

कार्यालय अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी, वन संरक्षण,  
इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

संख्या:- 3219/FP/UK/ROAD/21547/2016 देहरादून: दिनांक: 21 मार्च, 2018

सेवा में,

अधिशाली अभियन्ता,  
सिचाई खण्ड, लोक निर्माण विभाग,  
पिथौरागढ़।

विषय:- जनपद- पिथौरागढ़ में बुगांछीना-अलगड़ा से कचना तक मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु।  
FP/UK/ROAD/21547/2016

महोदय,

विषयांकित प्रकरण का वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण प्रस्ताव आनलाईन इस कार्यालय को प्राप्त हुआ है, जिसमें भारत सरकार द्वारा वांछित कतिपय कमियों के निराकरण हेतु आनलाईन सूचित किया गया था, किन्तु आपके द्वारा प्रस्ताव में इंगित कमियों का पूर्ण निराकरण अभी तक नहीं किया गया है, जिसके अभाव में प्रकरण पर अग्रेत्तर कार्यावाही की जानी सम्भव नहीं हो पा रही है। इस सम्बन्ध भारत सरकार द्वारा दिनांक 24-01-2018 चाही गई सूचना पुनः संलग्न कर प्रेषित की जा रही है।

अतः उपरोक्तानुसार प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार द्वारा वांछित कमियों का बिन्दुवार निस्तारण कर आनलाईन व आफलाईन सूचना इस कार्यालय को उपलब्ध करने का कष्ट करें। ताकि प्रकरण पर अग्रेत्तर कार्यावाही की जा रही है।

संलग्न-यथोपरि।

भवदीय,

For   
अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी

संख्या /1जी- FP/UK/ROAD/21547/2016 तददिनांकित।  
प्रतिलिपि निम्नलिखित को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

1. प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, अल्मोड़ा।

अपर प्रमुख वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी

|    |  |  |
|----|--|--|
|    |  | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Submission of revised enumeration list of trees after incorporating 133 trees falling in dia class of 10-20cm to be placed in the hard copy of the proposal.</li> <li>2. Submission of hard copy of the revised CA estimate through proper channel and uploading of same at designated places in online Part-I and online Part-II.</li> <li>3. Submission of hard copy of the revised C/B analysis through proper channel and uploading of same at designated place in online Part-I.</li> <li>4. Uploading of working plan prescription at designated place in online Part-II.</li> <li>5. State Govt. to submit the original copies of all revised documents.</li> </ol>   |
| 8. | <p>Diversion of 6.450 ha of forest land for construction of Kandara to Kedarkot Motor Road (10.0 Km) from Karnprayag-Nainisain MR in favour of PWD within the jurisdiction of Badrinath Forest Division, District Chamoli, Uttarakhand (Online Proposal No.- FP/UK/ROAD/12845/2015).</p> | <p>Regional Empowered Committee discussed the proposal seeking diversion of 6.450 ha of forest land for construction of Kandara to Kedarkot Motor Road (10.0 Km) from Karnprayag-Nainisain MR. The committee noted that the number of project affected trees is mentioned as 149 trees in online Part-II, 136 trees in addl. document and 127 in hard copy of the proposal which is confusing. The forest land proposed for diversion is not a part of any Protected Area and no rare and endangered species of flora and fauna have been reported. The committee noted that 1.00 ha area out of 11.00 ha patch proposed for CA falls in Moderately Dense Forest (MDF) which is not considered suitable for CA. Further, it was also found that in the Annexure-VI (b) for evaluation of loss of forests and Annexure-VI (c) for evaluation of benefits notwithstanding loss of forests in the C/B Analysis the parameters have not been quantified and expressed in monetary terms. It was also</p> |

|    |   |
|----|---|
|    | <p>noted that the density is mentioned as 0.2 in Eco-Class-V in para-4 of online Part-II but the same is taken as 0.4 in Eco-Class-VI in the NPV calculation sheet which is confusing. Moreover, the NPV rate has been charged for very dense forest category which does not appear to be correct.</p> <p>After detailed discussion on various aspects of the proposal, the REC decided to defer the proposal and desired that the State Govt. may be requested to submit the following documents/ information / clarifications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Review the number of project affected trees and submit /upload revised enumeration list of trees alongwith clarification on the discrepancy in the number of trees given in different documents.</li> <li>2. Submit revised Cost Benefit Analysis after quantifying all the parameters in monetary terms in Annexure-VI (b) and VI (c).</li> <li>3. Review density, Eco-Class and NPV rate and mention correct information/data in the relevant documents which may also be uploaded at designated places.</li> <li>4. State Govt. may change 1.00 ha MDF area included in the land proposed for CA and submit revised maps etc.</li> <li>5. State Govt. may submit the original copies of the important documents as well as the documents revised afterwards to be placed in hard copy of the proposal.</li> <li>6. REC also desired that the concerned DFO may be asked to remain present in the next REC meeting.</li> </ol> |
| 9. | <p>Diversion of 500.5996 ha (Surface forest land 173.0313 ha and</p> <p>The proposal was discussed in the REC meeting held on 16.05.2016 and 22.07.2016 in the past. After detailed</p>   |