documents with full name and stamp.
16. State Govt. may direct user agency to put name and designation of the person signing the undertaking provided with hard copy of the proposal.
17. Name of CF not mentioned in his recommendation at para 16 in Part II.
18. Recommendation uploaded by DFO in online Part II is incomplete as all the para (s) are not filled by DFO needs to be filled & revised recommendation may upload in online Part II.
19. The hard copy of the proposal is photocopy. The State Govt. may submit hard copy containing all necessary documents in original.

पत्रांक सं. 12-102 दि. 21-3-2018
 प्रतिलिपि आचार्यजी कोविंद-स/ प्रा.नीपु लखनौ.नि.वि. 21/3/18 उक्त आचार्यजी
 को वि. लखनौ के द्वारा उक्त कार्यलय को उपलब्ध कराया गया है।