

कार्यालय वन संरक्षक, उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा।

Email : cfkumaon\_north@rediffmail.com, ☎ (05962) 231099 Fax : 230397  
Toll free no- 9208008000

पत्रांक - 2883 / 12-1 अल्मोड़ा, दिनांक, 07.02.2016.

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
अल्मोड़ा वन प्रभाग, अल्मोड़ा।

विषय :- देघाट - लालनगरी मोटर मार्ग (प्रस्ताव संख्या 13914 / 2015)

संदर्भ :- नोडल अधिकारी द्वारा लगायी गयी आपत्ति दिनांक 06/02/2016।

महोदय,

उक्त मोटर मार्ग से सम्बन्धित वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण का ऑन-लाइन प्रस्ताव संस्तुति सहित नोडल अधिकारी को भेजे जाने पर उनके द्वारा इस प्रस्ताव में आपत्तियां सूचित की गयी है जिसकी प्रति संलग्न कर इस आशय से प्रेषित की जा रही है कि कृपया आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर तत्काल प्रस्ताव इस कार्यालय को प्रस्तुत करें ताकि इसे पुनः नोडल अधिकारी को प्रेषित किया जा सकें।

संलग्न-यथोपरि।

भवदीय

(विवेक पाण्डेय)

वन संरक्षक,

उत्तरी कुमाऊँ वृत्त, उत्तराखण्ड, अल्मोड़ा।

~~पत्रांक सं. 2883 / 12-1 / दिनांक 9-2-2016~~

~~प्रतिदिन वन विभाग, निर्माण एवं लेनिंग  
वि० सल्ट को उपरोक्त संलग्न की प्रति इत्यादि प्रेषित  
कृपया पत्र में लगाई गई आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण  
कर ऑन लाइन व हार्ड कॉपी के साथ इस कार्यालय को  
प्रस्तुत करें।~~

~~संलग्न-यथोपरि,~~

वरिष्ठ प्रशासक अधिकारी

अल्मोड़ा वन प्रभाग,

अल्मोड़ा-263601

**EDS dated 04.02.2016      13914/2015      Deghat to Lalnagari MR**

The following shortcomings have been found in the proposal which may be attended by the State Govt.

1. In Part I A3 the application is filed by Shri Jiwan Chandra Rautola but the authority letter is uploaded in the name of Shri Nand Kumar Khulbe. Authority letter in the name of person filing the application may be uploaded.
2. FRA certificate and District Level Committee proceeding not uploaded online, FRA certificate provided in hard copy is undated.
3. Employment details not provided online Part I E.
4. KML file of CA area uploaded in Part I is showing comparatively very large area perhaps of the land bank. May provide the same for the area proposed for CA against this proposal within the land bank.
5. Digital map of CA area uploaded in Part I is showing comparatively very large area perhaps of the land bank. May provide the same for the area proposed for CA against this proposal within the land bank showing geo coordinates at all corners and providing a shape file.
6. CA Scheme provided for 3.84 ha area which is equivalent to the area proposed for diversion but it is not mentioned whether this area is non forest land or degraded forest land/civil soyam. May indicate the legal status of this area and in case it happens to be either degraded forest land or civil land then CA scheme for double the area propose for diversion may be submitted.
7. Administrative Approval uploaded is issued during 2006. May indicate whether it is still valid. In addition, the length of road approved is 7 km whereas in the proposal the length of road is shown as 13.650 km.
8. The muck disposal plan the quantity of muck proposed to be disposed in the dumping yard is 51597.0 cum whereas the capacity of 19 dumping yards selected on private land is only 29690.00 cum.
9. The NOC of land owners for dumping muck on their private land is not provided/uploaded.
10. Land Schedule uploaded is not complete as it shows only 0.08 ha of RF land. May upload complete land schedule.
11. Form A Part I to Part V dully filled and signed by concerned officer has not been provided in the hard copy.