

Following shortcomings still remain in the point wise reply to the points raised in EDS dated **18-06-2018** of this office.

1. Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the proposal [Online para-A-1(iii) of Part-I].It should give comprehensive details of the project in brief.
2. Instead of justification for locating the project in forest land at para D in part I, only benefit of road is mentioned.
3. Some other documents has been uploaded in the place of justification at section D in Form A,Part I. please upload justification with C/S by DFo.
4. Some other documents in the place of non encumbrance details at section L (iv-h) in Form A, part I.
5. Provided certificate by DM is undated, please upload correct FRA at section K in Form A, part I.
6. Google map has been uploaded in the place alternate alignment at section D (ii) in Form A, Part I.
7. FRA certificates issued by DC “not applicable” has been mentioned against bullet-1 and bullet-2 in the FRA certificate submitted in FORM -1 which is not correct and revised certificate is required to submitted and uploaded.
8. The certificate of aerial distance of the project area is not found uploaded in Form A, part I.
9. Name and designation of the competent authority who has issued the authorization letter in favour of Authorized person is not mentioned. [Online para-A-3 (xvii) of Part-I].
10. Area mentioned in Village wise breakup at B-2.3 and Component wise breakup at B-2.4 are mismatch in figure in Form A, Part I.please rectify accordingly.
11. Some other map has been uploaded in the place of SOI toposheet map at section L (vi) in Form A, PartI.
12. User agency may provide hard copy of the proposal containing original documents as the copy provided contains all photocopies.

**....Nodal office, Dehradun....**

