



सत्यमेव जयते

भारत सरकार / Government of India
पर्यावरण, वन एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन मंत्रालय
Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change
एकीकृत क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय/Integrated Regional Office

पता: द्वितीय तल, झारखण्ड राज्य आवास बोर्ड मुख्यालय, हरमू चौक, राँची, झारखण्ड - 834002
Add: 2nd Floor, Headquarter-Jharkhand State Housing Board, Harmu Chowk, Ranchi, Jharkhand - 834002
Tel: 0651-2410002, 2410007; E-mail: ro.ranchi-mef@gov.in



संख्या: FP/JH/OTHERS/34881/2018/955

दिनांक: 01.03.2023

सेवा में,

प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक-सह-नोडल पदाधिकारी (एफ.सी.)

वन, पर्यावरण, एवं जलवायु परिवर्तन विभाग

झारखण्ड सरकार,

वन भवन, डोरंडा, राँची - 834002

Subject: Diversion of 11.11 ha of forest land (under Hazaribagh west FD-8.27 ha and Ramgarh FD-2.84 ha) in favour of M/s CCL for construction of North Urimari railway siding under Hazaribagh west and Ramgarh forest division in Hazaribagh and Ramgarh district of Jharkhand-reg.

Reference: 58th REC meeting of IRO, Ranchi held on 10.02.2023

Sir,

I am directed to draw your kind attention towards the above-mentioned FC proposal, the said proposal was discussed in the 58th REC meeting with special emphasis on violation over GMJJ (Gair Majarua Jungle Jhari) land as reported by DFO, Ramgarh. The committee recommended the proposal for in-principle approval provided the anomaly related to the reported violation is mitigated by the state government. Therefore, the committee has demanded the following specific information related to the proposal:

- i. The proposal involves violation over GMJJ land which is not under jurisdiction or management/ownership of state forest department. Revenue Department of Jharkhand has jurisdiction over such land, however, JJ land falls under deemed forest category. Therefore, either such violation be compounded appropriately by state government before forwarding the proposal to central government or should clearly specify the penal provisions to be undertaken along with recommendation of the department having the jurisdiction on the land.
- ii. The Committee opined that a detailed report in the may be submitted by the State Forest Department regarding the extant proposal.
- iii. As recommendation made by SFD, the penal CA DFL area should have been 10 times of the area involved in the proposal; while the current submitted area for CA is only 22.44 ha.

The Committee recommended the proposal for “in-principle” approval after the receipt of required report/reply as enumerated above.

This is submitted for your kind consideration please.

आपका विश्वासभाजन
Signed by Shashi Shankar
Date: 01-03-2023 17:33:59
Reason: Approved (शशि शंकर)

सहायक वन महानिरीक्षक